

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: Rep. John Burkul

Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species	4. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation	6. Proposal outcomes will help address climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time	10. Proposal includes leverage	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score)		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	5	3	4	3	7	3	5	6	5	2	4	3	50	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 4.00%
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	4	3	3	2	5	3	4	5	5	6	4	3	47	Protect? Leverage as a percent of the Request: 20.00%
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	3	4	4	2	4	3	3	4	5	3	4	3	42	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 8.00%
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	5	5	6	3	4	3	7	5	6	2	4	3	53	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 9.00%
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	4	5	4	2	5	3	4	4	4	0	3	2	40	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	5	5	3	3	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	52	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 5.00%
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	4	5	3	2	5	3	6	5	3	6	8	8	58	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 15.25%
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	5	7	6	6	6	3	5	5	6	7	7	8	71	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 25.3%
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	6	6	7	6	6	3	7	5	6	0	4	6	62	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	6	6	5	4	5	3	5	4	5	0	6	7	56	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
FR02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	6	6	7	5	5	3	6	5	4	5	6	7	65	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 14.50%
FR03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	4	5	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	3	6	7	55	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 7.75%
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	6	7	7	6	6	3	5	4	7	0	4	5	60	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	3	3	50	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 11.6%
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	6	6	5	5	6	3	6	7	6	0	4	3	57	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	4	4	5	3	6	3	6	6	7	7	6	5	62	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 14.5%
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	4	4	5	4	5	3	5	4	4	0	4	3	45	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	8	8	10	10	5	3	8	8	8	10	8	10	96	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 43.2%
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	6	6	5	5	5	3	5	4	6	7	7	7	66	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 15.42%
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	4	5	4	4	6	3	5	6	4	3	3	3	50	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.00%
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	8	8	5	10	6	3	5	7	4	0	10	10	76	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	6	5	5	4	7	3	5	6	5	3	5	5	59	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 8.75%
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	7	7	7	8	7	3	6	7	8	0	6	7	73	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	6	5	5	6	5	3	5	4	5	3	5	4	56	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 7.00%
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	6	7	7	7	6	3	5	6	7	2	6	6	68	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 2.00%
HA05	Canon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	5	6	6	7	6	3	5	7	6	2	5	5	63	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 8.61%
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	6	7	6	6	7	3	5	7	6	1	5	3	62	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 1.00%
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	7	6	6	7	7	3	7	7	8	1	6	6	71	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 14.0%
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	6	7	5	6	6	3	6	6	7	3	6	6	67	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 7.00%
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	7	7	7	7	7	3	5	6	6	2	7	7	69	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 3.13%
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	7	8	5	7	7	3	8	6	7	6	8	8	80	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.00%
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	6	7	5	5	7	3	7	7	6	3	6	6	68	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 6.00%
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	5	7	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	1	4	4	54	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 3.17%
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	6	7	5	6	5	3	6	6	8	6	6	7	71	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.25%
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	5	5	5	3	3	3	4	4	4	0	5	3	44	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	5	6	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	3	6	5	56	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 7.00%
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	6	5	5	4	6	3	6	4	5	5	5	4	58	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 14.18%
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	7	7	6	2	5	3	5	6	5	3	5	5	59	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.61%
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	6	6	5	2	6	3	6	5	5	1	4	7	56	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 3.25%
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	4	5	4	3	6	3	5	6	6	6	5	5	58	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 24.5%
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	8	7	6	5	6	3	7	7	8	4	6	8	75	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.00%
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	7	7	7	5	4	3	6	5	5	6	7	7	69	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.00%
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	7	8	6	4	6	3	7	5	7	0	5	5	63	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	8	8	6	8	6	3	7	7	8	10	8	8	87	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 81.75%
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	8	8	6	8	7	3	7	6	7	8	8	8	84	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 13.33%
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	7	8	6	7	6	3	5	8	8	10	8	8	84	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 14.0%
HRE09	Owamiyomni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	8	8	5	5	4	3	5	7	4	10	7	3	69	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 22.5%
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	7	8	6	9	7	3	7	7	8	10	8	8	88	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 83.33%
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	8	8	7	6	6	3	7	7	7	6	8	8	81	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 10.25%
O1	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Core Functions / Statutory compliance ???
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Core Functions / Statutory compliance ???
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Core Functions / Statutory compliance ???
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	5	5	4	2	3	3	5	4	4	6	5	5	51	Leverage as a percent of the Request: 9.5%

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: **Dudley Edmondson**

Due Date: **Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.**

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes	
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10			Max points: 10
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	8	9	8	7	7	8	8	9	8	9	8	8	8	97	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	8	9	9	7	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	8	7	96	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	93	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	94	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	9	8	9	7	8	8	8	9	8	0	8	8	9	91	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	8	8	8	9	7	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	94	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	95	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	96	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	9	8	0	9	9	9	96	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	8	8	8	7	8	8	9	8	8	0	8	8	8	88	
FRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	10	10	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	10	10	10	111	
FRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	10	10	10	9	9	9	8	9	9	7	10	10	10	110	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	0	10	9	9	104	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	8	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	9	7	7	8	8	97	
WA03	BIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	9	8	8	9	8	9	8	8	0	9	8	8	92	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	88	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	8	8	8	7	8	9	9	8	8	0	8	8	8	89	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	9	9	8	7	8	8	9	8	8	9	9	8	8	100	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	8	8	9	7	8	8	9	8	8	9	8	8	8	98	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	8	8	8	7	9	8	8	8	9	7	8	8	8	96	
WRE05	Bale Alice - Ferguson Falls	10	10	10	10	8	9	10	10	10	0	10	10	10	107	These are the exact types of proposals USDC should encourage. They provide crucial habitat in urban spaces that are often forgotten by conservation organizations. Make sure you clearly state something back in urban/ city spaces where most of Minnesota happens here.
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	8	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	7	8	9	9	98	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted BIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	10	10	10	10	8	10	9	9	9	0	10	10	10	105	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	10	10	10	7	9	10	10	9	9	0	9	9	9	102	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	9	9	9	10	8	9	9	8	9	7	10	8	8	105	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	8	9	8	8	8	9	8	9	9	7	9	9	9	101	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	9	8	8	7	9	9	8	9	8	7	8	8	8	98	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	9	7	8	9	9	103	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	0	8	8	8	91	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	9	105	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	99	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	6	8	8	8	98	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	8	9	7	8	9	9	102	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	8	9	8	9	9	9	103	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	92	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	9	0	9	9	9	96	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	6	9	9	9	103	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	9	10	10	9	9	9	10	9	9	8	9	9	9	110	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	10	10	10	9	9	10	9	9	9	6	8	9	9	108	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	9	8	9	9	102	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	7	9	9	9	101	
HRE04	Kroff River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	106	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	0	9	9	9	105	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Herring Splinter Mussels	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	8	8	9	9	107	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation, Phase II	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	8	9	8	9	9	9	104	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE09	Dwamijomni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	104	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	7	9	9	9	104	
O1	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: Kristin Eggerling

Due Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes Max points: 10	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How Max points: 10	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species Max points: 10	4. Degree of timing/opportunity Max points: 10	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation Max points: 10	6. Proposal outcomes will help address climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way Max points: 10	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife Max points: 10	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes Max points: 10	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time Max points: 10	10. Proposal includes leverage Max points: 10	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work Max points: 10	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score) Max points: 10		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	4	8	8	109	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	2	8	8	106	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	9	8	8	112	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	8	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	4	8	8	106	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	0	8	8	104	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	6	8	8	109	
PA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	8	7	113	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	8	10	8	8	8	7	8	8	10	10	8	7	100	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	9	9	9	8	9	8	10	10	10	0	8	8	98	
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	8	9	10	9	10	9	10	9	8	0	8	7	97	
FR02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	8	8	113	
FR03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	8	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	9	8	7	7	107	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	9	9	0	8	8	102	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	9	10	10	9	10	9	10	9	10	10	8	8	112	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	9	9	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	0	8	8	101	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	8	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	8	8	112	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	8	0	8	8	103	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	98	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	8	8	115	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	9	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	8	7	112	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	8	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	9	0	7	7	74	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	8	8	115	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	8	9	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	0	8	8	101	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	8	8	8	113	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	2	8	8	107	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	10	4	8	8	108	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	9	8	9	8	9	8	8	7	8	1	7	5	87	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	9	9	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	1	8	7	101	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	8	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	8	8	8	110	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	10	8	10	9	10	8	10	8	8	3	8	8	100	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	8	7	100	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	10	10	10	8	9	8	10	9	10	7	8	8	107	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	9	10	3	8	7	104	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	8	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	10	10	8	8	102	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	10	9	0	8	8	103	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	9	10	7	8	8	110	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	9	10	10	8	7	112	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	8	8	115	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	10	9	10	10	9	8	9	9	9	3	8	8	102	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	9	8	9	8	9	8	9	9	8	10	8	8	103	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	7	7	7	8	8	7	8	8	9	10	8	8	95	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	10	10	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	10	8	8	107	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	9	10	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	0	8	8	99	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	9	9	8	8	10	6	7	8	8	10	8	8	99	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	7	8	7	8	8	7	8	8	9	10	8	7	95	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	8	8	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	10	8	7	89	
HRE09	Dwamijomi Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	8	10	7	6	88	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	9	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	10	8	8	96	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	6	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	10	8	7	87	
O1	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	10	110	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	10	110	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	3	8	8	108	
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

DAVID HARTWELL															
Criteria															
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes.	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses Who, What, Where, Why, and How.	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species.	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency.	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation.	6. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	10. Proposal includes leverage.	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compete for potential funding (low score).	Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Ph 14	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	89	Staffing seems high	
PA02	Accelerating the WMA Program - Ph 16	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	7	8	4	7	6	90	Please define "small percentage" in the food plot section - specific to acquisitions in this proposal
PA03	Prairie Chicken Hdb. Part of the S. Red River Valley - Ph 10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	1	8	6	88	Is leverage going to be scaled if less than full funding is received?
PA04	Wadena County WMA Acquisition - Ph 8	7	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	8	1	7	8	81	Since these acquisitions are part of compiles, why the need to have food plots since there will be plenty of other habitat surrounding it that is available for wildlife?
PR001	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Ph 16	8	8	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	0	8	6	76	Why does this project not participate in the rowing crews?
PR002	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Ph 7	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	1	9	9	86	Why is it better for PF to do this work on Public Lands rather than the agency that owns them? Especially since the work is being done by private contractors?
FA01	BMI Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	8	4	10	10	109	What assurance will be provided that counties will treat acquired lands as habitat related holdings vs recreation or economic holdings? What leverage is available to acquire all 350 interested landowners property?
FA02	Camp Ripley Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Ph 12	8	8	8	10	6	8	10	8	10	4	10	10	100	With 350 interested landowners, why is the perimeter of the project so large. It would make more sense to focus on infill projects rather than those in "outlying" areas. What are the limitations on the ACUB funding? Should we try and define trails since BWSR does not feel we have given them a definition that they can manage to?
FA03	2024 Riparian Hdb. Prot. in the Kettle & Snake River WS	10	8	10	8	8	8	10	8	10	0	10	10	100	Leverage involved is 3 to 1 but approval only indicates 1 to 1
FR011	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Ph 4	7	8	8	6	6	5	5	6	4	0	10	5	70	Is this work subsidizing forest timber interests as much as creating wildlife habitat. If not, why? Most appropriations are not spent quickly and are open when this is all contract work. How could they quickly spend \$6M when they cannot spend \$1.2M?
FR002	Young Forest Conservation - Ph 4	ABC												CDI	
FR003	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Miss. River, Ph 5	8	8	8	8	10	7	7	6	8	0	1	7	78	Why is funding being asked for a Policy Director? What reduction in personnel if project is not fully funded does not seem right
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Ph 9	9	8	9	9	9	6	8	8	8	0	8	8	90	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Prot. & Rest. Program - Ph 13	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	2	7	6	87	2.5% of WMA's have food plots. What is the percentage of land that would be acquired with this proposal that would have food plots on them (areas of food plots vs total acreage)?
WA03	WMA Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in MN	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	5	5	80	Proposed restrictions restoration but budget does not have any funds for this
WA04	Accelerating the WMA Program - Phase 16	8	8	8	6	7	8	8	7	8	4	7	4	83	Table showing prior appropriations has a total of 59.2 M remaining but the total does not equal the figures in the table. Is leverage locked in or will it be scaled if the request is not fully funded?
WR001	DNR Act. Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Ph 16	8	7	8	6	7	7	8	7	7	0	8	4	77	More of a flood control project than a habitat one.
WR002	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	7	7	5	5	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	5	66	If more flood waters can be stored, will that not decrease grassland habitat for ground nesting birds? How would a new structure that prevents downstream flooding reduce the "bounce" in large rainfall/melting events? What is being done upstream to hold water on the land vs allowing it to create floodplain conditions?
WR003	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Ph 9	8	8	8	6	7	7	8	8	8	4	7	9	88	
WR004	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetl. Enhance & Rest. Init. - Ph 10	8	8	8	6	8	7	8	8	8	1	7	6	83	Funds remaining (\$1.6M) is not total of the numbers shown available in prior years (\$2.2M)
WR005	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	8	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	7	0	8	8	74	What is being done to address the problem (reduce phosphorus) rather than treat it (trap and remove it)? Explain how a sediment trap removed phosphorus since it can be dissolved in the water.
WA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Prot. & Rest. - Ph 5	9	8	8	8	10	8	10	8	10	2	10	10	101	Is there a plan/commitment to maintain the restorations done by GRP by the various landowners involved?
WA02	Pine & Leech Watershed Phase II Targeted RIM Easement	8	8	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	0	9	10	91	No match but then indicates a federal match that is confirmed?
WA03	Project: MN's Lake of Outstanding Biological Sign. - Ph 3	9	8	8	8	9	8	9	9	10	1	8	8	95	What restrictions will be placed on land transferred to USFS?
WA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Rest. Program - Ph 8	8	8	8	7	8	5	8	8	8	0	8	8	84	Roads and trails on fee property?
WA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Prot. and Rest. Prog. Ph 13	7	7	6	7	9	7	8	8	8	0	7	6	80	What does "generally there would be no net gain of trails" mean? Scaling: if 1/2 or 1/3 of the funding was proposed, it is hard to imagine that the program development, coordination and oversight costs would be the same as in a \$6.4M proposal.
WA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	5	5	6	5	7	4	8	5	5	0	5	4	59	Interesting but this model seems suspect to me. They do not want to disclose their staffing costs rather just bill per foot of work on a per acre basis. But they then also want DQ. Not sure who would hold the easement short term. No money for long term monitoring and enforcement of easements. Management strategy long term is to "educate landowners" but that is faulty as owners change and this will not work in the long term.
WA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	8	8	8	5	9	6	8	7	8	0	8	5	80	How is it that in the past they have exceeded goals by 20%. More easements and less fee acquisition, more donated value, changing land values, initial goals that were not realistic, something else? Not specific to this proposal but in general, how many LSOHC funded easements have had violation? Specifically, how have they been resolved - restoration agreements, legal prosecution, court decisions, penalties, unresolved, etc?
WA08	Fisheries Hdb. Prot. on Strategic MN Lakes - Ph 10	9	9	8	8	9	8	9	7	9	1	9	6	92	Why are funds remaining from 2014 and 2016 appropriations but mostly used up from 2017, 2018 and 2019 appropriations?
HA09	Shoepoke Creek Headwaters Rest. - Sports and Land Proc.	8	9	8	6	7	8	9	8	9	1	9	8	88	Generally no net gain of trails. Can you actually commit to something rather than being as specific as that?
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Ph 1	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	5	85	Why are funds remaining from 2014 and 2016 appropriations but mostly used up from 2017, 2018 and 2019 appropriations?
HA11	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Ph 2	8	9	8	7	8	2	8	7	8	1	8	8	88	How is this far more a cultural proposal than a habitat one. Not a bad project but I don't see it as appropriate for funds constitutional dedicated to habitat.
HA12	Southeast MN Protection and Restoration - Ph 12	8	8	8	7	9	8	8	8	8	1	7	5	85	Scaling: would leverage also be scaled and if so, how?
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Ph 9	8	8	8	7	9	8	8	7	8	2	8	8	89	How are food plots consistent with the desire to remove agricultural practices and restore natural ecosystems in the river and floodplain?
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 16	7	8	9	8	9	7	8	7	8	0	9	9	89	2.5% of WMA's have food plots. What is the percentage of land that would be acquired with this proposal that would have food plots on them (areas of food plots vs total acreage)?
HA15	Accep Habitat Conservation in Southwest MN - Ph 3	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	1	8	9	91	Why open appropriations from 2009 on including \$778k from 2011?
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Ph 5	8	7	7	7	8	7	8	8	8	2	6	4	80	With \$7.6M spent over 4 appropriations, the request for \$16.6k seems quite high.
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Ph 14	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	2	7	6	86	What is GRP bringing to this partnership that cannot be provided by others? They are only requesting 7% of the total request. Enhance cost per acre seems high. Please explain. There appear to be appropriations open from 2010 including \$2.3M from 2012, \$900k from 2013 and \$1.4M from 2018. Why?
HR001	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Ph 9	8	8	7	7	8	7	8	8	7	1	8	8	85	The proposal mentions monitoring boathar efforts. Would funds from this proposal be used for that if not, where would they come from?
HR002	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Ph 7	9	9	8	7	9	6	8	9	9	4	7	6	91	If less than full funding, what happens with the match?
HR003	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	2	8	8	90	Two full time stream biologists seems like a lot for these projects
HR004	Kafole River Habitat Rehabilitation - Ph 7	8	7	8	6	8	6	8	8	8	2	7	8	84	Where do Clean Water Funds go?
HR005	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Ph 11	9	8	7	7	9	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	88	A half time person to manage one contract seems excessive. No leverage?
HR006	Rest. Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Hab. in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Head Splitter Mussel	8	6	6	7	7	5	6	8	8	10	8	8	87	Preserve Creek trail? How is that important for wildlife habitat? Are you asking for funds from LSOHC for this? Who is responsible for the infrastructure and are they contributing to this project?
HR007	Rousseau Lake Rehabilitation - Ph 2	8	6	7	6	9	8	6	7	8	4	8	6	83	This request is asking for funds to reduce infrastructure risk - not focused on habitat. The matched funds will expire if not matched by 12/21/23 - even if we recommend this, the legislature will not act for close to 6 months after the deadline outlined in the proposal.
HR008	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	9	8	6	8	5	9	7	9	6	10	8	7	92	Is all the land that would be covered in water currently owned by public entities? No easements but proposal indicates project as a wildlife one. What is the flood control funding that would match the LSOHC funds instead of expecting 2/3rds of the funding to come from funds dedicated to wildlife?
HR009	Owamoyomi Native Land. & River Rest. (St. Anthony Falls)	8	7	3	5	3	1	2	5	8	10	5	3	60	Where is some significant funding from the city and adjacent landowners as this project directly benefits adjacent landowners (with threatened buildings) and is caused by city development. Why are nose syndrome in the bats on site? Only 2 bats use the current facility? Math in attachment does not add up - \$6.3M - \$2.89M (FEMA) - \$828k (LCCMR) = \$2.586 but says \$2.3M which is the request of LSOHC. This is far more a cultural proposal than a habitat one. Not a bad project but I don't see it as appropriate for funds constitutional dedicated to habitat.
HR010	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	7	8	7	5	7	8	8	6	8	8	8	8	88	Do not understand the "cutoff wall control structure and how it will not impede passage. A lot of non habitat reasons for this project.
HR011	Little Devil Track River Restoration	7	8	6	5	5	6	7	7	9	2	7	6	75	What is the total cost estimate of fixing the entire system? Rationale is that the city wants to avoid maintenance cost of existing structure - more than habitat need. With healthy populations of brook trout above and below the site, I don't see huge benefits in connecting them with this project. Seems a bit more like a road project than a habitat one.
D1	Contract Management													0	
D2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024													0	Why is a proposer on the evaluation team. Seems like a major conflict of interest.
D3	DNR Core Functions in Partnered DNF Land Acquisitions													0	Please provide specific examples of lessons learned that have influenced specific projects.
CPL	CPL Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	8	10	7	7	10	10	10	8	8	2	10	10	100	How is this proposal suitable if requested funds are not all recommended? \$3M for groups not receiving funding before - is that realistic and what is the goal of this? Do not understand the DNF Appropriation Awards table that indicates things like - 174K remaining or funds remaining from 2010 on. 3 people working for 3 years seems like overkill since this is an annual appropriation with annual staffing. And all 3 people earning the same amount?

Leverage	0	0 - 4%
	1	5 - 9%
	2	10 - 19%
	4	20 - 39%
	6	40 - 59%
	8	60 - 79%
	10	80% +

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: Sen. Foung Howj

Due Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes Max points: 10	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How Max points: 10	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species Max points: 10	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency Max points: 10	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation Max points: 10	6. Proposal outcomes will help address habitat climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way Max points: 10	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife Max points: 10	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes Max points: 10	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time Max points: 10	10. Proposal includes leverage Max points: 10	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work Max points: 10	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score) Max points: 10		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	98	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	9	9	9	8	9	8	8	9	9	9	10	10	107	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	98	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	10	8	8	100	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	98	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	10	10	103	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	9	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	7	7	100	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	97	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	98	
PRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	97	
PRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	10	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	101	
PRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	98	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	99	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	9	10	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	102	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	9	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	98	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	10	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	9	9	106	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	99	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	96	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	107	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	97	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	10	10	101	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	99	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	99	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	9	9	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	105	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	9	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	103	
HA05	Canon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	105	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	9	9	7	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	98	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	9	9	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	96	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	96	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	98	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	96	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	106	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	97	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	101	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	9	9	9	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	102	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	97	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	9	9	8	7	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	96	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	96	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	9	9	8	7	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	98	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	98	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	10	9	102	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	99	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	100	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	99	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	97	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	101	
HRE09	Owamiyomni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	98	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	9	100	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	9	10	9	101	
O1	Contract Management	9	9	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	99	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	10	10	110	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	9	10	10	104	
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	8	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: Mark Holsten

Due Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes	
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation	6. Proposal outcomes will help address climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time	10. Proposal includes leverage	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score)			
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	10	10	10	6	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	8	95	concerned about high past year fund balance - slip a year?	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	102	HMA - is this new to this program? No ID parcels for HMA	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	8	98	No mention of HMA - Why not in this program?	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	10	10	10	8	9	8	8	8	2	8	8	8	97		
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	10	10	10	5	4	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	87	Very large fund balance - need update at hearings	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	10	10	10	7	4	8	8	8	3	8	8	8	92	If appears to be able to move faster than PRE01	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	6	8	10	8	8	99	Uncertain about NWOT roll - why not directly with county?
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	104		
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	94	DNR - remote access/showing partnership opportunities?	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	10	10	10	3	8	8	8	6	6	0	8	8	85	Implementation doesn't start until '28	
FRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	6	6	7	8	8	94	think like the program has been strong out over the 4 years?	
FRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	10	10	10	7	5	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	94		
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	93		
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	102		
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	93		
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	9	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	103		
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	91	Large fund balance - out year projects	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	10	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	103		
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	10	10	10	5	6	8	8	8	6	10	8	8	97		
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	10	10	10	8	6	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	97		
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	10	10	4	5	3	5	5	8	6	0	8	8	72		
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	100		
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	94		
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	98		
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	8	1	8	8	92	Large fund balance - out year projects	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	95	Recreation component to project?	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	9	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	1	6	6	90	New funding process - has this model been tested anywhere?	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	1	8	8	95	clear all previous funding will be committed	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	8	4	8	8	95	need updated fund balance update	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	10	10	10	5	5	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	88	is this a priority area or opportunity to fix poor land use practice	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	10	10	10	8	6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100	new area - I need more detail for each basin - degrees of benefit	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	10	10	10	5	6	8	8	8	8	3	8	8	92		
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	2	8	8	95	update previous funding levels at hearing	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	102	contradiction between unspent funding and project timeline for this funding	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	94		
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	99		
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	103		
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	10	10	10	5	6	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	96		
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	1	8	8	95		
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	101	explain Volume of previous year funding	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	10	10	10	5	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	98	explain Volume of previous year funding	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	103		
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	10	10	10	3	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	89	explain Volume of previous year funding	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	104		
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	10	10	10	7	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	103	update unspent funds	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	10	10	7	7	5	5	5	8	8	10	8	8	91		
HRE09	Owamoyomi Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	10	8	4	7	5	4	5	8	8	10	8	8	85	This looks like a 5 year city river park with a paved trail through the middle	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	10	10	8	7	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	101		
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	10	10	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	8	104		
O1	Contract Management	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	88		
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	88		
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	88		
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	88		

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: **Sen. Andrew Lang**

Due Date: **Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.**

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes Max points: 10	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How Max points: 10	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species Max points: 10	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency Max points: 10	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation Max points: 10	6. Proposal outcomes will help address habitat climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way Max points: 10	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife Max points: 10	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes Max points: 10	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time Max points: 10	10. Proposal includes leverage Max points: 10	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work Max points: 10	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score) Max points: 10		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	8	8	8	6	6	81	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	7	8	6	6	7	6	8	7	8	5	6	6	80	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	5	7	6	85	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	7	7	6	6	7	6	7	7	7	5	6	6	77	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	7	8	7	6	6	6	7	7	8	8	6	6	82	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	7	8	7	6	6	7	6	8	8	7	6	6	82	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	8	8	5	6	6	78	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	8	8	7	7	6	7	8	8	8	10	7	6	90	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	8	8	7	8	7	7	8	7	8	8	7	6	89	
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	7	8	4	6	6	76	
FR02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	7	6	6	6	7	6	5	6	6	5	6	5	71	
FR03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	7	6	6	6	6	6	5	7	5	8	6	6	74	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	8	8	6	6	6	5	6	5	7	5	6	7	75	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	8	7	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	8	7	6	86	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	8	8	5	7	6	79	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	7	6	7	6	6	6	8	7	8	10	6	6	83	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	6	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	8	5	6	6	74	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	8	8	7	8	7	7	8	7	8	10	8	7	93	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	6	7	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	10	7	6	83	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	7	8	7	6	7	7	8	7	8	8	7	6	86	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	8	8	8	8	7	6	6	7	7	5	8	8	86	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	7	7	8	7	6	6	7	7	7	8	7	6	83	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	8	8	7	6	88	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	6	7	7	6	6	6	5	8	6	5	7	7	76	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	8	7	7	8	6	6	7	8	8	5	7	7	84	
HA05	Canon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	7	6	8	6	6	6	7	8	7	5	6	6	78	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	7	7	7	7	6	7	7	8	7	5	7	7	82	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	8	7	5	7	7	80	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	7	7	7	7	6	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	86	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	8	8	7	6	8	8	7	8	8	5	7	7	87	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	7	7	8	7	6	6	7	8	8	10	7	6	87	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	7	7	7	6	7	6	7	7	8	5	7	6	80	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	6	6	7	6	7	6	7	8	7	5	7	7	79	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	7	6	7	6	6	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	82	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	7	6	6	6	7	6	7	7	8	0	6	7	73	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	6	7	6	6	7	7	6	7	8	8	7	6	81	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	8	8	7	6	6	7	7	8	7	8	7	6	84	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	6	6	7	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	83	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	6	7	7	6	7	6	8	8	8	5	6	6	80	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	6	7	7	6	6	6	8	7	8	10	7	7	85	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	7	7	6	7	6	7	7	7	8	8	7	6	83	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	8	8	6	6	6	6	7	7	8	10	7	7	86	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	7	7	7	6	7	8	7	8	7	0	6	6	76	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	8	7	7	7	6	7	6	8	7	10	7	7	87	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	7	7	7	8	6	7	8	8	8	10	8	6	90	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	7	7	6	6	6	6	7	8	6	10	6	6	81	
HRE09	Owamoyomi Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	7	7	6	6	7	6	7	7	7	10	8	7	85	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	8	7	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	10	7	7	91	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	10	8	7	93	
O1	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: **Representative Leon Little**

Due Date: **Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.**

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species	4. Degree of timing/opportunity	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation	6. Proposal outcomes will help address habitat climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time	10. Proposal includes leverage	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score)		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Cost Concern
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
FRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
FRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	108	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	High potential
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Not sure about the in town part of this project
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Does this leverage Clean Water Funds?
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Seems to have many high potentials
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	84	
HRE09	Owamniyomi Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O1	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: **Ashley J. Peters**

Due Date: **Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.**

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species	4. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation	6. Proposal outcomes will help address climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time	10. Proposal includes leverage	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score)		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	10	9	9	5	9	7	6	7	8	4	8	10	92	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	5	8	9	5	9	8	8	8	8	10	8	5	91	Please include # of acres in abstract, or at least scope of work
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	5	8	10	9	9	7	9	9	8	8	8	5	95	Please include total # of acres in abstract. Urgency for this one is very compelling.
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	5	9	8	4	9	7	7	8	7	4	5	2	75	\$ from 2018 not spent down, \$2.7 mil higher than last year's request w/out significant explanation.
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	10	9	8	7	9	10	9	8	8	0	8	10	96	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	10	9	8	6	8	8	8	7	8	6	10	10	98	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	10	9	8	5	8	5	9	8	7	10	8	8	95	NOT suitable. County letters included
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	10	9	9	5	7	7	8	7	7	10	8	10	97	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	10	9	9	4	8	6	8	8	8	0	8	10	88	
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	5	8	8	6	8	10	8	8	9	0	6	8	84	
FR02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	9	8	7	10	9	109	Well written
FR03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	5	8	8	9	8	5	9	8	7	8	9	10	94	Please include acres in abstract. Climate explanation could've been better.
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	10	6	10	5	8	7	6	8	9	0	8	10	87	Cultural significance of wild rice and threats could be better articulated in proposal.
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	8	10	5	8	8	9	8	9	10	9	10	104	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	10	9	9	7	8	9	8	8	8	0	9	10	95	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	5	8	8	5	8	7	8	8	8	10	7	5	87	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	8	7	0	5	0	78	Need explanation/update on spend-down of past funds.
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	10	9	6	5	7	7	8	8	9	10	10	10	99	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	10	9	9	5	7	8	8	8	9	10	5	10	98	Would like update on spend-down of funds from past allocations.
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	9	8	9	7	5	96	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	7	8	8	5	7	7	7	7	7	0	7	10	80	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	10	9	9	7	8	8	8	8	7	10	8	10	102	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	10	9	9	7	8	8	8	7	7	0	8	10	91	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	10	9	9	5	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	99	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	10	9	9	5	8	10	8	7	7	2	8	10	93	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	10	9	9	5	8	10	8	8	7	4	8	10	96	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	6	6	9	5	7	5	6	7	7	1	4	3	66	Need more details on evaluation and how outcomes will be maintained over time.
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	10	9	9	7	8	7	8	8	8	1	7	10	92	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	10	9	9	5	8	6	8	8	7	8	8	10	96	Update on 2024 and 2025 allocations?
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	10	9	9	5	8	7	8	8	7	3	8	10	92	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	10	9	9	7	8	7	8	7	7	10	8	10	100	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	10	9	9	5	8	9	8	8	6	7	8	10	97	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	10	9	9	5	8	8	8	7	7	3	7	8	89	27% due
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	8	7	10	8	10	101	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	10	9	9	6	8	7	8	8	7	0	8	10	90	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	10	9	9	5	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	10	95	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	7	10	8	8	98	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	8	10	8	8	99	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	9	9	9	5	8	8	8	7	7	3	7	10	90	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	5	9	9	6	8	8	8	8	7	10	8	8	94	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	5	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	8	10	8	10	96	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	8	9	9	5	8	8	8	7	7	10	8	10	97	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	10	9	9	5	8	8	8	7	8	0	6	8	86	20% due
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Herring Splinter Mussels	8	9	9	7	8	9	8	8	7	10	8	10	101	
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	5	9	9	5	8	8	8	7	7	10	8	10	94	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	8	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	7	10	8	10	98	
HRE09	Owamiyomni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	5	9	9	8	8	9	8	8	7	10	8	10	99	Curious to know more about maintaining work over time.
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	10	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	7	10	8	10	99	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	5	9	9	6	8	8	8	7	8	10	8	10	96	
O1	Contract Management	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
CP1	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	120	Ashley CCI with RDS & AWS included here?

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: Tom Sawhaug

Due Date: Wednesday, July 17, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 120 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria															
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes.	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, Why, and How.	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species.	4. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or complexes, and/or address habitat fragmentation.	6. Proposal outcomes will help address habitat climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way.	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	10. Proposal includes leverage.	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score).	Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	5	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	62			
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	54			
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	52			
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	3	3	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	50			
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	0	5	5	49			
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	3	3	3	3	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	48			
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	7	7	7	9	7	5	5	5	7	7	7	7	80			
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	5	5	7	5	7	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	59			
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	55			
FR02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	7	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	64			
FR03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	59			
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
WA03	BIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	58			
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	56			
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	55			
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	62			
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	64			
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
WRE05	Bale Alice - Fergus Falls	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	0	3	3	35			
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted BIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	55			
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	58			
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	58			
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	54			
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	3	5	49			
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	3	5	5	62			
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	57			
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	55			
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	58			
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	3	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	49			
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	55			
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	62			
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	7	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	64			
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	7	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	64			
HRE04	Kroff River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	57			
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Herring Splinter Mussels	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	62			
HRE07	Rosau Lake Rehabilitation, Phase II	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	62			
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	7	7	7	7	7	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	73			
HRE09	Owamiyomni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	67			
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	5	5	67			
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	62			
O1	Contract Management	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60			
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	84			

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025															
Member Name: Ron Schara															
Due Date: Wednesday, July 22, 2023 by 4 p.m.															
Minimum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.															
ID#	Program Title	Criteria												Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes.	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species.	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency.	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or address habitat fragmentation.	6. Proposal will help address habitat, climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way.	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	10. Proposal includes leverage.	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems initiated to compensate for reduced potential of reduced funding (low score).		
PAD1	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	6	6	8	8	5	8	5	3	3	3	5	5	65	
PAD2	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	8	8	5	5	8	5	5	3	5	8	5	3	68	
PAD3	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley Phase X	5	6	8	5	5	4	5	3	5	5	5	3	59	
PAD4	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	6	7	8	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	62	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	7	8	8	5	8	8	8	3	5	0	5	5	70	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	7	8	8	5	8	8	8	3	5	3	8	5	76	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	3	5	8	5	5	79	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	8	5	3	3	3	3	3	3	5	8	5	5	54	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	0	8	5	54	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	60	UNSURE OF STATUS OF THIS APPLICATION BASED ON LAST REVIEW AND SUSPENSION???
FRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	5	3	8	3	5	3	5	3	5	5	5	5	55	
FRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	5	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	48	
WA01	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	8	8	8	5	3	3	5	3	5	0	5	5	58	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	5	8	8	5	5	5	8	3	5	5	3	3	63	
WA03	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	5	3	5	5	3	5	0	5	5	60	
WA04	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	3	5	10	5	5	81	
WR01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	3	5	0	5	5	71	
WR02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	3	8	10	5	5	84	
WR03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	3	8	10	5	5	81	
WR04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	3	8	7	5	5	78	
WR05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	5	5	3	5	3	3	3	3	5	0	5	5	45	IS THIS A PARK???
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	5	5	8	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	5	5	59	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	5	8	8	5	5	8	5	3	5	0	5	5	57	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	8	5	5	5	3	5	8	3	5	3	5	5	60	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	8	8	8	5	5	8	8	3	5	3	5	5	71	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	5	5	8	5	8	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	62	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	3	3	5	3	5	5	3	3	5	2	5	5	47	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	5	8	8	3	8	5	5	3	5	2	5	5	62	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	8	8	8	3	5	5	3	3	5	3	5	5	61	
HA09	Shakopee Creek: Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	3	5	2	5	5	68	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	8	8	8	3	8	8	8	3	5	5	5	5	74	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	5	5	8	3	5	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	57	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	2	5	5	53	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	8	8	5	5	5	8	3	5	5	5	5	5	62	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	8	8	8	3	8	5	8	3	5	0	5	5	66	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	8	8	8	3	5	5	5	3	3	2	5	5	60	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	8	5	8	5	5	5	8	3	5	3	5	5	65	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	5	5	5	3	3	5	8	3	5	3	5	5	55	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	5	5	5	3	5	5	8	3	5	3	5	5	57	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	8	5	8	3	8	8	8	3	5	10	5	5	76	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	8	5	5	3	8	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	62	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	8	5	5	3	5	5	5	3	5	8	5	5	62	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	5	3	5	3	5	3	8	3	5	0	5	5	50	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Mussels	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	10	5	5	66	
HRE07	Roseau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	10	5	5	66	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	8	8	8	5	5	3	8	3	5	10	5	5	73	
HRE09	Dwamynjoni Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	54	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	8	5	8	3	5	8	5	3	5	10	5	5	70	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	8	8	8	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	65	
O1	Contract Management													0	100
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024													0	100
O3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions													0	100
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat													0	100

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2024 / FY 2025

Member Name: **Jamie Swenson**
 Due Date: **Wednesday, July 12, 2023 by 4 p.m.**

Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Total Score (out of 120 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes.	2. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	3. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species.	4. Degree of timing/opportunity urgency.	5. Proposal will leverage or expand corridors and/or complexes, and/or address habitat fragmentation.	6. Proposal outcomes will help address habitat climate resilience and will provide benefit to associated fish and wildlife species in a meaningful way.	7. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and/or permanent conservation legacies and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	8. Performance measures are clearly identified and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	9. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	10. Proposal includes leverage.	11. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	12. Proposal seems reasonable in its size (full score) or seems inflated to compensate for potential of reduced funding (low score).		
PA01	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIV	8	8	7	5	8	6	8	8	7	5	8	7	85	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XVI	10	10	9	6	9	6	9	9	7	8	8	6	97	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley (Phase X)	8	8	9	9	8	6	9	6	7	6	7	6	89	
PA04	Martin County WMA Acquisition - Phase 8	8	8	7	5	7	6	7	7	7	2	6	5	75	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase 16	7	7	8	6	7	6	7	6	7	0	8	6	75	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase VII	9	9	8	7	8	6	8	7	8	5	9	8	92	
FA01	Minnesota Heritage Forest - Transition to Public Ownership Program	7	7	6	7	7	6	7	5	7	8	7	2	76	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase 12	7	7	7	7	8	6	8	7	8	8	6	8	87	
FA03	2024 Riparian Habitat Protection in the Kettle and Snake River Watersheds	5	5	6	5	7	6	6	6	6	0	6	8	66	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement and Restoration - Phase 4	5	5	10	6	7	6	8	7	6	0	7	6	73	
FRE02	Young Forest Conservation - Phase IV	9	9	7	8	8	6	8	7	8	6	8	7	91	
FRE03	Floodplain and Upland Forest Enhancement - Mississippi River, Phase 5	6	6	5	3	5	6	6	7	6	6	3	6	65	
WAO1	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase IX	7	7	9	9	8	6	9	8	9	0	8	7	87	
WAO2	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase XIII	9	9	9	8	10	6	10	7	8	6	7	7	96	
WAO3	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	8	9	0	5	7	82	
WAO4	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XVI	7	7	8	8	10	6	9	8	8	8	7	6	92	
WRE01	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements - Phase 16	7	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	6	0	7	5	70	
WRE02	Nelson Slough - East Park WMA	8	8	6	6	5	6	6	5	5	8	5	6	74	
WRE03	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 9	7	7	8	7	8	6	7	8	7	8	7	7	87	
WRE04	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement & Restoration Initiative - Phase X	9	9	9	7	9	6	9	9	8	6	7	6	94	
WRE05	Bake Alice - Fergus Falls	7	7	4	6	5	6	6	6	6	0	9	8	63	
HA01	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase 5	8	8	7	5	8	6	7	6	8	4	8	6	73	
HA02	Pine and Leech Watershed Phase III Targeted RIM Easement Permanent Land Protection	9	9	8	8	9	6	8	9	8	0	8	7	89	
HA03	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance - Phase 3	9	9	8	7	8	6	5	7	8	4	6	5	82	
HA04	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase XIII	8	8	8	3	9	6	10	8	8	5	4	6	83	
HA05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 13	8	8	8	5	9	6	7	8	7	4	6	6	82	
HA06	Trout Stream Restoration in the Root River Watershed	7	7	8	3	7	6	7	7	5	2	6	5	70	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase 8	8	8	9	5	8	6	8	7	8	2	7	5	81	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic-North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase X	9	9	10	8	10	6	10	8	9	4	7	5	95	
HA09	Shakopee Creek - Headwaters Restored, Species and Land Protected	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	5	8	8	78	
HA10	Red River Basin Riparian Habitat Program - Phase I	8	8	7	7	7	6	8	7	8	5	7	5	83	
HA11	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout - Phase 2	5	5	8	6	7	6	8	7	6	6	7	7	78	
HA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase 12	9	9	8	5	8	6	8	8	8	5	6	6	86	
HA13	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Phase IV	7	7	8	8	8	6	7	9	8	6	7	6	87	
HA14	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XVI	9	9	9	5	9	6	9	7	7	0	8	7	85	
HA15	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota - Phase 3	9	9	10	3	5	6	5	9	9	2	4	6	77	
HA16	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase 5	8	8	7	5	7	6	7	8	7	5	7	5	80	
HA17	Metro Big Rivers - Phase 14	8	8	7	6	8	6	7	7	6	6	6	5	81	
HRE01	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase 9	9	9	10	9	9	6	8	9	8	5	6	7	95	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase 7	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	7	6	7	7	5	81	
HRE03	Minnesota Statewide Trout Habitat Enhancement	5	5	8	6	7	6	8	7	6	6	7	7	78	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase VII	7	7	9	5	8	6	8	8	7	7	8	7	87	
HRE05	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase 11	6	6	7	7	8	6	7	7	8	0	5	6	73	
HRE06	Restoring Connectivity, Floodplain, and River Habitat in the Upper Clearwater River for Trout and Creek Heel Splitter Muscels	6	6	7	9	7	6	6	7	8	9	8	8	87	
HRE07	Roseau Lake Rehabilitation: Phase II	7	7	6	5	7	6	6	8	5	7	6	6	76	
HRE08	Highbanks Ravine Bat Hibernaculum	8	8	7	9	3	6	6	8	5	10	6	5	81	
HRE09	Owamniyomi Native Landscape & River Restoration (St. Anthony Falls)	5	8	6	7	6	6	7	6	7	10	5	8	81	
HRE10	Silver Lake Dam Fish Passage Modification	7	8	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	9	8	8	85	
HRE11	Little Devil Track River Restoration	5	7	7	5	7	6	6	6	6	6	5	8	76	
D1	Contract Management	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
D2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2024	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
D3	DNR Core Functions in Partner-led DHF Land Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Ph. 16 Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	9	9	9	9	6	10	8	9	6	9	10	103	