

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 14

Laws of Minnesota 2025 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 06/09/2025

Project Title: Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 14

Funds Recommended: \$2,663,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2025, Ch. 36, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd. 5(a)

Appropriation Language: \$2,663,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for agreements to acquire land in fee and to restore and enhance wildlife habitat in the Cannon River Watershed as follows: \$62,000 to Clean River Partners; \$1,198,000 to Great River Greening; and \$1,403,000 to the Trust for Public Land.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Jennifer Tonko Title: Executive Director Organization: Clean River Partners Address: 205 Water Street S Suite 1 City: Northfield, MN 55057 Email: jennifer@cleanriverpartners.org Office Number: 507-786-3913 Mobile Number: Fax Number: Website: https://cleanriverpartners.org/

Location Information

County Location(s): Steele, Rice, Goodhue, Waseca and Le Sueur.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

Prairie

Southeast Forest

Activity types:

Protect in Fee

Restore

Enhance

Priority resources addressed by activity:

Wetlands

Prairie

Forest

Habitat

Narrative

Abstract

The Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program will protect approximately 140 acres in fee; restore or enhance approximately 133 acres of high-priority wildlife habitat within the Cannon River Watershed, including wetlands, prairies, forests, and river/shallow lake shoreline. This program's goal is to protect existing high-quality habitat, restore degraded habitat, prevent water quality degradation, and provide public access.

Design and Scope of Work

The Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 14 continues to protect, create, and restore publicly accessible, high-quality habitat lands throughout the Cannon River Watershed, increasing restored habitat on public lands available for hunting, angling, and other recreation within an hour's drive for over half of Minnesota's population.

Located south of the Twin Cities, the 1,460 square mile Cannon River Watershed includes over 800 linear miles of streams and rivers that drain a diverse landscape. This area supports numerous habitats, including prairie, oak savanna, and Big Woods, though the watershed is now dominated by agricultural fields and, to a lesser extent, urban development. Agricultural practices and shoreline development are the major contributors to the impaired status of stretches of the Cannon River and its associated lakes and streams.

This multi-year, multi-partner program protects and restores targeted parcels of land that positively contribute to large complexes of forests, restored prairies, wetlands, lakeshore, and river shoreline.

Clean River Partners (CRP) is the local expert in the watershed, connecting with landowners, land managers, hunters, fishers, and others since 1990. CRP will coordinate this program, providing program administration and landowner outreach.

Trust for Public Land (TPL) will target large wetland/upland complexes, rare biomes (prairie, oak savanna, and Big Woods forest), shallow lakes, river shoreline, and lands adjacent to existing public land for fee-title acquisition. TPL will convey lands to the DNR except when local governmental unit ownership is appropriate.

Project #: HA01 Great River Greening (GRG) will evaluate parcels targeted for enhancement in collaboration with MN DNR to determine existing and desired conditions. Site-specific work plans and project management plans will detail the methods, practices, and timeline for the successful completion of each site.

In recent years, collaboration among partners has accelerated local awareness and created strong and growing interest in land protection and habitat restoration opportunities. Continuing our collaboration and building on this momentum, we seek to increase capacity to deliver permanent land protection and habitat improvement opportunities to protect well-connected functional forests, prairies, wetlands, lakeshores, and river shorelines that sustain and enhance native species and provide high quality outdoor recreation experiences.

Explain how the plan addresses habitat protection, restoration, and/or enhancement for fish, game & wildlife, including threatened or endangered species conservation

The areas targeted by TPL have been identified and prioritized through state, regional, and local natural resource plans due to their high biodiversity qualities and connectivity to existing public lands. Protection will occur through fee title acquisition and will target Big Woods, oak savannas, wetlands, and sensitive shoreline communities within the Cannon River Watershed. GRG will conduct significant habitat restoration and enhancement work on protected conservation lands identified by DNR and County staff within the watershed. The aim is to improve habitat values for wildlife and Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), including birds using the Mississippi River migratory corridor and pollinators. Work will restore and enhance riverine, forest, wetland, oak savanna, and prairie habitat at 2 conservation sites.

Protection, restoration and/or enhancement of these significant parcels will provide critical habitat for game species, including upland birds (dove, turkey, pheasant, and woodcock), white-tailed deer, migratory waterfowl (mallard, canvasback, wood duck, hooded merganser, pintail, and lesser scaup), and aquatic species (trout, northern pike, black crappie, bluegill, and walleye). Protection will also provide access to diverse recreational experiences including duck, pheasant, turkey, and deer hunting as well as river, stream, and lake fishing. Nongame wildlife, including SGCN, that also benefit from this effort includes bald eagle, Bell's vireo, cerulean warbler, loggerhead shrike, Sandhill crane, red-headed woodpecker, greater yellowlegs, buffbreasted sandpiper, rusty patched bumble bee, Blanding's turtle, wood turtle, mudpuppies, and the giant floater, a species of freshwater mussel.

What are the elements of this plan that are critical from a timing perspective?

Only 18% of the landscape in the Cannon River Watershed remains as forest, wetland, or prairie habitat and many of these areas have been degraded by invasive species. Protection, restoration, and enhancement of the region's unimpaired waters and increasingly vital habitat are critically important before they become further degraded by invasive species or by residential development and agricultural pressures. Failing to protect, restore, or enhance these habitats now will result in increased costs in the future. Many additional parcels with high quality habitat remain unprotected, with interested landowners willing to discuss protection opportunities for their land. Without additional funding, these lands are at risk to be sold on the open market and we will have lost existing opportunities to create new public access and outdoor recreation experiences.

Describe how the plan expands habitat corridors or complexes and/or addresses habitat fragmentation:

This program uses information from the Wildlife Action Network, Minnesota County Biological Survey, and several analysis tools to identify priority lands for protection and restoration creating and expanding conservation corridors and habitat complexes. In addition, we will consult several watershed-wide landscape planning efforts

such as the Cannon River Watershed Landscape Stewardship Plan, the Cannon River Watershed - Watershed Restoration and Protection Strategies (WRAPS), and the Cannon River Watershed Comprehensive Management Plan approved by Minnesota's Board of Water and Soil Resources and ratified by the Cannon River Watershed Joint Powers Board.

Which top 2 Conservation Plans referenced in MS97A.056, subd. 3a are most applicable to this project?

Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025

Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

Explain how this plan will uniquely address habitat resilience to climate change and its anticipated effects on game, fish & wildlife species utilizing the protected or restored/enhanced habitat this proposal targets.

This program protects and enhances lands that provide the best opportunities for maintaining biodiversity and increasing connectivity, the foundations of a resilient landscape. Enhancement activities prioritize climate resiliency by sourcing seed, plugs, and seedlings with preference to southern Minnesota. This program integrates protection and restoration at a watershed scale, supporting landscape resiliency by protecting and buffering large areas and increasing connections that facilitate species movement and adaptation to stressors, including those accelerated by climate change. Increased connectivity and biodiversity at this large scale ensure parcels of habitat suitable for sustaining wildlife across the life cycle. Coordination by this partnership at a watershed level facilitates the alignment of shared goals and leverages conservation efforts, maximizing impacts and realizing efficiencies to benefit resiliency. GRG will also continue to utilize its biochar kilns to dispose of woody debris on applicable sites to reduce carbon dioxide release and burn scar creation from brush piles.

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Prairie

Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

Southeast Forest

Protect, enhance, and restore habitat for fish, game, and nongame wildlife in rivers, cold-water streams, and associated upland habitat

Outcomes

Programs in prairie region:

Key core parcels are protected for fish, game and other wildlife ~ *Core parcels are identified in partnership with the DNR, counties, and the partners of the Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program. Success will be determined based on the acreage of lands protected, restored, and enhanced and the percentage of protected lands in the watershed.*

Programs in southeast forest region:

High priority riparian lands, forestlands, and savannas are protected from parcelization and fragmentation ~ Core parcels are identified in partnership with the DNR as well as the partners of the Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program. Success will be determined based on the acreage of lands protected, restored, and enhanced and the percentage of protected lands in the watershed.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This proposal does not substitute or supplant previous funding that was not from a Legacy fund.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

Once land has been acquired and conveyed to the DNR, initial site development and restoration of these lands will begin. Estimated costs for initial restoration or enhancement work are included in this proposal. TPL will work with DNR to complete a restoration or enhancement management plan, and implementation of that plan will be completed in the following years. These properties will be managed and maintained by the DNR according to their guidelines.

In collaboration with DNR and County personnel, parcels targeted for restoration will be evaluated by GRG to determine existing and desired conditions for each site. Information gathered will be used to develop site-specific scope of work plans for restoring ecologically desired habitats. Project management plans will detail the methods and practices to be used and a timeline for the successful completion of each site/project and maintenance in the future. After funds are expended, sites will be in a condition that the landowner will be able to maintain.

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2028	GRG - OHF	Continue R/E and begin stewardship. Target actions to maintain habitat.	Restorative action to correct damage as needed	Evaluate progress and determine if additional actions are needed
2027	GRG - OHF	Initiate R/E work	Continue R/E depending on appropriate methods and time of year	Continue R/E and begin stewardship as needed using appropriate methods and dependent on time of year
2026	GRG - OHF	Perform site evaluation and assessment in collaboration with DNR	Develop R/E plan for property. Begin monitoring	Initiate site preparation from R/E work. Continue monitoring
2028	TPL - OHF and DNR	Post property	Develop restoration/management plan for property	-
2027	TPL - DNR	Restore and steward property for habitat and public recreation	-	-
2026	TPL - DNR	Develop restoration/management plan for property	Restore and steward property for habitat and public recreation	-

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Provide an assessment of how your program celebrates cultural diversity or reaches diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

This program involves, engages, and benefits BIPOC and diverse communities through focused events that leverage Outdoor Heritage Funds. For example, recent efforts, funded through other sources, have focused on increasing the engagement of BIPOC and diverse communities across the watershed in volunteer events at sites protected and restored using past OHF appropriations. Additionally, also through different funding sources, some of this program's recent acquisition and restoration projects focus on protection of the environment and water quality while creating much-needed recreational opportunities targeting disadvantaged areas (based upon housing, income and wastewater thresholds) with significant BIPOC communities. Our partners actively encourage residents who live near habitat restoration sites and create programs specifically for people from diverse backgrounds opening up opportunities to pursue environmental careers such as GRG's Future Stewards Program.

Protecting, restoring, and enhancing diverse and resilient habitat benefits all Minnesotans. It keeps our air and water cleaner, mitigates the impacts of climate change, and conserves the biological diversity that belongs to everyone. Public land provides an opportunity for recreation and health to those who do not have access to private natural lands, whether that be for hunting, fishing, hiking, or other outdoor pursuits.

TPL's mentored hunting and angling program is a great example of this. In partnership with the MN Chapter of Backcountry Hunters and Anglers, TPL is hosting and facilitating mentored hunts and angling opportunities for diverse communities on public lands and waters across MN with a focus on ones protected with Outdoor Heritage Funds. Our target audience for mentees are diverse and historically marginalized communities, with a particular outreach focus on BIPOC communities. Our program mentors are individuals from diverse ethnic and racial backgrounds as well, helping to reinforce and foster a sense of representation, belonging, and inclusion in outdoor spaces.

Moving forward, we will continue our outreach to BIPOC communities and remain eager to expand this important work in a way that more directly, and authentically, engages diverse communities and partners in an equitable and just manner.

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Will county board or other local government approval <u>be formally sought**</u> prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?

No

Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction: We will follow the County/Township Board notification processes as directed by the current statutory language.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection? Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?

Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program? Yes

Where does the activity take place?

WMA

SNA

AMA

Permanently Protected Conservation Easements

County/Municipal

State Forests

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land? Yes

Explain what will be planted and include the maximum percentage of any acquired parcel that would be planted into foodplots by the proposer or the end owner of the property: For lands acquired that are conveyed as WMAs to the DNR, the DNR has indicated the following: The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife. Lands proposed to be acquired as WMAs may utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. In some cases this necessitates the use of GMO treated products to facilitate herbicide use in order to control weeds present in the seedbank, however, neonicotinoids will not be used.

Will insecticides or fungicides (including neonicotinoid and fungicide treated seed) be used within any activities of this program either in the process of restoration or use as food plots? No

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing? No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion? Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations: NA

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

State of MN

County

Other : Municipality

Local Unit of Government

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

AMA

State Forest

County Forest

SRA

Other : Municipal Natural Areas

WMA

SNA

City Owned : Owatonna

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

We expect to close on 2-3 acquisitions with this appropriation.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

Yes

Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

TPL is not aware of any trails or roads on any of the acquisitions on the parcel list. If any trails are discovered TPL will consult with LSOHC to determine appropriate actions and resolution.

Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition? Yes

How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished?

TPL is not aware of any trails or roads on any of the acquisitions. If any are discovered on lands to be managed by the DNR, they will be managed per DNR policy for WMAs, AMAs, SNAs or State Forests. If they are discovered on lands to be managed by local units of government, they will be managed per a maintenance and monitoring plan developed in consultation with LSOHC staff.

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Will the land that you acquire (fee or easement) be restored or enhanced within this program's funding and availability?

Yes

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Restoration and enhancement	June 30, 2030
Landowner negotiations, agreements and due diligence	June 30, 2027
Initial site development	Fall 2030
Land acquired	June 30, 2028
Finalize restoration and enhancement plans	June 30, 2026

Timeline

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2030

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

(a) Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Money appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.
(b) Money appropriated in this section is available as follows:

(1) money appropriated for acquiring real property is available until June 30, 2029;

(2) money appropriated for restoring and enhancing land acquired with an appropriation in this section is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2033;

(3) money appropriated for restoring or enhancing other land is available until June 30, 2030;

(4) notwithstanding clauses (1) to (3), money appropriated for a project that receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds is available until a date sufficient to match the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan; and

(5) money appropriated for other projects is available until the end of the fiscal year in which it is appropriated.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Grand Totals Across All Partnerships

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$284,000	-	-	\$284,000
Contracts	\$933,000	-	-	\$933,000
Fee Acquisition w/	\$1,062,000	-	-	\$1,062,000
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	\$170,000	-	-	\$170,000
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	\$9,000	\$3,000	Private Sourced,	\$12,000
			Private	
Professional Services	\$30,000	-	-	\$30,000
Direct Support	\$92,000	\$81,000	Private, Private	\$173,000
Services			Sourced, Private	
			Sourced	
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	\$2,000	-	-	\$2,000
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$81,000	\$1,000	-, Private Sourced	\$82,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$2,663,000	\$85,000	-	\$2,748,000

Partner: Great River Greening

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$137,000	-	-	\$137,000
Contracts	\$918,000	-	-	\$918,000
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	\$9,000	-	-	\$9,000
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support	\$54,000	\$55,000	Private Sourced	\$109,000
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	\$2,000	-	-	\$2,000
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$78,000	-	-	\$78,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$1,198,000	\$55,000	-	\$1,253,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Great River	0.36	5.0	\$137,000	-	-	\$137,000
Greening						
Personnel						

Partner: The Trust for Public Land

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$105,000	-	-	\$105,000
Contracts	\$12,000	-	-	\$12,000
Fee Acquisition w/	\$1,062,000	-	-	\$1,062,000
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	\$170,000	-	-	\$170,000
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	\$2,000	Private	\$2,000
Professional Services	\$30,000	-	-	\$30,000
Direct Support	\$24,000	\$24,000	Private	\$48,000
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$1,403,000	\$26,000	-	\$1,429,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Protection and Legal Staff	0.21	3.0	\$105,000	-	-	\$105,000

Partner: Clean River Partners

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$42,000	-	-	\$42,000
Contracts	\$3,000	-	-	\$3,000
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$1,000
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support	\$14,000	\$2,000	Private Sourced	\$16,000
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$3,000	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$4,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$62,000	\$4,000	-	\$66,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Clean River	0.4	3.0	\$42,000	-	-	\$42,000
Partners						

Amount of Request: \$2,663,000 Amount of Leverage: \$85,000 Leverage as a percent of the Request: 3.19% DSS + Personnel: \$376,000 As a % of the total request: 14.12% Easement Stewardship: -As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

The reduction in funding would remove the Little Cannon AMA restoration project. Program management costs, including program development and oversight, would remain consistent regardless of appropriation amount. Acquisition outputs are reduced proportionally. Restoration and enhancement acres and budgets are reduced in a way that meets the needs of remaining parcels.

Detail leverage sources and confirmation of funds:

The partnership provides privately sourced leverage for 88% of DSS; 33% of travel costs. The partnership also seeks various state, local and private funds to acquire property and conduct enhancements/restorations.

Does this project have the ability to be scalable?

Yes

If the project received 50% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why?

The Little Cannon River Stream Restoration is not a proportionately scalable project because engineering/design and FEMA analysis are determined by project, not by acreage. A 50% reduction would restore the AMA portion only. The scalability of other restorations and enhancements is dependent on the site details.

Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

Program management costs (personnel, travel, and DSS expenses) will be reduced, but not proportionately because program coordination and oversight costs remain consistent regardless of appropriation amount. CRP's program administration is consistent regardless of acres protected or restored in order to manage the program and maintain partner and community.

Personnel

Has funding for these positions been requested in the past? Yes

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

Clean River Partners contract line for OHF funds includes accounting service cost.

TPL's contract line includes potential site clean-up and initial restoration activities.

GRG's contract line includes restoration/enhancement contracts by service providers.

Professional Services

What is included in the Professional Services line?

Appraisals

Other : Environmental site assessments

Surveys

Title Insurance and Legal Fees

Fee Acquisition

What is the anticipated number of fee title acquisition transactions?

We anticipate we will close 2 to 3 transactions and investigate 2 others.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental? Yes

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging NA

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

Yes

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

Clean River Partners' DSS rate has been approved by the DNR in 2022. About 14% of Clean River Partners' DSS is provided by privately sourced leverage.

TPL's DSS request is based upon the federal rate which has been approved by the MN DNR and 50% of these costs are requested from the OHF grant while 50% is contributed as privately sourced leverage.

GRG's DSS rate has been approved by the DNR in 2023. 50% of these costs are requested from the OHF grant, while the other 50% is provided through privately sourced leverage.

Other Equipment/Tools

Give examples of the types of Equipment and Tools that will be purchased?

Hand tools, saws, brush cutters, personal protective equipment, burn equipment, seed collection equipment, repairs and other necessary equipment to complete restoration and enhancement activities.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program? No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	3	-	3
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	120	120
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	20	20
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	19	-	111	-	130
Total	19	-	114	140	273

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	\$25,600	-	\$25,600
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$1,232,100	\$1,232,100
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$204,900	\$204,900
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$102,300	-	\$1,098,100	-	\$1,200,400
Total	\$102,300	-	\$1,123,700	\$1,437,000	\$2,663,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	3	-	3
Protect in Fee with State	-	-	-	120	-	120
PILT Liability						
Protect in Fee w/o State	-	-	-	20	-	20
PILT Liability						
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	130	-	130
Total	-	-	-	273	-	273

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	\$25,600	-	\$25,600
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$1,232,100	-	\$1,232,100
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$204,900	-	\$204,900
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	\$1,200,400	-	\$1,200,400
Total	-	-	-	\$2,663,000	-	\$2,663,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	\$8,533	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$10,267
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$10,245
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$5,384	-	\$9,892	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	\$8,533	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$10,267	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$10,245	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	\$9,233	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

0.5 Miles

Parcels

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria? No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Trust for Public Land works with its public partners to identify and prioritize projects that meet their objectives and are on their priority lists. Criteria includes whether the land provides critical habitat for game and non-game species and quality public recreational opportunities, presence of unique plants and animals species (including SGCN), goals of conservation plans, adjacency to other public land or habitat complexes, existence of local support, immediacy of threats, landowner willingness and time frame.

Great River Greening works with land owning entities (public and protected private) and interested stakeholders to identify parcels where there is a need for restoration or enhancement of lands and water resources. Parcels are selected using the following criteria: permanently protected status (WMA, AMA, SNA, Forestry, County Conservation, etc.), ecological and habitat value and potential (biodiversity, size and location), congruence with existing plans and priority areas, willing and committed landowners (demonstrated through leveraged match), and leveraging opportunities.

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing	Description
					Protection	
Cannon River Turtle Preserve SNA	Goodhue	11316235	15	-	Yes	-
Little Cannon River	Goodhue	11018201	23	-	Yes	-
Warsaw WMA	Goodhue	11118208	44	-	Yes	-
Dove Lake WMA II	Le Sueur	10924221	40	-	Yes	-
Sunktokeca WMA Ph III	Rice	11021214	83	\$529,000	Yes	Shrubland/prairie buckthorn and cedar removal on 83 acres
Trout Lily WMA	Rice	11020217	50	\$669,000	Yes	40 acres of buckthorn & Siberian elm control in a young forest stand; 7 acres of perimeter shrub control surrounding previous hardwood seedings; 3 acres aspen seeding/planting

Restore / Enhance Parcels

Fee Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Horseshoe Lake AMA	Le Sueur	10923212	127	\$1,270,000	No
Circle Lake WMA Addition	Rice	11121215	101	\$1,000,000	No
Faribault WMA II	Rice	10920233	37	\$185,000	No
Gray Fox WMA II	Rice	11122228	79	\$200,000	No
Milest WMA	Rice	11121204	75	\$200,000	No
Spring Brook WMA	Rice	11122203	218	\$2,180,000	No
Somerset WMA Addition	Steele	10720232	177	\$1,083,000	No
Straight River I	Steele	10820234	58	\$200,000	No
Straight River II	Steele	10720204	53	\$556,000	No
Moonan Marsh WMA	Waseca	10822225	75	\$316,000	No

