

ML2024 Accomplishment Plan Review - Follow Up Needed --- DUE THURSDAY, November 2, 2023

Proposal ID	Online System Edits Needed - Please login and make the edits and hit submit button.	Email Follow Up Needed - Please send response via email to all LSOHC staff.
PA04	<p>Climate change question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Design and scope section needs to be updated with correct acreages.</p> <p>Neonic question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Anticipated number of acquisitions question needs to be answered.</p>	
PRE01	<p>Acreage in abstract needs to be updated.</p> <p>Past funding for personnel question needs to be answered.</p>	
FA01	<p>Budget needs to be broken into two partner budgets (DNR, NWLT)</p> <p>IDP funds should be moved into other budget categories.</p> <p>Neonic question needs to be answered.</p>	
FA02	<p>Budget needs to be broken into two partner budgets (BWSR, Morrison)</p>	
FA03	<p>Include watersheds where you are working within the abstract so readers understand project better.</p> <p>Climate change question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Budget needs to be broken into two partner budgets (watershed, BWSR)</p>	
FRE03	<p>Climate change question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Need to answer all budget questions.</p> <p>Acres are not showing in output tables. Make sure to update.</p> <p>Acre numbers in document don't line up throughout document. (3130 acres vs. 1395 acres)</p>	
WA02	<p>AMA included as possible land designations. Is that correct? Proposal says WMA/WPA.</p>	
WRE01	<p>Climate change question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Past funding for personnel question needs to be answered.</p> <p>Neonic question needs to be answered.</p>	<p>Want to better understand how acre outputs are calculated and prioritized.</p> <p>Contract funding drastically cut. Where do the acre outputs come from then?</p> <p>Call if you have questions or want to discuss first.</p>
WRE02		<p>Accomplishment plan states current funding allows completion of Phase 1 and 2 and leaves phase III without any funding. How does Phase III get completed?</p> <p>Will construction of phase 1 and 2 start without funding identified for phase 3?</p> <p>Please clarify.</p>

WRE03		Administrative costs for 799 acres appears to be high. Does MLT have wetland professionals on staff or do you rely on USFWS for technical restoration work? Please expand on the partnership and the roles each partner plays.
WRE05	Climate change question needs to be answered. Change activity from restore to enhance. Enhance seems to fit the work better. Output tables don't include acres. Please make sure the project acres are included.	
HA01	Neonic question needs to be answered.	
HA02	Neonic question needs to be answered.	
HA03	Noticed MLT contract budget is zero. Will you add funding in there if habitat management plans are needed or if you contract out with SWCD for outreach? Usually there is funding here in your accomplishment plans, so checking on this one.	
HA04		Average cost per acre for restoration and acquisition seems to be much higher than normal. Why?
HA05	Neonic question needs to be answered.	Average cost per acre for restoration and enhancement appears higher than other APs with similar projects. Why?
HA10	There is no leverage included in the accomplishment plan. If that is correct, why?	
HA13	Budget needs to be broken into two partner budgets (BWSR, WRWD) Question on past personnel funding not answered.	
HA15	Your accomplishment plan appears to have the old version of the project location map. We are working to get that corrected.	The accomplishment plan states, "The Land Trust will assess the R/E needs of each parcel protected through this appropriation. Should R/E needs exist, funding for those projects will be built into a forthcoming proposal." What happens if you don't receive future OHF? Is this common practice?

HA17	Climate change question needs to be answered.	<p>The accomplishment plan states, "Restoration and enhancement needs associated with fee title and easement projects completed under this grant will be assessed. Needs identified will be addressed through private sources, Conservation Partners Legacy Grant proposals and/or future funding proposals to LSOHC. If funds remain in this grant, an amendment may be submitted to allow those funds to be reallocated to restoration and enhancement on lands protected by this grant. For the restoration / enhancement on eased lands, MLT restoration personnel will conduct outreach with easement landowners to evaluate, scope, design and schedule additional restoration projects. These activities will improve the project selection, cost-estimates and outcomes for future OHF funding requests." What happens if you don't receive future OHF? Is this common practice?</p> <p>It appears that MLT budget is higher than normal? Personnel and DSS totals \$560k with total acre outputs of 212 acres. The cost per acre for personnel and DSS is \$2,665/acre. Why are the costs so high?</p>
HRE02		<p>The abstract states you will be completing one fish passage project and restore reaches of one river. Please provide further explanation how personnel funded in this appropriation relates to these two projects. Are they only working on these projects or others? How much funding is left from previous appropriations (AP stated that ML18 and ML20 are going to be spent first)? When would you estimate ML24 funds will be utilized for these costs?</p>
HRE03	FTE calculation appears to be incorrect? Please reiew and change if needed.	
HRE04	Remove the last two sentences of the abstract and move into desgn/scope section.	
HRE05	Supplanting question not answered.	<p>Please provide a map showing the locations of the Avian Forest Habitat Restoration parcels. If project sites are unknown, please provide a map with with work areas identified.</p>
HRE07	Climate change question not answered.	
HRE09	Please include a statement in the design and scope of work section that OHF funding will be used only for site grading, oak savanna, and aquatic habitat work. (The Council approved these requirements for this project during the meeting in October.)	<p>The original amount of leverage for the proposal was 227% of the OHF request. The amount of leverage in the accomplishment plan is 100% of the OHF amount. Please explain the rationale for the reduction in leverage.</p>
O2	There is \$1k in budget for contracts. How will restoraiton panel expenses be paid for? (It is our understanding that they were paid out of the contracts budget.)	
CPL	Climate change question not answered. Neonic question not answered.	