

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

<div> <div>Member Name:</div> <div>Sen. Scott Dibble</div> </div>													
<div> <div>Due Date:</div> <div>Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.</div> </div>													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "00" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	98	56.3223M. Protect in fee, Restore, Enhance, 750 prairie acres in fee, 25,000 acres enhanced, 210 acres restored, The Nature Conservancy
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	93	56.7302M. Protect in fee, Restore, Martin County, Fox Lake Conservation League
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	55M. Protect in easement, 980 RIM acres, grassland, MN BWSR
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	510.0333M. Protect in fee, Restore, 1,485 acres, Clay, Norman, Mahan, Wilkin Counties MN Prairie Chicken Society & Pheasants Forever
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	55M. Protect in easement, 1,580 RIM acres, MN BWSR
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	511.8807M. Protect in fee, Restore, 1,768 acres prairie grasslands & associated wetlands, WMA's, 19 migrant areas, Pheasants Forever
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	510.400M. Restore, Enhance, 4,000 acres protected private grasslands and wetlands, Ducks Unlimited
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	56.3879M. Restore, Enhance, 14,850 acres grassland, MN DNR
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	95	54.4383M. Restore, Enhance, 11,000 acres grassland (WMA's, WPA's, NWPA's), Pheasants Forever
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	54.3036M. Protect in easement, 1,200 acres forest and wetlands in Jupe Hills portion of Hardwood Hills, West Central MN, MN Land Trust
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	93	55.3M. Protect in easement, 4,000 acres, Morrison SMC
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	7	10	10	10	10	7	10	10	10	10	94	52.703M. Enhance, Protect in fee, 1,760 acres protected, 500 acres enhanced w/in public forests, Ruffed Grouse Society & American Woodcock Society
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	511.8607M. Restore, Enhance, 712 acres restored, 15,350 acres enhanced in WMA's, AMA's, SNA's, State Forests, MN DNR
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	8	10	8	10	10	10	96	51.5055M. Protect in fee, 42 acres wetlands, 58 acres upland buffer, Manitoba area
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	99	510M. Protect in easement, Restore, 1,260 acres RIM wetlands & adjacent grasslands
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	3	10	91	511.08M. Protect in fee, 1,600 acres drained wetlands & land adj. shallow lakes and adj. WMA's, 58 MN prairie wetland region
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	57.36M. Protect in easement, Restore, Enhance, 2,800 acres wetland, restore/enhance 1,844 acres wetlands/prairies
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	511.933M. Protect in fee, Restore, 1,545 Waterfowl Production Areas
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	5900K. Enhance, 99 acres, Scott and Rice Counties
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	98	511.7M. Restore, Enhance, 3,000 acres wetlands and adj. prairie grasslands, 5W MN prairie wetland region (and MN, Minn, St. Croix rivers in Minn), Ducks Unlimited
WRE03	Voyageurs National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	98	51.64M. Restore, -500 acres, Voyageurs National Park Kaskagumia & Rainy Lake areas, Voyageurs National Park
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	2	10	87	52.433M. Enhance, 1,300 acres of 7 shallow lakes & 2 wetlands, Grant County
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	0	10	89	512.428M. Enhance, Restore, 14,000 acres of shallow lakes, MN DNR
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JD#19	5	10	10	10	10	5	10	5	10	10	85	56.323M. Enhance, 2,440 acre impoundment (Nelson Slough), Middle-Snake-Tamarac Rivers Watershed District (is this a flood control project?)
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	512.2766M. Enhance, Restore, Protect in easement, 740 acres easement, 1,342 acres habitat improvement, Hennepin County
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	56.5213M. Protect in easement, Restore, Enhance, 540 acres protected, 1,736 acres enhanced/restored, 2,200 feet shoreline, Anoka, Chicago, Shoreline, Crow Wing Counties, Great River Grazing
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	8	10	97	56.543M. Protect in easement, Restore, Enhance, 1,200 acres, 58 MN 200 acres wetlands and associated prairies, MN Land Trust
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	44.473M. Protect in fee, Enhance, Restore, 305 acres of wetland and upland, northern Washington County, Comfort Lake Forest Lake Watershed District
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	7	10	10	10	10	97	55.408M. Restore, Enhance, Protect in easement, 4.2 miles south branch Buffalo River, 5.1 miles Stony Creek, Upper Buffalo River, Whiskey Creek and its southern tributary, Buffalo-Red River Watershed District
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	56.1817M. Protect in easement, Protect in fee, Restore, Enhance, 1,960 acres protect, 1,050 acres restore/enhance, SE MN Buffaloes, The Nature Conservancy
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	59.225M. Protect in easement, Protect in fee, 6 miles shoreline, 1,500 acres habitat, MN Land Trust
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	9	10	97	510.656M. Protect in easement, Protect in fee, 48 fulltime refuge lakes, 1,734 acres, 4.7 miles shoreline, Aitkin, Cass, Crow Wing, Hubbard Counties, Northern Waters Land Trust
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	55.888M. Protect in fee, 702 acres for WMA's and SNA's, MN DNR
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	51.360M. Protect in easement, 180 acres, 11 miles of trout streams, SE & NE MN, MN DNR
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	510.3039M. Protect in easement, Protect in fee, Restore, Enhance 1,200 acres in fee, 516 acres in easement, 29 acres restored, 542 acres enhanced, Metro, MN Valley Trust (Metro Big Rivers)
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	99	516.5336M. Project in Easement, Protect in fee, 1,576 acres St. Croix River watershed, Washington, Penn, Kanabec, Chicago Counties, Wild Rivers Conservancy
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	10	2	10	87	54.9436M. Enhance, Protect in fee, Restore, 415 acres prairie upland, wetland, lake streambank, Shell Rock River Watershed District
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	5	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	0	10	80	510M. Protect in easement, MN BWSR
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	10	4	10	91	56.1031M. Protect in fee, Restore, Enhance, 670 acres in fee, 607 acres restored, Cannon River watershed, Clear River Partners
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	52.512M. Enhance, 5 creeks and numerous streams in Metro & SE MN, Mtn Trust Unlimited
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	51.3M. Enhance, 8,500 feet shoreline, Lake Nokomis in Minneapolis, Minneapolis Parks & Recreation Board
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	52.942M. Enhance, 1,000 feet stream habitat on Knife River. Lake Superior Steelhead Association ("There will be no direct appropriation request from DNR")
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	51.3M. Enhance, Restore, 176 acres oak savanna, Redington Tower, forested buffaloes, granite outcroppings, Yellow Medicine County, Upper Sioux Community
HRE05	Sedds Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	5617K. Restore, Sedds Lake Park wildlife habitat restoration, South St. Paul and near Grove Heights, City of South St. Paul
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	4800K. Restore, 3,800 feet of Buckingham Creek, City of Duluth
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	98	55.3M. Enhance, 2,200 feet streambank, 1,200 feet in stream fish habitat, 115 acres habitat, Rum River corridor from Mille Lac to Mississippi River, Anoka Conservation District
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	10	96	51.0236M. Enhance, Restore, 871 acres enhanced, 95 acres restored, St. Croix River Valley, Washington and Pine Counties, Audubon MN
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	98	55.40M. Restore, 65 acres aquatic and wetland habitat, St. Louis River and estuary, MN DNR
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	10	97	513.2264M. Restore, Enhance, 6 fish passage projects in 8 rivers, 17 miles, 365 riparian and terrestrial habitat, MN DNR
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	56.7329M. Restore, Enhance, 3 existing 8 person grassland/wetland roving crews, MN DNR
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	5674.3K. Protect in fee, single OHF appropriation to cover all DNR land acquisition costs and DNR ODF activities, MN DNR
O3	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	5139K. Contract Management, MN DNR
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	5200K. Restore, Restoration Evaluations, evaluation of a sampling of up to 25 restorations per year, MN DNR
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	59.5M. Enhance, Project in fee, Restore, Protect in easement, grants up to \$500K, MN DNR

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Rep. Rob Ecklund													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CQ" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	10	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JD#19	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	70	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE05	Sedits Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	40	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
O3	Contract Management	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Dudley L. Edmondson													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points . Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "00" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
Criteria													
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunity.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to implement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	9	9	9	9	10	8	8	8	8	8	86	Phase 13: wondering if THC envisions a point at which the restoration and protection of these prairie habitats could/ would be considered complete. OR at least no longer requiring OHF
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	8	6	9	84	
PA03	80M Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	9	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	9	85	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	8	8	9	9	8	7	8	8	10	8	83	These areas are open to public hunting. Does this include hunting of the "flagship species" Greater Prairie-Chickens. Line 2 and 3 of your budget have the exact same description and numbers... could you please explain the difference?
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	10	8	83	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	9	7	8	7	9	7	10	8	81	
PR01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	9	9	84	
PR02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	9	8	8	9	8	9	8	7	8	8	82	
PR03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	9	8	8	9	8	8	7	8	8	8	81	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	9	8	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	7	77	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	6	9	7	75	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	8	8	8	7	7	8	6	6	9	8	75	
FR01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	10	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	8	73	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	8	7	8	8	6	7	8	8	9	9	78	some board members may not be familiar with all the language used to describe wetland types. So describing wetlands in indicator species section as type 1-7 needs more explanation.
WA02	80M Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	9	8	7	8	9	9	0	8	74	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	10	8	82	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	9	8	7	8	8	8	10	8	82	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	9	8	9	8	10	8	84	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	10	9	84	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	83	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	9	75	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	8	8	8	9	8	7	9	8	10	8	83	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	9	8	8	8	7	8	9	8	10	8	83	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/DW19	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	9	10	8	83	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	82	supporting habitat protection near our urban centers give urbanites access to natural spaces with potential environmental education opportunities. Most of USOHF funds tend to serve rural, lower-populated areas of the state and so we should take advantage of opportunities to urban.
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	8	7	7	8	8	9	8	10	9	82	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	9	8	8	8	8	9	7	9	9	83	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	8	8	8	9	7	8	9	10	8	83	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	8	8	9	8	8	7	9	8	9	8	82	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	9	8	8	8	9	7	8	8	9	9	83	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	81	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	8	8	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	82	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	9	7	8	8	8	9	81	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	9	9	8	8	8	7	8	9	9	9	84	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	8	9	82	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	82	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	82	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	83	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	82	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	9	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	9	84	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	9	81	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	9	8	9	8	8	9	8	8	8	9	84	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	9	9	87	
HRE05	Seids Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	8	8	8	85	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	9	8	9	9	8	8	9	8	9	9	86	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	8	9	8	7	7	8	9	8	9	81	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	10	8	84	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	9	8	9	9	9	8	8	8	10	9	87	It is great to see this collaboration between ML7, DNR and Fond du Lac Band. There should be more proposals that include this type of consideration/cooperation with tribal nations.
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	7	8	8	9	7	8	8	9	9	81	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	9	8	7	7	8	8	9	7	10	8	81	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O3	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	9	9	10	88	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CO" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest *													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	6	9	95	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	10	10	10	10	9	9	8	8	1	7	82	BP0C7
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	0	9	88	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	10	10	10	10	10	9	8	9	10	6	92	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	9	8	10	9	10	8	10	8	10	7	89	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	9	8	9	10	7	93	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	8	96	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	0	9	87	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	10	10	10	10	10	8	9	10	6	9	92	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	98	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	9	10	10	10	10	9	9	8	0	7	82	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	10	10	10	10	9	8	8	8	10	8	91	
PRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	10	10	10	10	9	8	8	0	8	81	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	8	5	8	7	5	7	8	6	10	8	72	
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	9	10	10	10	9	8	9	9	0	8	82	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	9	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	3	7	86	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	8	95	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	8	95	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	9	8	10	10	9	8	9	9	10	8	90	CPL7
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	9	9	10	10	9	9	8	8	9	8	89	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	9	8	9	9	8	8	8	8	10	9	86	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	8	8	7	8	8	9	6	7	3	8	72	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	10	10	10	10	8	8	6	9	1	8	80	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JDB19	8	8	9	9	9	8	9	8	10	8	86	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	10	10	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	8	96	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	8	95	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	9	10	10	10	9	9	8	8	8	8	89	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	8	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	9	93	
HA05	Buffalo Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	8	8	9	8	10	9	9	8	10	7	86	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	10	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	4	9	87	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	8	9	9	10	10	9	95	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	9	9	10	9	8	9	9	10	8	9	90	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	9	9	9	10	9	9	9	9	1	8	82	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	7	0	9	78	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	8	95	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	9	10	10	10	9	9	8	10	10	8	93	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	10	9	10	10	10	9	8	8	2	8	84	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	5	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	0	8	75	Abstract is not clear.
HA15	Canon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	10	9	10	10	9	9	9	9	4	8	87	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	9	10	9	9	9	9	8	10	9	90	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	9	9	9	9	10	9	9	8	10	9	91	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	10	8	90	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	10	9	89	
HRE05	Seids Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	8	9	8	9	9	9	8	8	10	9	87	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	8	7	8	8	8	8	9	7	10	9	83	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	9	9	9	10	9	9	8	10	9	90	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	9	10	9	10	10	9	9	9	6	9	90	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	10	10	9	10	9	9	9	9	6	8	89	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	9	9	10	9	8	9	8	8	10	8	88	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	8	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	0	10	85	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	4	8	92	
O3	Contract Management									0		0	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023									0		0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Name David Hartwell		Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associate d quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performan ce measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunis tic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriat ion.	10. Proposed budget is appropriat e to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	8	10	10	10	10	8	7	7	4	7	81	As this is a continuation of prior multi-year grants, I am a bit confused with the staffing comment in the section about the scalable nature of the proposal. It states that project management and PR biologists would be kept at the same level if the project does not receive full funding. But I am going to assume that project management and PR biologists are funded with some prior year grants so I get confused with how they are also then funded for multiple years under this (and previous) proposal. It feels like double dipping but I suspect I do not fully understand. A chart showing prior year appropriations with staff funding over multiple years and how this application would supplement this would be helpful. DSS seems quite high
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	10	10	7	10	8	7	7	0	8	75	2020 appropriation was not \$1.78.
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	8	10	10	10	10	8	7	8	0	9	80	The proposal mentions that unauthorized trails are a violation of an easement. I am wondering how my violations have been found in the last 5 years (each year) and what the resolution was of the violations - restoration, financial penalty, taken to court, other? Will the parcels protected be those that are rolling out of CRP, anything in CRP or any parcel that fits the criteria?
PA04	Prairie Chicken Hab Part of the S. RR Valley, Phase 9	9	10	10	9	10	6	7	7	6	8	82	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	8	10	10	8	10	8	8	7	8	8	85	What will happen in the event of drought? Will the easment allow emergency grazing that is not what is envisioned in the proposal? What is the track record on grazing meeting the grazing plan? How is it monitored? What are the enforcement actions on land allowing grazing in the past - number of violations noted and outcome? What would BWSR do if the demand for land to be grazed by cattle declines significantly due to the rise in factory grown meat in teh next 25 years? How is the value of the easement calculated differently when grazing is allowed instead of no grazing? \$125K in easement stewardship as a match but no easement activity is anticipated in the program. Does not make sense.
PA06	Accelerating the WMA Program, Phase 15	7	10	10	8	10	7	7	7	10	6	82	Explain the PF Forever WMA fund that will apparently receive some of the funds from this request? Not sure how it would work for PF to hold funds for "holding costs" on this project. Cost per acre is the same for all Ecological Sections. This does not make any sense.
PRE01	Accel the USFWS Habitat Cons Easement Program, Phase 4	8	10	10	8	10	7	7	7	6	8	81	What will happen in the event of drought? Will the easment allow emergency grazing that is not what is envisioned in the proposal? How does the value of these easements that allow grazing and hayfever differ in value than those that do not? PF staff costs - 33 FTE over 3 years = \$300,000? As I calculate this, it would mean paying someone \$300,000 per year which cannot be correct. DU's staff cost works out to just under \$200,000 for a FTE which also seems high. How is this different than rowing crews?
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	8	10	10	10	10	6	5	7	0	8	74	DSS seems a bit high.
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	7	10	10	8	10	7	7	7	4	8	78	Salary for State coordinator - .03 x 3 years = .09 FTE for the 3 years - \$50,000 cost total for the proposal - Annual salary calculation is therefore \$556K. This cannot be correct.
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	9	10	10	8	10	8	7	7	6	7	82	Written narrative indicates \$600K or landowner match/leverage but the budget only shows \$500.
FA02	Camp Ripley S. Landscape ACUB Prot. Program, Phase 11	7	10	10	6	10	6	8	7	0	7	71	I wonder why DOD wants local match on a program that is initiated by them to protect their base from being the nuisance in the area and therefore subject to pressure to modify behavior on the base? It is also troublesome that there is no committed match.
FA03	Prot/Enh of Pub Forest Hab via Strat Acq of Private Inholdings	8	10	10	7	10	8	9	8	0	8	78	Leverage indicates a \$1M waived amount (DSS) which is obviously an error. Was this meant to be \$10,000?
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	7	10	10	8	10	7	7	6	0	8	73	It would seem that this project is virtually 100% contract work so why even have SNA crews involved? The personnel side of this proposal confuses me.
WA01	2023 SE Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	7	10	7	7	7	6	9	7	4	6	70	Seems like the personnel are already on the city staff, just assigned to other tasks and would be therefore a type of supplanting.
WA02	RIM Wetlands-Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in MN	8	10	10	8	10	6	8	7	0	8	75	Does BWSR approve any new roads prior to them being established? Have new roads been established in the past and what are the consequences. Is BWSR willing to go to court to enforce the easement if there are violations? Examples please. Explain why program management should not be scalable. This would to me indicate a supplanting situation. Will easements only be done on existing CRP. If not, how does that figure into criteria?
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Prot and Rest Program, Phase 12	9	10	10	8	10	7	8	7	4	8	81	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Rest Program, Phase 8	9	10	10	8	10	7	8	7	6	7	82	
WA05	Accel the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	8	10	10	8	10	8	7	7	10	9	87	Love the match
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	7	10	10	7	10	7	8	6	6	8	79	Curious how the language "water control structure would be designed by an engineering consultant with vast experience in natural resource bioengineering and installed by a qualified contractor who specialize in heavy civil and infrastructure construction" is exactly the same as appears in WRE04. Will the project be put out widely for competitive bidding or has the contracting team already been selected?
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhanc & Rest Init, Phase 9	8	10	10	8	10	7	7	7	4	7	78	I believe the amounts listed in the other OHF appropriations table are not correct - at least related to the Shallow Lakes funding for DU.
WRE03	Voyagers NP Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	7	10	5	7	8	7	7	7	10	7	75	While the goal of reducing navigational hazards and property damage are understandable, that is not the purpose of Legacy funding under the constitutional dedication.
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	7	10	10	7	10	6	8	5	0	5	68	Curious how the language "water control structure would be designed by an engineering consultant with vast experience in natural resource bioengineering and installed by a qualified contractor who specialize in heavy civil and infrastructure construction" is exactly the same as appears in WRE03. Will the project be put out widely for competitive bidding or has the contracting team already been selected? The proposal indicated if funds are not received, the County will take other less expensive action to adress high water threatening roads. So why is the county not putting up match dollars that are equal to what it would spend on this option as part of this proposal instead of asking for the vast majority of funds (outside of a kind match) from LQHC?
WRE05	Accel Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	7	10	10	8	10	8	8	7	0	7	75	DSS seems high.
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JDW19	8	6	5	7	10	6	7	5	10	5	69	Seems like more of a flood control project than a habitat one and as such, I would expect the majority of the funding should not come from funds dedicated to habitat. The fact this is not a scalable project is concerning as well.
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	8	10	7	85	Met Council is pushing development of land in many of their policies but then counties are having to pay to offset their plans. Something seems amiss.
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	7	10	10	8	10	6	7	7	6	6	77	DSS for NMTF seems very high.
HA03	Accel Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	10	10	7	10	7	7	7	4	8	78	Very confusing as to what organization is doing what - and - why.
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	10	10	10	8	10	7	8	10	8	8	89	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed Dist Stream Hab Pro-Phase 3	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	7	10	7	84	Why would new roads be established? Where would those funds come from?
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	10	10	8	10	7	7	8	0	7	75	More information on the scaling question in terms of accomplishment seems needed.
HA07	Protect MN's Lakes of Outstanding Bio Significance, Phase 2	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	7	6	8	81	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Prot on Strategic N.C. MN Lakes, Phase 9	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	7	4	8	79	DSS seems high.
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	8	10	10	7	10	7	7	7	0	8	74	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	10	10	8	10	8	8	7	0	10	79	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	8	10	8	86	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Rest, Phase 4	7	10	10	8	10	8	8	8	10	7	86	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Rest Program, Phase 7	7	10	10	7	10	7	7	7	0	7	72	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	7	10	10	7	10	6	7	7	0	6	70	Interested in knowing how to create criteria to score projects on a statewide basis given the wide diversity of habitat types and conservation challenges. The answer to the scaling question makes me think this is a supplanting since staff will be maintained regardless of funding level
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Hab Prot and Rest Prog, Phase 12	8	10	10	7	10	7	7	7	0	6	72	CRP is managing the project but only gets 3 years funding while GRC gets 5. How does this work?
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	7	10	10	7	10	8	7	6	6	7	78	Why no leverage provided by the Minneapolis Parks Foundation. It would seem the lack of support for this would indicate this is not a high priority project.
HRE02	L. Nokomis Shoreline Enhance for Turtles and Pollin, Phase 2	6	8	7	7	10	7	7	5	6	7	70	Is there any census data on the number of spiny softshell turtles in Lake Nokomis or on the previously restored area?
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	7	10	10	7	10	7	7	5	6	7	76	This seems like a lot of fiscal management for overseeing just contract work.
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	7	10	10	7	10	7	7	6	6	5	75	Price seems very high for the acreage. Seeding and then goats - why will the goats not eat the new plants?
HRE05	Seids Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	6	8	8	7	10	7	7	6	6	5	70	Signage? Don't tussy patch bees live in grasslands? Reserch plots? Very high cost per acre, especially since the clearing has already been done.
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	8	10	10	7	10	8	10	8	10	8	89	
HRE07	Bum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Hab Enhance, Phase 2	8	10	10	7	10	7	7	7	6	7	79	
HRE08	Restor and Enhancing MN's IBAs in the St. Croix River Valley	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	0	As a member of the Audubon Political Fund Board (Audubon C4), I may have a conflict. It is not direct as the activities of Audubon are very different than the C4, but I would like council discussion and direction before participating in any discussion of this project.
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	8	10	10	7	10	7	8	7	4	7	78	Is this really the final phase of this project?
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	10	10	7	10	8	8	7	8	7	83	
O1	DNR Rowing Crew, Phase 2	10	10	0	8	10	8	7	7	0	8	78	A refresher on what existing funds cover in terms of timing for rowing crews and how this fits in would be helpful.
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	7	5	0	0	0	7	7	5	0	7	38	Still seeing IDP in proposals. How will this work?
O3	Contract Management											0	We need this
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023											0	Are we getting information that is actionable and useful from this? Has the work changed any activity from partners or pointed out glaring issues we should be considering?
CPL	CPL Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	8	7	8	4	8	85	Explain the staffing levels - 2.5 FTE but that then funded for 2 years each year?

Leverage
0-5% 0
6-10% 4
11-15% 6
16-20% 8
21-25% 10

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Enter Name Here: REP. JOSH HEINTZEMAN													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest *													
Criteria												Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	9	10	8	9	10	8	9	10	6	8	87	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	2	7	73	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	2	10	82	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	6	85	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	10	90	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5	5	67	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	5	5	67	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	9	10	9	9	9	8	5	7	4	8	78	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	7	6	7	77	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	8	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	6	7	75	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	5	10	94	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	8	8	8	9	8	7	7	7	6	7	75	
FR001	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	8	7	8	6	8	7	8	5	7	72	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	8	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	4	5	70	
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	6	7	8	8	6	7	2	6	66	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	7	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	73	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	75	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	6	7	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	66	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	8	7	8	87	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	8	7	9	9	7	8	7	9	7	7	78	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	8	4	7	80	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	8	8	8	9	9	8	8	7	7	7	79	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	9	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	5	6	79	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/D#19	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	7	8	86	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	7	8	7	6	7	8	7	7	6	5	68	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	6	5	69	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	70	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	4	5	68	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	88	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	1	5	67	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	8	9	9	8	8	7	7	4	5	73	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	6	6	8	7	6	8	8	8	7	8	72	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	44	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	7	4	8	76	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	9	8	9	8	7	8	7	7	6	77	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	5	7	81	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	7	8	7	7	8	7	8	8	5	8	73	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	1	5	68	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	10	10	10	10	10	5	8	8	6	7	84	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	8	9	8	9	9	7	7	6	7	78	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	4	7	70	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	9	7	8	7	7	8	9	7	8	7	77	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	74	
HRE05	Seidl's Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	8	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	6	7	75	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	9	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	82	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	6	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	78	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	6	7	8	7	7	6	8	8	6	6	69	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	9	8	9	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	83	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	6	6	72	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	8	9	9	8	8	8	9	8	7	8	82	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	82	
O3	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	8	6	7	5	7	5	7	8	6	7	66	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Mark Holsten													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CO" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	6	73	no pit obligations,
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	2	6	72	
PA03	RM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	6	68	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	6	75	committed vs potential donations?
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	6	76	is the match subject USOHC appropriation?
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	78	need update on past funding balance, com/poten donation?
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	78	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	0	6	66	large multi year \$ carry over, workload capacity?
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	2	6	69	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	8	8	6	7	8	7	6	8	5	6	69	1st time proposal, similar to ACUB, would like to see some county involvement
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	6	68	has leverage \$ in past, need update on carry forward
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	10	6	78	explain fed waived support leverage
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	6	68	
WIA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	8	6	6	5	6	6	6	6	8	6	63	is this more than a park/stormwater management
WIA02	RM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	0	6	66	48 need update on carryforward funds
WIA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	1	6	71	
WIA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	6	73	
WIA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	10	6	79	no pit obligations, review leverage
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	8	8	6	7	8	7	8	6	5	6	69	1st time proposal at request of DNR, review time line
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	6	74	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	5	10	6	75	high administration costs
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	8	8	8	8	6	7	8	7	2	6	68	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	0	6	67	significant carryforward and projected time completion, Carlos Avery - Bonding?
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JD#19	8	8	7	8	7	8	8	9	10	6	79	aggressive timeline
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	78	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	8	7	8	8	8	8	6	7	6	74	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	6	75	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	6	6	7	8	8	5	10	8	6	72	unclear about long term ownership/management
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	10	6	79	leverage commitment is unclear, large 2028 carry forward balance
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	2	6	70	48 project timeline seems slow to complete
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	6	76	large 2017 carry forward - update
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	5	6	74	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	6	69	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	6	70	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	10	6	79	HA11/HA12 appear to have same parcel acquisition? through TPL
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	10	6	79	HA11/HA12 appear to have same parcel acquisition? through TPL
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	1	6	70	owner/management of pit obligated lands unclear
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	0	6	62	48
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	3	6	71	how does this overlap with WRE01, administration overlap?
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	6	74	large carry forward balance, need update
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	8	6	5	5	6	7	6	8	6	6	63	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	77	no focal agent
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	8	8	6	6	6	6	4	6	6	6	62	need more info on maintenance, compress parcel contract timelines?
HRE05	Sedits Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	8	7	6	6	6	7	6	7	6	6	65	encourage to CH Grants
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	6	5	5	5	5	6	7	10	10	6	65	golf course irrigation stream????
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	6	77	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	3	6	72	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	2	6	69	need a project carry forward update
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	78	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	72	
O3	Contract Management	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	72	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	72	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CQ" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	7	9	8	7	7	6	7	6	7	8	72	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	8	8	7	7	6	7	7	8	7	73	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	9	9	9	8	8	7	7	6	8	8	79	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	86	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	9	9	9	8	9	8	9	8	8	8	85	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	9	10	9	9	9	9	10	8	10	9	92	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	8	9	10	9	9	9	9	9	8	10	90	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	9	10	9	8	9	7	8	7	4	9	80	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	8	9	9	8	9	8	9	7	6	9	82	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	9	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	8	9	79	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	10	10	10	9	9	10	10	9	6	10	93	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	9	8	9	7	7	8	9	7	10	10	84	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	8	9	7	7	7	8	7	4	9	74	
WIA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	7	6	6	5	6	8	9	7	0	9	63	
WIA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	9	7	7	8	7	4	8	74	
WIA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	9	9	8	9	9	10	10	8	6	9	87	
WIA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	8	9	8	8	8	7	8	8	80	
WIA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	9	9	9	9	8	10	10	8	10	10	92	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	10	9	10	10	9	9	10	9	9	9	94	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	9	8	9	9	10	9	10	8	7	9	88	
WRE03	Voyageurs National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	9	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	84	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	9	10	8	9	9	9	10	9	7	9	89	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	4	9	78	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JD#19	10	9	9	9	9	9	10	9	10	9	93	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	7	10	7	78	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	6	8	74	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	9	79	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	9	9	9	8	8	8	9	8	9	9	86	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	10	9	89	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	7	8	9	7	7	7	7	5	8	73	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	9	8	9	8	7	7	7	7	7	77	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	9	8	9	9	8	8	9	8	6	8	82	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	7	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	4	8	72	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	8	4	8	71	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	9	8	8	7	6	7	7	8	7	75	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	10	8	79	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	6	8	75	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	8	7	8	7	8	8	7	8	4	8	73	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	6	9	80	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	8	8	8	9	8	9	7	8	8	81	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	7	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	8	7	74	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	8	8	9	7	7	8	9	7	8	8	79	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	10	9	9	8	10	9	10	8	8	10	91	
HRE05	Sells Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	9	9	9	9	8	8	9	8	8	9	86	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	9	9	9	8	9	8	7	8	10	9	86	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	9	10	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	10	90	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	8	7	8	7	9	7	8	7	6	8	75	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	7	8	7	9	7	8	7	7	6	9	75	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	9	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	77	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O3	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Ashley J. Peters													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "CQ" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	7	8	8	7	8	6	8	6	6	4	68	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	6	8	7	7	8	6	7	6	2	5	62	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	5	1	7	67	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	10	8	80	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	6	8	7	8	7	8	7	5	10	8	74	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	4	10	5	74	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	5	10	7	77	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	1	6	67	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	6	7	74	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	10	8	79	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	5	1	6	67	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings											0	Conflict of interest
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	1	6	70	
WIA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	6	8	8	6	6	6	6	6	10	7	69	protection against invasive cattails?
WIA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	1	6	69	
WIA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	3	7	73	
WIA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	5	10	6	75	
WIA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	10	6	76	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	8	7	7	7	7	7	6	5	10	6	70	CPL?
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	9	6	75	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	7	8	6	7	10	6	76	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	6	7	7	7	7	7	6	6	3	3	59	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	1	6	70	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JD#19	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	5	10	8	65	water mgmt? County support of this project?
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	10	6	76	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	10	7	77	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	8	6	74	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	10	8	78	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	6	6	6	6	6	7	6	6	10	8	67	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	4	7	72	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	5	10	6	76	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	6	7	7	8	5	73	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	1	5	68	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	8	8	8	7	7	6	6	10	7	75	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	8	8	7	8	8	6	7	10	3	73	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	10	6	78	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	7	8	7	8	8	6	5	5	6	68	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	1	6	70	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	6	10	7	76	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	6	8	7	8	8	7	7	5	10	8	74	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	8	6	4	5	6	7	5	8	10	8	67	High use area, need more info on protecting habitat once created
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	8	8	6	7	8	6	6	5	10	7	71	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	7	7	8	8	6	6	5	7	10	6	70	
HRE05	Sedits Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	8	6	6	5	5	7	6	6	10	4	63	CPL?
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	8	7	7	6	6	6	5	6	10	5	66	CPL?
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	10	8	78	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	8	8	8	8	7	7	4	5	6	7	68	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	8	8	8	8	7	7	6	5	5	6	68	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	6	10	6	73	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	72	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O3	Contract Management	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	90	

Member Name: Tom Saxhaug													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "OD" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
Criteria													
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	3	5	50	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	3	3	5	48	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	3	5	52	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	5	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	52	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	5	7	5	7	5	5	5	5	7	7	58	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	54	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	5	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	7	5	54	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	52	
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	54	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	54	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	52	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/DW19	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	52	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	54	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	7	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	7	7	58	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	7	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	7	7	58	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	52	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	52	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	54	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	54	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HRE05	Selds Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	56	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	52	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	7	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	54	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	7	7	5	7	5	5	5	5	7	7	60	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
O3	Contract Management	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	6	60	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: RON SCHARA													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2022 by 4 p.m. Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
Criteria													
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	5	10	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	76	BUYING LAND
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	6	3	8	67	FOUR LEVERAGE
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	8	8	5	8	8	6	8	8	0	5	64	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	5	8	8	6	5	8	5	5	8	5	63	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	5	6	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	8	66	\$6 MILLION TO PROTECT 1,580 ACRES?
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	5	3	5	8	8	69	17 LAND PURCHASES
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	10	8	8	8	8	5	3	5	8	8	71	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	68	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	8	8	71	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	10	8	8	5	5	5	8	5	8	10	72	
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	8	48	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	5	5	5	5	0	5	3	3	3	8	42	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	3	0	8	55	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	5	8	8	8	3	5	5	3	5	8	58	PAGE?
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	0	8	63	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	10	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	3	8	68	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	5	6	8	72	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	5	10	8	76	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	8	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	5	8	74	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	5	5	8	71	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	8	8	8	6	6	8	5	5	10	8	72	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	8	8	8	6	6	6	8	8	3	8	69	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	10	8	8	10	8	5	8	5	0	8	70	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/ID#19	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	8	82	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 3	5	5	5	8	5	5	8	5	8	8	62	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	8	8	5	8	8	5	8	5	5	8	68	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	3	8	66	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	5	5	3	3	5	8	5	0	8	50	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	5	10	8	70	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	8	8	5	5	5	5	8	5	5	8	62	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	68	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	68	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	8	8	8	8	6	5	5	5	3	8	64	
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	8	8	10	8	5	8	8	5	0	8	68	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	5	8	5	3	3	3	8	5	5	8	53	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	8	5	5	5	3	8	5	8	8	63	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	3	8	63	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	10	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	0	8	65	
HA15	Gannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	5	3	8	63	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	8	8	8	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	68	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	8	8	5	5	3	5	8	5	5	8	60	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	8	8	5	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	68	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	8	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	8	8	68	
HRE05	Seids Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project											0	Recommend CPL here
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	8	8	5	8	5	5	8	8	8	8	71	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	5	8	8	74	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	8	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	5	8	59	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	8	8	8	5	8	5	8	5	5	8	68	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	71	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	72	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	???????										0	Need discussion????
O3	Contract Management											0	100
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023											0	100
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	OK										0	100

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2023 / FY 2024

Member Name: Jamie Swenson													
Due Date: Thursday, July 14, 2023 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Scores should be whole numbers, no decimals. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score (out of 100 possible points)	Comments / Notes
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors or complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10	Max points: 10		
PA01	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase 13	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	6	5	8	80	
PA02	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase 7	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	5	2	6	64	
PA03	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase 5	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	7	71	
PA04	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase 9	8	9	8	9	8	6	7	9	5	7	76	
PA05	Working Lands for Habitat	8	10	8	10	8	6	8	9	5	6	78	Excellent project, long overdue, is this the best aligned agency and program? Do we need to re-create the wheel for grassland in MN? NRC vs BWS? RIM vs ACIP? See NRCs ACIP or CSP program or SDNR's Second Century Habitat Fund Working Lands-related Programs or all 4.
PA06	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase 15	10	9	9	9	9	9	7	6	8	8	84	
PRE01	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program, Phase 4	9	9	10	9	9	8	10	8	6	8	86	
PRE02	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase 15	7	7	7	8	9	6	7	6	0	8	65	
PRE03	Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands, Phase 6	9	8	8	8	9	7	8	7	5	9	78	
FA01	Hardwood Hills Habitat Conservation Program	7	6	7	6	5	5	8	6	3	6	59	Is private landowner "leverage" \$500k or \$600k? Both numbers are in the proposal.
FA02	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program, Phase 11	9	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	7	6	75	
FA03	Protection/Enhancement of Public Land Forest Habitats Through Strategic Acquisition of Private Land Inholdings	9	6	8	5	3	2	8	5	6	8	60	More information needed to understand the listed \$1,063,391.5 leverage as "mixed federal-indirect" of direct support services.
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase 3	5	8	7	10	8	7	6	6	0	7	64	
WA01	2023 Southeast Wetland Restoration, Land Acquisition, Phase 2	5	7	8	7	5	5	9	4	8	4	62	
WA02	RIM Wetlands - Restoring the Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	5	67	
WA03	Shallow Lake and Wetland Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	9	10	10	9	9	7	8	8	6	7	83	
WA04	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 8	7	7	8	8	9	8	7	7	5	7	73	
WA05	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase 15	7	9	10	8	9	8	8	8	9	7	83	
WRE01	Wetland Enhancement in the Big Woods	7	7	6	8	7	7	7	6	9	8	72	
WRE02	Living Shallow Lakes and Wetlands Enhancement and Restoration Initiative, Phase 9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	7	7	7	83	
WRE03	Voyagers National Park Wetland Restoration Project, Phase 3	7	8	7	8	8	9	4	6	7	8	72	
WRE04	Shallow Lake Enhancements in Grant County	6	6	5	6	7	7	7	8	3	8	63	
WRE05	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase 15	7	7	6	7	8	6	6	6	0	7	60	
WRE06	Nelson Slough/JDW19	8	6	5	6	6	5	5	6	7	5	59	
HA01	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program, Phase 9	7	7	8	5	10	7	9	4	8	5	70	
HA02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation, Phase 8	9	8	9	10	9	7	6	7	4	7	76	
HA03	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota, Phase 2	9	5	5	10	8	9	9	3	5	4	67	
HA04	Bone Lake South Wetland Acquisition and Restoration	8	8	6	6	7	8	8	7	6	5	69	
HA05	Buffalo-Red River Watershed District Stream Habitat Program, Phase 3	8	5	6	6	8	8	8	7	7	7	70	
HA06	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase 11	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	1	6	69	
HA07	Protecting Minnesota's Lakes of Outstanding Biological Significance, Phase 2	8	5	8	8	8	7	8	7	1	6	66	
HA08	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase 9	9	10	10	10	7	8	9	8	5	7	83	
HA09	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase 15	9	9	9	9	9	7	7	5	0	8	72	Why no leverage compared to previous years?
HA10	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements, Phase 3	7	7	7	7	5	5	8	7	0	7	60	Where are project partners and leverage?
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase 13	8	7	8	7	7	6	7	5	6	4	65	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 4	8	7	8	7	7	6	8	5	5	8	69	
HA13	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program, Phase 7	8	10	9	12	10	8	8	3	3	4	75	
HA14	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water, Phase 2	5	7	7	6	7	6	8	6	0	8	60	
HA15	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase 12	8	7	9	8	8	8	7	5	5	6	71	
HRE01	Southeast and Metro Trout Stream Habitat Enhancement	5	8	7	8	8	7	6	6	6	7	68	
HRE02	Lake Nokomis Shoreline Enhancements for Turtles and Pollinators, Phase 2	8	6	6	6	8	7	6	7	6	5	65	
HRE03	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation Project, Phase 7	7	8	8	9	8	8	7	5	5	8	73	
HRE04	Upper Sioux Community Habitat Restoration, Phase 1	7	7	6	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	65	
HRE05	Seldis Lake Park Habitat Restoration Project	6	7	6	7	7	7	6	6	6	6	64	
HRE06	Buckingham Creek Brook Trout Restoration Project	7	6	6	6	6	6	7	7	7	8	66	
HRE07	Rum River Corridor Fish and Wildlife Habitat Enhancement, Phase 2	9	8	8	7	9	8	7	5	5	7	73	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas in the St. Croix River Valley	7	8	7	8	9	7	9	6	5	7	73	
HRE09	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase 10	6	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	6	74	
HRE10	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase 6	7	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	72	
O1	DNR Roving Crew, Phase 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
O2	Core Functions in the Partner-led OHF Land Acquisitions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
O3	Contract Management	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
O4	Restoration Evaluations, ML2023	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase 15, Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	10	9	9	8	8	9	9	6	9	86	Why is the requested amount so much less than the last few years? Is there not a greater need?