



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

2027 Restoration Evaluations - ML 2027

ML 2027 Request for Funding

General Information

Date: 06/22/2026

Proposal Title: 2027 Restoration Evaluations - ML 2027

Funds Requested: \$206,000

Confirmed Leverage Funds: -

Is this proposal Scalable?: No

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Wade Johnson

Title: Restoration Evaluations Program Coordinator

Organization: DNR

Address: 500 Lafayette Road Box 25

City: St Paul, MN 55155-4025

Email: Wade.A.Johnson@state.mn.us

Office Number: 651-259-5075

Mobile Number:

Fax Number:

Website: <https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/legacy/restoration-evaluation.html>

Location Information

County Location(s):

Eco regions in which work will take place:

Activity types:

Priority resources addressed by activity:

Narrative

Abstract

This proposal supports the work of the Restoration Evaluation Program (REP). The REP carries out the statutory charge to annually evaluate a subset of Outdoor Heritage Fund supported projects with the goal of improving future restorations and enhancements. The REP coordinates the evaluation work, presents the evaluation results to a technical panel of experts, and collates resulting recommendations. The REP produces a related report and

offers various targeted outreach to practitioners highlighting successes, failures, lessons learned, and recommendations for improving restoration practice.

Design and Scope of Work

The Department of Natural Resources (DNR) and the Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) are jointly responsible for convening a Restoration Evaluation Panel (Panel) of technical experts to annually evaluate a sample of habitat restoration and enhancement projects completed with Outdoor Heritage funding, as provided in M.S. 97A.056, Subd. 10. Primary goals of the restoration evaluation program are to provide on the ground accountability for the use of Legacy funds and to improve future habitat restorations in the State. Per statute, the Panel will evaluate the selected habitat restoration projects relative to the law, current science, and the stated goals in the restoration plan. Program staff will identify projects to be evaluated, coordinate field assessments and provide a report to the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council (LSOHC) and the legislature determining if the restorations are meeting planned goals, any problems with implementation, and, if necessary, recommendations on improving restorations.

The anticipated long-term outcome of this program is to promote and increase impactful, long-lasting habitat restoration projects. This is accomplished by advancing awareness among practitioners and decision-makers of common challenges and recommended management options to improve future restoration projects. The primary mechanism for advancing awareness is through program staff coordinating various communications including the annual report, website, webinars, field trainings, conference seminars and workshops and integration in technical guidance (e.g. <https://bwsr.state.mn.us/native-vegetation-guidelines>). Program staff are working with the Panel, LSOHC, and project managers to explore novel approaches to advance the intent of M.S. 97A.056, Subd. 10.

Funding for this proposal will:

- Complete up to twenty initial Outdoor Heritage Fund project evaluations and three follow up evaluations of previously assessed sites. Follow up assessments provide valuable insight into tracking progress and estimating trajectory towards planned goals. Site evaluations will be conducted by a state staff and contacted assessors. Contracted assessors add value by providing deep knowledge of practice implementation and avoiding conflict of interest.
- Coordinate review by the technical evaluation panel, synthesize and organize their findings and recommendations and report the results in 2027 Legacy Restoration Evaluation report.
- Continue creating, disseminating and promoting targeted guidance for improving restoration and enhancement practices based on panel recommendations.

During 2026 the evaluation program is focused on reviewing projects completed more than 7 years ago to gauge longevity/durability and ongoing management. Outcomes will be reported to the Council in 2027. Continued discussion with the Panel and Council members will guide areas of focus in 2027.

The most recent Restoration Evaluation report, appendix of project evaluations and an overview of ongoing recommendations for improving practices are available on the MN DNR website <https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/legacy/restoration-evaluation.html>.

A permanent record of all Legacy Fund Restoration Evaluation reports beginning in 2012 are available from the Legislative Library: <http://www.leg.state.mn.us/edocs/edocs.aspx?oclnumber=823766285>.

Explain how the proposal addresses habitat protection, restoration, and/or enhancement for fish, game & wildlife, including threatened or endangered species conservation

What are the elements of this proposal that are critical from a timing perspective?

Describe how the proposal expands habitat corridors or complexes and/or addresses habitat fragmentation:

Which top 2 Conservation Plans referenced in MS97A.056, subd. 3a are most applicable to this project?

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this proposal?

Describe how this project/program will produce and demonstrate a significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or outcomes for fish, game, and wildlife:

If this project/program does not have permanent outcomes, describe why it is important to undertake at this time:

Outcomes

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This program is entirely dedicated to Legacy Fund work and does not supplant or substitute for previous funding.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

It is anticipated that the evaluation program outputs will help to create a framework for continuous improvement in restoration practice. Direct work of the Legacy Fund Restoration Evaluation Program will be sustained for the period of funding.

Provide an assessment of how your program may celebrate cultural diversity or reach diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

Activity Details

Requirements

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land?

No

Will insecticides or fungicides (including neonicotinoid and fungicide treated seed) be used within any activities of this proposal either in the process of restoration or use as food plots?

No

Previous OHF Appropriations

Have you received OHF dollars through LSOHC for this program or project in the past?

Yes

Are there any of these past appropriations still OPEN?

Yes

If needed, please include any explanation of unspent funds.

Open OHF Appropriations - Data from Most Recent Status Update

Project	Funding Amount Received	Amount Spent to Date	Funding Remaining	% Spent to Date
ML 2026 - Restoration Evaluations - ML 2026	\$189,000	-	\$189,000	0.0%
ML 2025 - Restoration Evaluations - ML 2025	\$157,000	-	\$157,000	0.0%
Totals	\$346,000	-	\$346,000	0.0%

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Evaluation Panel establishes annual priorities	July 1, 2027
Program staff select up to twenty-five project sites for evaluation	July 1, 2027
Site assessors (State staff and contractors) conduct field surveys of selected sites	October 1, 2027
2026 Restoration Evaluation report submitted to Legislature and LSOHC	April 28, 2028

Budget

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Total Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$165,000	-	-	\$165,000
Contracts	\$19,500	-	-	\$19,500
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$2,000	-	-	\$2,000
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support Services	\$17,500	-	-	\$17,500
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-
Supplies/Materials	\$2,000	-	-	\$2,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$206,000	-	-	\$206,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Total Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Site Assessors (State Agency Staff)	0.04	1.0	\$5,000	-	-	\$5,000
Program Coordinator	0.6	1.0	\$85,000	-	-	\$85,000
Evaluation Specialist	0.6	1.0	\$75,000	-	-	\$75,000

Amount of Request: \$206,000

Amount of Leverage: -

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%

DSS + Personnel: \$182,500

As a % of the total request: 88.59%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

N/A

Does this proposal have the ability to be scalable?

No

Please explain why this project can NOT be scaled:

What other dedicated funds may collaborate with or contribute to this proposal?

Personnel

Has funding for these positions been requested in the past?

Yes

Please explain the overlap of past and future staffing and position levels previously received and how that is coordinated over multiple years?

Program staff positions, Coordinator and Specialist, have have remained the same for the past seven appropriations.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

Technical evaluation of completed restorations and enhancements.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

No

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

Yes

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

DNR Direct and Necessary Calculator

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0

Restoration/Enhancement Acres Breakdown of Existing Protected Lands (Table 1a.2)

	RESTORE: Lands acquired with OHF	RESTORE: Lands NOT acquired with OHF	ENHANCE: Lands acquired with OHF	ENHANCE: Lands NOT acquired with OHF
DNR Lands (WMA, State Forests, etc.)	-	-	-	-
Non-DNR Lands (city, state, federal, etc.)	-	-	-	-
Easements	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Parcels

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list: