


Q1 What is your overall impression of the proposal scoring criteria currently used by the Council?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Current scoring criteria is adequate to make informed funding recommendations	37.50%	3
Current scoring criteria is NOT adequate to make informed funding recommendations	25.00%	2
Unsure	0.00%	0
Other (please specify)	37.50%	3
TOTAL		8

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	Too much regurgitated info.	11/17/2022 4:43 PM
2	But it could be improved	11/15/2022 6:04 PM
3	Too many scoring criteria.	11/15/2022 3:21 PM


Q2 What is your general impression of the proposal process overall?

Answered: 8 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Process is effective. It could be improved upon, though.	11/23/2022 2:55 PM
2	I think it is a good well balanced proposal.	11/23/2022 9:50 AM
3	Very hard to discern the value of each project... even after the presentation... especially phase xxx...etc	11/22/2022 5:17 PM
4	It's a helpful indicator and is one of many inputs into the overall review and eventual recommendation.	11/22/2022 4:02 PM
5	Very good. 12 opinions usually results in good decisions.	11/17/2022 4:44 PM
6	It is extensive, but that seems appropriate given the amount of money available.	11/16/2022 1:29 PM
7	it gets the job done although there are details that would be good to add and a few that are not meaningful.	11/15/2022 6:04 PM
8	I think it works pretty well.	11/15/2022 3:22 PM

Q3 Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.

Answered: 8 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	75.00% 6
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	25.00% 2
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
TOTAL	8

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q4 Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.

Answered: 8 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	25.00% 2
more important than other criteria	25.00% 2
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	50.00% 4
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
TOTAL	8

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
	There are no responses.	

Q5 Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.

Answered: 8 Skipped: 0




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
less important than other criteria	37.50%	3
more important than other criteria	12.50%	1
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	25.00%	2
Other (please specify)	25.00%	2
TOTAL		8

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I never know how to score this.	11/23/2022 2:57 PM
2	combine with other criteria	11/17/2022 6:20 AM

Q6 Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	14.29% 1
more important than other criteria	14.29% 1
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	57.14% 4
Other (please specify)	14.29% 1
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	getting the same answer to this question from many proposals is not helpful. it needs to be specific not generic in the answer.	11/15/2022 6:05 PM

Q7 Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	28.57% 2
more important than other criteria	0.00% 0
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	28.57% 2
Other (please specify)	42.86% 3
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	I would say less important just because many responses are the exact same. I like knowing the answer and having the proposers think of it, but we don't usually receive rich responses.	11/23/2022 2:58 PM
2	This criteria doesn't seem to add much value.	11/22/2022 4:03 PM
3	This is important information, but could be combined with another similar criteria	11/17/2022 6:21 AM

Q8 Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	28.57% 2
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	57.14% 4
Other (please specify)	14.29% 1
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	This is important, but I wish it was either worded differently or we could look at other information to judge the response. I don't often know if how clear the response is or if the plan is specific enough for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	11/23/2022 3:00 PM

Q9 Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	57.14% 4
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	28.57% 2
Other (please specify)	14.29% 1
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	This is important. But I think we need to provide criteria for them. How will it be maintained (staff, someone who continues to champion the outcomes, financial support and where that support comes from, etc)	11/23/2022 3:01 PM

Q10 Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	57.14% 4
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	28.57% 2
Other (please specify)	14.29% 1
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	This is very important in my opinion. I do prioritize projects that have more development pressure or other funding or landowner interest that won't be there later.	11/23/2022 3:02 PM

Q11 Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	71.43% 5
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	14.29% 1
Other (please specify)	14.29% 1
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	This is important. But I wish we could quantify it or be more specific what amounts of leverage = points,	11/23/2022 3:03 PM

Q12 Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
less important than other criteria	0.00% 0
more important than other criteria	0.00% 0
continue to receive the same emphasis as other criteria	71.43% 5
Other (please specify)	28.57% 2
TOTAL	7

#	OTHER (PLEASE SPECIFY)	DATE
1	When scoring this, I am just guessing. It's a hard one for me as a member to gauge if it is appropriate or not.	11/23/2022 3:04 PM
2	There is no way of comparing	11/22/2022 5:20 PM

Q13 Do you feel the current criteria scoring with a maximum of 10 points per criteria is appropriate?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	71.43% 5
No	28.57% 2
TOTAL	7

#	IF NO, PLEASE EXPLAIN:	DATE
1	Upto 5 points	11/15/2022 3:28 PM

Q14 Would you like to see additional proposal questions added on any specific subjects?

Answered: 7 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Yes	28.57% 2
No	42.86% 3
Unsure	28.57% 2
TOTAL	7

#	IF YES, PLEASE LIST:	DATE
1	Urgency - a real answer on opportunity lost would be helpful. I would like to see proposers tell us why their proposal is more important than others.	11/15/2022 6:08 PM

Q15 Do you have additional suggestions not addressed in this survey to potentially improve the criteria, proposal questions, or overall process?

Answered: 4 Skipped: 4

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Putting the scoring questions in the same order as they are in the proposal would be very helpful.	11/23/2022 3:05 PM
2	Less projects... more oversight and involvement in fewer bigger projects that could be completed in a defined term set in the bill. Disregard annual funding	11/22/2022 5:21 PM
3	Questions are not equal and the scoring should reflect the priorities like it used to.	11/22/2022 4:06 PM
4	No	11/15/2022 3:28 PM