

Attachment A - February 23, 2016 - LSOHC Work Session

CURRENT CRITERIA	MAX PTS	REVISED HEARING CRITERIA	REV MAX PTS
		<u>Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses the: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.</u>	2
1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target.	6	Does the Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections.? ²	12
2a. The proposal addresses Minnesota habitats with historic value to fish and wildlife.	1.5	Does the Proposal addresses Minnesota habitats that have <u>value</u> for fish, game, or wildlife.	10
2b. The proposal lists the wildlife species of greatest concern & 2d. The proposal supports the maintenance and growth of populations of <u>threatened and endangered species.</u>	3	Proposal <u>addresses habitats that have value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or</u> threatened or endangered species, and <u>lists all such species;</u>	10
2c. The proposal lists the Minnesota County Biological survey data in the program area.	1.5	Proposal <u>includes mapped</u> Minnesota County Biological Survey communities;	5
		<u>Proposal identifies 4 indicator species this habitat will support, and for which counts are available and/or could be performed.</u>	12
3. This is a habitat project that should be done as soon as possible.	6	<u>Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency</u>	2
4. The proposal clearly uses a science based planning and evaluation model.	6	Does the proposal <u>Uses science-based strategic planning and evaluation model.?</u>	12
5. The proposal does not substitute for traditional funding	6	Does the proposal fully describe and quantify in detail how the requested- <u>Supplements and does not substitute customary or usual activities and funding sources.?</u>	5
6. It is clear in the request that there is commitment to maintain the outcomes of the program.	6	Does the proposal describe how the program's outcomes will be- <u>maintained? Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.</u>	5
7. The applicant intends, if funded, to meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes 97A.056, Subd. 13	6	Does the proposal meet the applicable criteria set forth in MN Statutes- <u>97A.056 Subdivision 13?</u>	0
8. The proposed restoration and enhancement is on land, or the land acquired, be permanently protected – protected either by a public entity or federal tribal trust.	6	Does the proposal restore or enhance habitat on permanently protected- <u>land, including tribal lands under federal trust arrangements and/or will the protection be permanent?</u>	0
9. The proposal clearly identifies performance indicators and measurements.	6	Does the proposal clearly identify performance measures, and provide a- <u>specific plan for measuring, evaluating and publicly reporting these-</u> <u>outcomes over time?</u> Performance measures clearly identified, and specific plan for measuring, evaluating, and public reporting outcomes provided.	3
1. The proposal takes actions aimed at habitat targets in LSOHC ecological sections in a way and with enough effort to move the needle toward the target & 10. The proposal will produce clear, significant and enduring habitat outcomes.	6	<u>Is the Likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for Fish, Game, and Wildlife.?</u> (“Bang for the Buck”)	12
11. The proposal identifies leverage, funds and/or in-kind contributions to demonstrate the sponsors are committed to the project’s success	6	Does the proposal clearly describe whether it leverages effort and/or other- <u>funds to supplement any OHF appropriation? Leverage may include cash,</u> <u>in-kind contributions such as proposal evaluations or planning, or-</u> <u>personnel. Local outreach, education, and community engagement may</u> <u>also be considered advantageous. Leverage and matching funds</u>	5
12. The proposal’s budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	6	<u>Is the proposal's budget within the norms of this kind of work or-</u> <u>otherwise adequate to accomplish all goals and objectives described?–</u> <u>Realistic funding request including high output and administrative</u> <u>efficiency.</u>	5
MAXIMUM POSSIBLE	72	MAXIMUM POSSIBLE	100