

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

East Park WMA / Nelson Slough, Phase 2 Laws of Minnesota 2025 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 06/05/2025

Project Title: East Park WMA / Nelson Slough, Phase 2

Funds Recommended: \$1,543,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2025, Ch. 36, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd. 4(e)

Appropriation Language: \$1,543,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Middle-Snake-Tamarac Rivers Watershed District to restore and enhance wetland and upland wildlife habitat in Nelson Slough and the East Park Wildlife Management Area in Marshall County.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Morteza Maher Title: Administrator Organization: Middle-Snake-Tamarac Rivers Watershed District Address: 453 N. McKinley St. City: Warren, MN 56762 Email: morteza.maher@mstrwd.org Office Number: 218-745-4741 Mobile Number: 218-745-4741 Mobile Number: 218-745-5300 Website: www.mstrwd.org

Location Information

County Location(s): Marshall.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

Forest / Prairie Transition

Activity types:

Enhance

Priority resources addressed by activity:

Habitat

Wetlands

Narrative

Abstract

Upon completion of the East Park WMA AKA Nelson Slough project, wildlife managers will be able to effectively manage flood waters to reduce "bounce," thereby improving habitat conditions for nesting and migrating waterfowl and other wetland wildlife on this nearly 2,482-acre impoundment. This goal will be achieved through 1) replacement of the existing obsolete water control structure; and

2) increase embankment heights by three and a half feet to provide more freeboard during large flood events, thus improving management capacity and overall safety of the project to meet the current Dam Safety Codes.

Design and Scope of Work

What is the issue:

East Park WMA AKA Nelson Slough is an on-channel impoundment on Judicial Ditch 19 (JD19) built in 1971. In its over 50-year lifespan, the project has provided wetland wildlife habitat benefits and flood damage reduction benefits on East Park Wildlife Management Area (WMA). However, flood waters come more frequently than anticipated, and slow release of those flood waters is impeding wildlife production on the WMA. The structure has also passed its expected life span and doesn't meet the design standards of today.

What is the solution:

A project team established according to the 1998 Red River Basin Mediation Agreement to discuss how the project could best fit current needs. The Project Team consists of representatives from the Middle Snake Tamarac Rivers Watershed District (MSTRWD), the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR), and other local stakeholders, and settled upon the proposed design. The Watershed District along with the DNR is now looking forward to construction.

The project has two primary purposes:

1. Improve wetland wildlife habitat within the impoundment. Wildlife habitat, in particular for migratory waterfowl and wetland birds, will be managed to provide both forage and resting areas during the migration seasons, but also nesting habitat for those over-water nesting birds.

2. Improve the water storage capacity of the impoundment. In the new design the impounding capacity is not expected to change, but rather timing is expected to be utilized more effectively so the flood damages downstream are expected to be reduced with the improvements to the project.

Design and Scope of work:

MSTRWD-DNR partnership is proposing to replace the existing water control structure with a structure more capable of handling current flood events that feature the below changes:

The existing 6' primary and 70' secondary spillway will be changed to overall 250' spillway, with a 40' primary and 30' of secondary spillway, providing additional capacity that the existing structure lacks to manage the water elevation.

In addition, the existing embankments will be raised approximately 3.5' above the existing embankments to provide additional freeboard for expected flood events and to meet todays' design standards.

Managers will be able to manage water elevation and release timing more effectively with the completion of this project. Currently flood waters are slow to leave the impoundment, flooding out water bird nesting attempts and negating potential storage for follow-up flood events. Furthermore, the current embankment leaves little freeboard, making the embankment a high risk for overtopping and a potential breach. With the replacement of the water control structure, the improved embankments, and improvements to correct stability issues downstream on JD 19, flood waters can be effectively stored and metered out following downstream flood peaks to decrease damages caused to infrastructure and adjacent farmlands.

Through improvements to the JD 19 system to improve stability, proposers of the project also expect to see improvements in water quality downstream in the legal ditch system as well as in the Tamarac River and Red River.

Explain how the plan addresses habitat protection, restoration, and/or enhancement for fish, game & wildlife, including threatened or endangered species conservation

Wetlands and shallow lakes in Minnesota provide habitat for more than 20 bird Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), eight or more amphibians and reptiles, and numerous invertebrates, including mussels, snails, and dragonflies. The Wildlife Action Network ranks the quality of terrestrial and aquatic habitat of East Park WMA as High and Medium-High.

Nelson Slough provides habitat for waterfowl, migratory water birds, and other wetland wildlife. Current operation limits the rate at which flood waters can be released from the impoundment leading to unacceptable levels of "bounce" following large rain events. This bounce can in turn flood nests of over-water nesting birds, reduce light penetration necessary for submerged aquatic vegetation to grow, and dislodge floating cattail bogs which further limit habitat availability and plant growth.

Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) located at Nelson Slough could include lesser scaup, northern pintail, trumpeter swans, American and least bitterns, black terns, Franklin's gulls, and other over-water colonial nesting birds. Reduced bounce upon completion of the project should lead to better nesting success by SGCN and other waterfowl and over-water nesting birds. Specifically in the Aspen Parklands, Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025 (WAP) notes that management of shallow lakes is important for Forster's terns, red-necked grebes, and western grebes.

Managing submerged aquatic vegetation for the benefit of migrating waterfowl is key to the Minnesota Shallow Lakes Program Plan. Many species of waterfowl and other wetland-associated birds migrate through the area each spring and fall and benefit from the lake maintained in the clear-water state dominated by submerged aquatic vegetation. A state endangered species, sheathed pondweed (Stuckenia vaginata) is found within the impoundment. This submerged plant species can be negatively affected by prolonged deep water, as light penetration needed for plant growth decreases with water depth and turbidity. Completion of the project is expected to allow managers to better maintain water levels that would benefit this and other submerged aquatic vegetation species.

What are the elements of this plan that are critical from a timing perspective?

The existing facility is losing its attraction to wetland wildlife due to extreme water level fluctuations. It is also degrading due to invasive species taking over the majority of the Nelson Slough Shallow Lake. Under ML24 application this project (WRE02) was partially funded which caused to scale the project into two phases. ML24 allocation will aid the construction of the outlet structure. This proposal will provide funding to construct the embankment (Phase 2). This is important as the current embankment doesn't meet the safety codes of today. The breach of the embankment, if happens, will cause a disastrous impact on the whole WMA and downstream residences and infrastructures. With the alternating dry and wet years, the likelihood of 2025 becoming a wet year is high which will consequently increase the risk of the existing embankment breach happening.

Describe how the plan expands habitat corridors or complexes and/or addresses habitat fragmentation:

The Minnesota Duck Recovery Plan goals include boosting the state's breeding duck populations. The most productive prairie wetland habitat is a mix of wetland and grassland as a habitat complex. A complex could be 4-9 square miles and should be comprised of 10% temporary/seasonal wetlands, 10% permanent wetlands, and 40% grasslands, with the remaining 40% available for crops. In addition to mixes of grasslands and healthy wetlands, The Duck Plan also called for accelerated efforts to restore 1,800 shallow lakes. The Nelson Slough Project will contribute to management of permanent wetlands within these complexes as well as management of a shallow lake.

The Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan (2nd edition, 2018) outlines focal areas (Core Areas and Habitat Complexes) where we can build on an existing base of conservation lands and improve the habitat there. The Nelson Slough Project lies within the East Park Core Area identified in the Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan. With the improvements to the site, wetland acres will be preserved within the East Park Core Area, where there is currently a shortfall in goal acres.

The Minnesota Biological Survey (MBS) lists areas adjacent to the project of Outstanding and Moderate Biodiversity, while the impoundment itself is listed as Below. Upon completion of this project, management will continue to improve wetland habitat conditions within Nelson Slough providing habitat for SGCN such as lesser scaup, northern pintail, least bitterns, American bitterns, marsh wrens, Virginia rails, trumpeter swans and Forster's terns, as well as state endangered species such as sheathed pondweed.

Which top 2 Conservation Plans referenced in MS97A.056, subd. 3a are most applicable to this project?

Long Range Duck Recovery Plan

North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Explain how this plan will uniquely address habitat resilience to climate change and its anticipated effects on game, fish & wildlife species utilizing the protected or restored/enhanced habitat this proposal targets.

The new outlet structure will provide improved flexibility to store and release water necessary to improve habitat for wildlife in response to ever-changing climate conditions and altered hydrology. Expected increases in both precipitation and frequency of extreme precipitation events lead to increased runoff and flooding while deteriorating habitat conditions in absence of improvements from this project. A stable water level will improve nest success in this 2,482-acre impoundment.

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

Protect, restore, and enhance habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

Improved aquatic habitat vegetation ~ Pre-project submerged aquatic plant conditions have been documented on Nelson Slough by the Shallow Lakes Program of the DNR. We anticipate these surveys to continue. With this data, managers will be able to compare post-project conditions to those from past years to better guide management into the future.

Remote data loggers have been documenting water levels continuously throughout the open-water season for multiple years at Nelson Slough. Since prolonged high water can negatively affect submerged aquatic vegetation (SAV), managers will be able to estimate how the impacts to SAV would have differed without the completion of the project.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

There is no previous State funding used for this project.

There is an application to State FHM funding for this project that has not been allocated yet. In case that funding will become available, OHF and local cost share will decrease accordingly.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

A typical goal with the proper operation and maintenance is to have water control structures and embankments last a minimum of 30-40 years. Completed infrastructure will be jointly managed by DNR and MSTRWD through a joint powers agreement that includes an operation and maintenance plan. Periodic enhancements such as invasive species removal and water control structure and embankment maintenance or replacement will be accomplished through annual funding requests to a variety of funding sources including, but not limited to, the Game and Fish Fund, bonding, gifts, the Environmental and Natural Resources Trust Fund, and federal sources such as the North American Wetlands Conservation Act grants. Enhancement projects, such as cattail control, prescribed burns, and the like are implemented to achieve quality, long-lasting habitat benefits. Monitoring by area wildlife staff, shallow lakes specialists, and Watershed District staff will ensure that follow-up management is employed as needed.

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2025-2065	Any other funding	Collection of	-	-
	become available	maintenance records		
		and plan for		
		improvements on an		
		annual basis		
		accordingly		
2025-2065	DNR - MSTRWD	Collection of	-	-
		maintenance records		
		and plan for		
		improvements on an		
		annual basis		
		accordingly		
2025-2065	Any other funding	Operation and	Cattail Control,	Structural inspection
	become available	Maintenance of new	Prescribed Burn on as	on as needed basis
		structures	needed basis	
2025-2065	DNR - MSTRWD	Operation and	Cattail Control,	Structural inspection
		Maintenance of new	Prescribed Burn on as	on as needed basis
		structures	needed basis	

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Provide an assessment of how your program celebrates cultural diversity or reaches diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

Black, Indigenous, and People of color and diverse communities make up about 20% of the population of Minnesota, but only about 5% of the state park visitors, suggesting that there are barriers to use of public lands by BIPOC.

The Nelson Slough Project is located within East Park WMA in Marshall Co. This is a rural area of the state with low population densities, and a large portion (97% during the last census) of white residents. While as a WMA it is publicly accessible by all residents of Minnesota and visitors to the state, we recognize that most users of the WMA will likely not come from diverse communities. There are no tribal lands in Marshall Co., though the Red Lake Nation is about 35 miles from East Park WMA, providing reasonable access to those inhabitants.

The MSTRWD adheres to non-discriminatory practices when awarding contracts for construction. We at the project management level will do all we can to provide equal opportunity and encourage BIPOC to be involved in this project.

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program? Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program? Yes

Where does the activity take place?

WMA

Public Waters

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land? No

Will insecticides or fungicides (including neonicotinoid and fungicide treated seed) be used within any activities of this program either in the process of restoration or use as food plots? No

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Construction	2026-2027
Operation and Maintenance Starts from	2027
Final Engineering and Permitting	2024
\mathbf{D} - the set \mathbf{C}	

Date of Final Report Submission: 06/04/2030

Availability of Appropriation: Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

(a) Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Money appropriated for fee title acquisition of land may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.
(b) Money appropriated in this section is available as follows:

(1) money appropriated for acquiring real property is available until June 30, 2029;

(2) money appropriated for restoring and enhancing land acquired with an appropriation in this section is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2033;

(3) money appropriated for restoring or enhancing other land is available until June 30, 2030;

(4) notwithstanding clauses (1) to (3), money appropriated for a project that receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds is available until a date sufficient to match the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan; and

(5) money appropriated for other projects is available until the end of the fiscal year in which it is appropriated.

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	-	-	-	-
Contracts	\$1,543,000	\$573,600	MSTRWD,RRWMB,BWSR	\$2,116,600
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	-	\$552,400	MSTRWD	\$552,400
Direct Support	-	-	-	-
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$1,543,000	\$1,126,000	•	\$2,669,000

Amount of Request: \$1,543,000 Amount of Leverage: \$1,126,000 Leverage as a percent of the Request: 72.97% DSS + Personnel: -As a % of the total request: 0.0% Easement Stewardship: -As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

MSTRWD will try value engineer or make scope reduction from the general contract so the reduced budget will meet the contract price. Also any other funding sources will be pursued with an intention to complete the project all at once.

Detail leverage sources and confirmation of funds:

Red River Watershed Management Board (RRWMB) is in favor of the project and have already apprved step 2 report. After Step 3 approval the funding will become available. BWSR funding through 1W1P (WBIF) is allocated and available. MSTRWD adopted this project and as such has funded so far.

Does this project have the ability to be scalable?

No

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

construction activities related to the Levee part of the project.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program? No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	892	-	-	238	1,130
Total	892	-	-	238	1,130

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$771,500	-	-	\$771,500	\$1,543,000
Total	\$771,500	-	-	\$771,500	\$1,543,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	1,130	-	-	-	1,130
Total	-	1,130	-	-	-	1,130

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	\$1,543,000	-	-	-	\$1,543,000
Total	-	\$1,543,000	-	-	-	\$1,543,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	\$864	-	-	\$3,241

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	\$1,365	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Parcels

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

The parcels identified are those that are within the 100 year flood plain and the footprint on Nelson Slough within East Park WMA.

It is noteworthy that the parcel list is the same as the ML2024 application and Accomplishment Plan. Since the project footprint has not changed between phases 1-3, it is anticipated the same list should meet the purpose of this part of the application.

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing	Description
					Protection	
120075000	Marshall	15844223	75	-	Yes	-
120077000	Marshall	15844223	262	-	Yes	-
120087000	Marshall	15844226	6	-	Yes	-
124068001	Marshall	15844214	99	-	Yes	-
124074001	Marshall	15844220	66	-	Yes	-
124087202	Marshall	15844227	54	-	Yes	-
125087002	Marshall	15844227	41	-	Yes	-
125087301	Marshall	15844228	36	-	Yes	-
126068002	Marshall	15844215	31	-	Yes	-
126068004	Marshall	15844214	46	-	Yes	-
126074002	Marshall	15844221	618	-	Yes	-
126074004	Marshall	15844220	17	-	Yes	-
126075001	Marshall	15844223	3	-	Yes	-
126087004	Marshall	15844229	4	-	Yes	-
126087201	Marshall	15844227	378	-	Yes	-
127068003	Marshall	15844216	1	-	Yes	-
127074002	Marshall	15844222	658	-	Yes	-
127087003	Marshall	15844228	466	-	Yes	-

Restore / Enhance Parcels



