

Name: Jamie Becker-Finn													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish,	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	8	10	10	9	10	9	8	7	10	5	86	PF
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	9	8	9	93	BWSR
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	8	10	10	8	10	9	8	7	9	8	87	PF/Prairie Chicken Society
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	9	10	10	9	10	9	8	7	10	6	88	TNC
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	9	10	10	7	10	8	8	8	8	8	86	PF/Sharp Tailed Grouse Society
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	9	8	10	7	10	9	9	7	6	7	82	BWSR
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	9	9	10	10	10	9	9	7	7	9	89	TNC
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	7	8	10	8	10	7	7	7	6	6	76	Fox Lake Conservation League
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	8	8	10	9	10	8	8	7	6	6	80	DNR, Phase 14
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	10	9	10	10	10	8	10	10	7	10	94	Friends of BW
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	10	9	10	10	10	8	8	9	8	8	90	TNC
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	9	7	10	8	7	7	7	5	6	7	73	Morrison SWCD
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	10	9	10	9	10	9	8	9	10	9	93	DNR
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	8	9	10	7	10	9	8	10	9	8	88	DNR/City of Rogers
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	9	9	10	7	10	8	8	8	7	9	85	DNR
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	8	8.0	10.0	8.0	10.0	7	7	5	10	7	80	PF
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	7	8.0	10.0	8	10.0	8	8	5	9	8	81	MLT
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	10	9.0	10	9	10.0	8	8	9	7	9	89	BWSR
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	9	8	10	8	10	7	8	6	7	6	79	DU

Name: Jamie Becker-Finn													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish,	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	8	8	10	9	10	7	7	8	7	8	82	BWSR
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	9	7	10	9	10	7	6	6	8	5	77	DU
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	9	8	10	9	10	8	7	6	7	9	83	DNR

Name: Jamie Becker-Finn													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish,	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	10	8	10	7	10	8	10	10	9	10	92	City of Champlin
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	8	9	10	8	10	9	8	8	10	7	87	Dakota County
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	10	8	10	9	10	8	8	7	7	10	87	BWSR
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	10	9	10	9	10	9	9	8	10	9	93	MLT
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	10	8	10	9	10	9	8	7	8	7	86	TPL
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	10	9	10	9	10	9	8	9	9	8	91	Mississippi Headwaters Board
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	8	10	9	10	7	7	6	7	7	81	Shell Rock River WD
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	10	10	10	9	10	8	8	7	8	10	90	DNR
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	9	7	10	7	10	7	8	6	7	8	79	Clean River Partners
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	10	8	10	8	10	8	9	9	8	10	90	Washington County
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	10	10	10	8	10	8	9	10	10	9	94	MN Valley Trust
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	10	9	10	7	10	8	9	9	8	9	89	St Croix River Association
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	10	9	10	9	10	8	8	9	9	8	90	Northern Waters LT
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	10	8	10	8	10	7	7	8	7	7	82	Sauk River WD
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	9	8	6	5	3	7	7	6	0	7	58	Murray County
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	10	9	10	9	10	8	9	8	10	8	91	DNR
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	9	8	10	7	7	8	7	7	10	9	82	Two Rivers WD
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	9	7	10	8	8	8	7	7	9	7	80	Buffalo-Red River WD
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	10	10	10	9	10	9	8	7	10	8	91	DNR, West Duluth

Name: Jamie Becker-Finn													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish,	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of	
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	10	10	10	8	9	9	8	7	10	10	91	City of Lakeville
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	10	9	10	9	10	9	8	8	10	10	93	DNR
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III	10	9	10	9	10	10	8	8	8	9	91	Audubon
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	9	6	10	9	10	7	8	8	10	9	86	Zeitgeist & Lake Superiori Steelhead Assoc, "genetically
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	9	10	10	98	
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	99	Lower Phalen Creek Project
O1	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022											0	
O2	Contract Management, ML2022											0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase XIV: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	10	10	10	97	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Scott Dibble													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	99	Pheasants Forever, \$13.8M
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	BWSR, \$9M
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	99	MN Prairie Chicken Society & Pheasants Forever, \$9.8M
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	98	TNC, \$10M
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	95	Minnesota Sharp-Tailed Grouse Society & Pheasants Forever, \$4.35M
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	95	BWSR, \$10M
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	5	10	94	The Nature Conservancy, \$6.58M
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	89	Fox Lake Conservation League, \$6.8M
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	0	10	88	DNR, \$10.4M
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Friends of the Boundary Waters Wilderness, \$1.8M
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	8	10	10	97	The Nature Conservancy, \$9.58M
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	10	10	10	9	10	10	8	10	10	10	97	Morrison SWCD, \$8.45M
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	10	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	99	DNR, \$3.9M
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	10	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	99	DNR & City of Rogers, \$1.1M
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	10	5	5	10	10	7	0	5	0	8	60	DNR, \$4.3M
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	10	10	9	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	97	Pheasants Forever, \$11.9M

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Scott Dibble													
Due Date:		Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.											
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	10	10	10	98	Minnesota Land Trust, \$6.6M
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	10	90	BWSR, \$2M
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	97	Ducks Unlimited, \$9.96M
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	10	10	9	10	10	10	10	8	0	10	87	BWSR, \$10M
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Ducks Unlimited, \$12.9M
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	10	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	0	10	87	DNR, \$4.1M

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Scott Dibble													
Due Date:		Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.											
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	Comments
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	City of Champlin, \$1.5M
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Dakota County, \$8.1M
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	5	52	BWSR, \$5M
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Minnesota Land Trust, \$4.4M
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Trust for Public Land, \$11.7M
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	98	Mississippi Headwaters Board, \$9.6M
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	7	10	97	Shell Rock River Watershed District, \$3.6M
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	95	DNR, \$3.25M
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	10	98	Clean Rivers Partnership (Cannon River), \$5.56M
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Washington County \$6.1M
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	MN Valley Trust (Metro Big Rivers), \$13.8M
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	St. Croix River Association, \$6.65M
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	10	8	9	9	8	7	9	8	8	9	85	Northern Waters Land, \$9.1M
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	Sauk River Watershed District, \$8.7M
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	10	7	7	5	5	10	10	10	3	10	77	Murray County, \$1.4M
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	10	10	10	8	10	10	10	9	10	10	97	DNR, \$10.1M

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

[illegible]

Name: Duffley L. Edmondson															
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.															
Comments for your review - 500 points. Note: "0" in the "Total Score" field is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.															
ID	Project Title	Criteria												Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposed activity, methods, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, birds, and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses leverage to expand corridors and protects areas identified in the MIs County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted actions.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will positively support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of funding/opportunity.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any DNH appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	11. Degree of funding/opportunity.	12. Degree of funding/opportunity.		
00	Project Title	How points: 0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10	0-10			
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase IV	8	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	76		
PA02	BM Ground Reserve, Phase IV	7	8	8	10	8	8	8	7	7	9	8	80		
PA03	Prarie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VII	8	7	8	9	7	7	8	7	7	7	7	75		
PA04	MR Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XI	8	8	9	9	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	80		
PA05	Enhanced Public Land - Open Landscapes, Phase II	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	7	75		
PA06	BM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	9	8	7	7	6	8	7	7	8	8	8	75		
PA07	Northern Tulegrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase III	8	7	9	8	9	8	8	7	7	7	9	80		
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	9	7	8	8	77		
PA09	DNR Ground Enhancement, Phase XIV	8	7	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	9	7	75		
PA10	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	9	7	76		
PA11	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase I	8	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	9	8	81		
PA12	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUL, Phase X	8	8	7	8	7	7	8	9	7	9	7	78		
PA13	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase III	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	7	77		
PA14	Big Woods Protection at Sing Woods	8	7	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	8	8	78		
PA15	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	8	7	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	9	7	77		
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	8	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7	7	7	7	8	7	75		
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VI	7	8.0	8.0	8	8.0	7	7	7	7	9	7	76		
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	7	8.0	8	8	8.0	8	8	7	7	8	7	77		
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase VI	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	76		
WA05	BM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Provinces Region	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	9	7	78		
WA06	Using Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiatives, Phase VIII	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	9	7	75		
WA07	DNR Acquired Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	75		
WA08	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisition	8	7	7	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	76		
WA09	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VII	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	75		
WA10	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	73		
WA11	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase I	8	8	8	9	9	8	7	7	7	9	8	80		
WA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase II	8	8	8	9	8	7	8	7	7	8	7	78		
WA13	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VI	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	9	7	78		
WA14	Small Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase VI	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	77		
WA15	DNR WMA and DNR Acquisition, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	9	7	78		
WA16	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VI	8	7	7	9	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	77		
WA17	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	8	9	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	9	7	77		
WA18	Metro Big Rivers, Phase VI	8	9	7	8	7	8	8	7	7	9	7	78		
WA19	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase II	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	8	7	76		
WA20	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VII	8	7	7	8	8	7	8	7	7	9	7	76		
WA21	Small River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	7	8	7	77		
WA22	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	8	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	9	7	75		
WA23	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	9	8	7	7	7	7	8	8	7	9	7	77		
WA24	Kondalia Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	9	8	7	8	7	7	7	7	7	9	7	76		
WA25	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase I	8	8	7	8	8	7	7	8	7	9	7	77		
WA26	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase VI	9	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	7	78		
WA27	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	8	8	8	8	7	7	8	7	7	8	7	76		
WA28	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacements, Phase I	8	8	8	9	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	79		
WA29	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase II	9	8	8	8	9	8	7	7	7	9	8	80		
WA30	Kelleys River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	9	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	7	9	7	79		
WA31	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	9	8	9	8	8	7	7	8	7	8	7	79		
WA32	Daylighting Phalen Creek	9	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	78		
01	Restoration Evaluations, MI, 2022	9	8	0	0	0	0	8	9	0	0	10	44		
02	Current Management, MI, 2022	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	7	10	42		
CP	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase VII: Stewardship and Metro Habitat	9	9	8	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	10	80		

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	10	9	9	9	10	8	9	9	10	9	92	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	10	10	9	10	9	8	8	10	0	9	83	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	10	9	9	9	10	8	10	9	10	9	93	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	10	10	9	10	10	8	8	9	8	9	91	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	10	10	9	10	8	8	8	9	7	9	88	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	10	9	9	10	10	9	9	9	0	9	84	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	8	9	97	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	9	8	9	9	10	8	9	9	0	9	80	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	10	9	9	10	10	9	8	9	0	9	83	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	10	10	9	10	9	9	9	10	7	9	92	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	10	10	10	10	9	9	8	9	7	9	91	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	9	8	9	9	8	8	9	9	2	8	79	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	10	10	9	10	10	9	10	9	10	9	96	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	10	9	9	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	96	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	9	8	8	9	9	9	10	9	0	9	80	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	10	8.0	9.0	9.0	9.0	9	9	9	10	8	90	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Due Date:		Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.											
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	10	10.0	10.0	9	9.0	9	10	9	10	9	95	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	10	9.0	9	9	9.0	9	9	9	0	9	82	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	7	8	88	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	0	8	81	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	8	89	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	9	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	2	9	80	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	8	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	5	7	75	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	10	9	9	9	9	10	9	8	10	9	92	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	7	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	0	8	76	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	10	9	9	9	8	9	9	9	10	9	91	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	10	10	10	10	9	10	9	9	8	9	94	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	10	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	10	8	90	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	9	9	8	8	9	9	8	7	8	85	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	10	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	5	9	86	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	10	9	10	9	9	9	9	10	9	94	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	10	9	10	8	8	9	9	9	10	8	90	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	10	10	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	9	96	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	10	10	10	9	9	10	9	10	10	9	96	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	9	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	8	8	84	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	10	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	89	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	9	6	6	5	5	8	9	5	0	9	62	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	9	8	7	7	7	8	9	7	10	8	80	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

[illegible]

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: David Hartwell													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunis tic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	10	8	8	8	10	5	7	7	5	10	78	The proposal suggest restoration of the properties acquired and there is a large allocation to contracts for
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	10	9	9	10	10	7	7	8	0	8	78	It appearss that the funding requested supports a staff regardless of level. This brings to mind the question of
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	10	8	8	8	10	6	7	7	3	9	76	The proposal states "most tracks have remnant prairie." Why not simply do projects that do contain
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	10	9	8	9	10	6	8	7	2	8	77	No proportional reduction in project management or biologists even if there is less work. Does not make
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	10	8	8	7	10	6	7	6	1	7	70	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	10	9	9	8	10	5	9	6	0	8	74	Explain how "The MN Buffer Law requires a modest buffer area on roughly 50% of these riparian areas but
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	10	10	9	9	10	8	8	7	3	8	82	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	10	8	8	8	10	6	7	7	0	8	72	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	10	8	7	8	10	5	5	5	0	6	64	Past appropriations have large amounts of unspent funds going back to 2016. Scaling answer seems
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	10	8	7	8	10	6	9	9	1	10	78	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	10	7	5	7	10	5	6	6	1	5	62	DSS is high - especially since it is also on purchased easements.
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	10	8	8	7	10	6	5	6	0	7	67	LSOHC needs to define roads and trails as this proposal point out. No leverage committed to???
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	10	7	7	8	10	6	6	5	5	8	72	Disclosure of what the CF paid for the Potlatch lands is required in my mind to insure no markup beyond
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	10	7	7	7	10	6	8	9	3	10	77	Sounds like if all the parcel were included, the leverage of this project would go up dramatically.
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	10	8	7	7	10	6	6	5	0	7	66	What impace will the new OSB plant that has been anounced have on the need for forest management?
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	10	8	7	8	10	6	8	6	10	10	83	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	10	8	8	8	10	7	8	7	3	8	77	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	10	9	9	9	10	7	9	8	0	7	78	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: David Hartwell													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	9	8	8	10	7	9	7	1	8	77	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	10	9	8	8	10	7	8	7	0	5	72	2017 and 2018 appropriations only half spent. I have difficulty not seeing program management costs be scaleable. Easement cost seems high - what is the
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	10	9	8	9	10	7	9	6	2	8	78	Not sure how keeping staff on if funding is reduced for viability of future efforts fits with this funding request.
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	10	8	8	8	10	7	8	6	0	7	72	No final report approval? 2016 - 2020 - no acres yet affected? DSS is high - especially related to contracts. Answer to scaling question is evasive
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	10	7	7	7	10	5	9	8	1	8	72	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	10	8	7	7	10	7	7	7	6	9	78	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	10	9	9	9	10	8	7	7	0	8	77	Program management is not scaleable? Sounds a bit like supplanting if that is the case.
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	10	8	9	9	10	8	8	7	4	8	81	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	10	8	8	9	10	7	7	7	1	7	74	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	10	8	8	7	10	6	6	7	3	7	72	DNR forestry involvement - is there a commitment that they will not open more trails and roads? - no net gain is not enough in my mind for funds used to protect
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	7	7	6	10	6	7	7	1	7	68	Large amounts unspent from 2016 on. What is the need now?
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	10	8	7	7	10	7	7	7	0	6	69	Scaleability issue - no reduction in staff if reduction from request - seems like this is funding core staff then rather than this project.
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	8	7	7	10	7	7	7	3	8	74	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership											COI	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	10	8	7	7	10	7	7	7	4	7	74	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	10	8	8	8	10	7	7	8	3	7	76	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	10	8	8	8	10	8	8	8	2	8	78	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	10	8	8	7	10	7	7	7	2	8	74	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: David Hartwell													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	10	6	7	7	10	8	10	7	0	8	73	This seems like a infrastructure project (falling dam replacement) as much as a habitat one. Dual benefits are great but then why is the county not bearing some Scaleability issue - no reduction in staff if reduction from request - seems like this is funding core staff then rather than this project
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	10	8	8	7	10	9	10	6	8	7	83	
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	10	7	7	7	10	9	10	6	10	9	85	
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	10	9	8	8	10	8	10	6	5	8	82	
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	10	8	9	8	10	7	10	6	9	10	87	
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	10	6	7	7	10	6	6	6	7	10	75	Drastically reducing invastive fish will only provide short term benefits. Where is the plan for eradication that would iustify the investment in this project?
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	10	8	8	8	10	9	10	6	7	10	86	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III											COI	
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	10	7	6	6	10	7	8	6	4	6	70	Fiscal management costs seem high to just oversee a contract or two - .6 FTE for this does not seem reasonable
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	10	8	7	7	10	7	8	6	4	6	73	Staffing seems high
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	10	7	7	7	5	7	8	6	1	8	66	
O1	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022											0	
O2	Contract Management, ML2022											0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase XIV: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	8	8	8	10	7	8	7	2	8	76	Reason for increase from \$400K to \$500K? Past appropriations not all spent?

Leverage	
0-5%	1
6-10%	2
11-15%	3
16-20%	4
21-25%	5
26-30%	6
31-35%	7
36-40%	8
41-50%	9
50+%	10

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Josh Heintzeman													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the	science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and	addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest	indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	9	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	4	9	89	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	2	10	82	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	6	86	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	9	10	8	9	10	8	9	10	6	8	87	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	9	8	8	9	9	8	9	8	8	9	85	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	8	4	10	83	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	9	10	10	9	10	10	9	8	7	7	89	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	2	8	82	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	9	10	9	9	9	8	5	7	4	8	78	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	6	7	7	8	6	7	7	6	2	7	63	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	7	7	8	75	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	10	10	10	10	10	9	10	10	5	10	94	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	7	8	8	7	8	7	7	5	7	8	72	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	9	10	10	9	9	10	10	10	5	10	92	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	7	2	9	72	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	6	7	6	7	7	7	7	6	6	7	66	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	75	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	10	10	9	9	9	10	10	9	4	9	89	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	7	7	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	8	73	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	6	8	6	7	8	8	6	7	2	5	63	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	8	7	9	9	7	8	7	9	7	7	78	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name:		Josh Heintzeman											
Due Date:		Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.											
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the	science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and	addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest	indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	9	8	8	9	9	8	8	8	5	6	78	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Josh Heintzeman													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the	science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and	addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest	indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	7	7	8	8	8	7	7	7	5	7	71	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	7	8	8	7	7	7	7	7	5	6	69	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	8	8	7	7	7	8	8	8	1	5	67	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	6	8	7	7	8	6	7	6	1	5	61	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	8	7	7	8	8	8	7	8	1	5	67	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	6	6	81	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	7	8	7	7	8	7	8	8	5	5	70	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	44	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	10	10	10	10	5	7	8	6	8	84	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	7	5	6	69	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	9	8	8	9	9	9	8	8	5	7	80	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	8	9	9	8	9	9	9	8	5	7	81	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	6	6	8	7	6	8	8	7	5	7	68	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	1	7	8	8	7	7	8	7	1	5	59	needs to seek county board approval
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	7	8	7	8	6	8	7	7	1	5	64	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	8	9	9	8	8	8	9	9	4	5	77	
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	88	
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	8	88	
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	9	8	9	8	9	8	8	9	8	8	84	
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	4	4	80	
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	8	9	8	9	9	8	8	9	4	4	76	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Josh Heintzeman													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the	science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and	addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest	indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III	7	6	8	7	7	6	8	7	6	6	68	
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	9	7	8	7	7	8	9	7	4	5	71	
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	9	9	8	9	9	8	9	8	4	5	78	
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	9	9	8	8	8	9	8	8	4	5	76	
O1	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
O2	Contract Management, ML2022	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase XIV: Statewide and Metro Habitat	8	6	7	5	7	5	7	8	4	5	62	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: MARK HOLSTEN

Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria										Total Score Out of 100	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	72	0	21%
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	0	72	0	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	6	78	0	12.5%
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	0	74	0	8%
PA05	Enhanced Public Land - Open Landscapes, Phase II	8	8	10	10	10	8	6	7	2	69	0	5.5% 4.7
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	0	74	0	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	10	4	78	0	5.3%
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	8	10	8	10	10	8	8	8	0	70	0	8%
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	8	10	10	10	10	10	6	10	0	74	0	2.8%
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	8	8	8	10	10	6	6	8	2	66	0	2.3
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	2	74	0	2.1 2.3
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	5	0	58	0	1.3
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	8	8	6	8	8	6	8	5	8	65	0	24%
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	8	8	6	7	8	8	6	6	5	62	0	1.7% 11.3
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	0	60	0	1.4%
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	10	8	10	10	10	8	8	10	10	84	0	67%
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	10	8	8	10	8	10	8	10	6	68	0	14.4
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	8	8	10	10	9	10	6	6	0	67	0	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	10	10	8	8	8	8	2	72	0	4%
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	8	8	8	10	10	10	8	6	0	68	0	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	8	10	10	8	8	8	8	10	4	74	0	9%
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	8	10	10	10	10	10	6	10	0	74	0	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Mark Holsten

Due Date: Thursday, July 13, 2023 by 4 p.m.

Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "0" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.

ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score Out of 100	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10		
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	8	8	10	7	5	8	8	6	2	63	0	2.2
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	8	10	10	10	8	8	8	6	9	77	0	28.6
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	8	10	10	10	10	8	8	8	0	72	0	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	8	8	6	8	6	8	7	6	8	65	0	16%
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	8	8	8	10	10	10	6	7	2	67	0	4.7
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	6	10	7	8	8	6	8	5	66	0	11%
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	6	8	6	8	8	6	4	62	0	5.5
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	62	0	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	6	66	0	14%
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	7	8	8	8	8	7	7	6	9	68	0	26%
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	8	8	8	6	6	8	6	6	7	63	0	16%
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	5	68	0	10%
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	4	66	0	8.5
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	8	10	8	8	8	7	6	6	4	65	0	7.5
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	8	8	8	5	6	8	8	6	0	57	0	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	10	70	0	40%
HRE03	Kondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	7	8	8	8	8	6	8	6	10	69	0	722% ?
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	7	10	8	8	6	8	8	6	8	67	0	23%
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	6	10	70	0	41%
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	8	8	8	8	8	6	7	6	10	69	0	31
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	8	6	5	6	6	6	8	6	10	61	0	31
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	2	66	0	3.2
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	8	6	6	6	8	8	6	5	5	54	0	10%
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	8	6	8	6	8	8	6	6	7	65	0	16
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	6	6	8	6	7	6	5	8	2	54	0	2.9

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	9	9	9	8	8	6	7	5	5	7	73	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	7	8	6	7	8	6	7	5	0	7	61	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	9	8	7	6	7	6	7	5	7	7	69	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	7	7	8	6	7	6	6	4	1	7	59	UTV?
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	7	7	6	6	6	7	6	7	2	6	60	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	8	8	8	8	7	7	6	5	0	6	63	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	7	7	7	6	6	5	5	4	1	5	53	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	8	8	6	7	6	6	6	5	0	6	58	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	8	8	7	8	6	5	5	3	0	5	55	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	9	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	0	8	79	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	7	7	6	6	6	6	5	8	1	6	58	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	9	9	8	8	8	8	9	6	1	7	73	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	7	9	9	8	8	9	8	7	7	7	79	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	9	9	9	9	8	9	9	8	6	7	83	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	7	8	8	7	6	7	6	8	0	6	63	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	8	8.0	8.0	9.0	9.0	7	8	5	9	8	79	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	8	6.0	9.0	7	8.0	7	6	4	4	8	67	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	7	7.0	8	8	6.0	7	5	5	0	6	59	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	7	7	8	9	9	8	5	4	2	6	65	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	8	8	9	9	8	6	7	6	0	5	66	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	8	9	7	7	6	7	5	5	5	6	65	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	7	7	8	6	5	9	8	4	0	7	61	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	9	5	8	5	8	7	8	7	1	9	67	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	8	9	8	8	7	8	7	7	6	8	76	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	4	7	7	8	7	5	5	5	0	5	53	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	7	7	6	7	8	8	7	8	6	8	72	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	7	7	8	8	7	6	8	5	2	7	65	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	8	9	8	6	6	6	5	5	6	67	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	7	7	6	7	7	5	5	7	3	6	60	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	7	6	7	8	8	6	6	4	0	6	58	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	6	7	7	6	6	4	5	7	64	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	8	8	8	7	8	8	7	8	7	6	75	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	7	7	6	8	7	7	5	5	7	6	65	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	8	8	7	7	7	8	6	7	4	6	68	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	8	9	7	8	7	8	7	6	4	7	71	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	7	7	8	6	8	6	8	7	5	6	68	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	8	8	9	9	7	9	9	9	5	7	80	
0	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	7	7	8	6	6	8	6	6	8	8	70	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

[illegible]

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Ashley J. Peters													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses:	addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce	science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas	addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
		Who what when where why	conservation legacy										
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	4	10	5	74	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	5	0	7	66	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	10	6	78	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	7	8	8	7	8	6	8	6	7	4	69	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	6	75	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	0	6	67	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	7	8	8	8	7	8	7	5	5	6	69	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	6	8	7	7	8	6	7	6	0	5	60	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	0	6	66	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	8	8	8	8	8	7	3	6	2	6	64	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	7	2	6	69	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	5	0	6	66	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	7	10	6	77	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	8	8	8	8	8	8	5	8	10	8	79	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	6	68	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	10	6	76	

WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	8	8	8	6	8	8	8	5	10	6	75	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	8	8	8	8	8	6	8	8	0	6	68	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	4	7	74	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	8	8	8	8	8	7	8	7	0	6	68	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	8	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	9	6	75	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	6	69	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	8	8	8	6	6	8	6	7	2	6	65	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	8	8	7	6	8	8	6	7	10	8	76	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	6	69	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	7	8	8	8	8	7	6	7	10	7	76	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	6	5	7	73	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	10	7	79	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	7	8	7	8	8	6	5	5	6	68	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	0	5	67	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	8	7	8	6	8	6	10	7	76	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	8	8	7	8	8	8	7	5	10	7	76	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	8	8	8	7	8	8	6	7	10	7	77	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	6	10	6	78	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	7	8	8	8	8	8	7	5	8	6	73	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	7	8	8	7	8	8	7	5	8	7	73	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	6	0	6	63	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	8	8	8	8	8	6	5	6	10	6	73	
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	8	8	6	8	6	6	5	5	10	6	68	

[illegible]

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Tom Sanhage													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Comments for your review - 500 points. Note: "N/A" in the "Total Score" field is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.													
ID	Project Title	Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, methods, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, birds, and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and riparianity, protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted actions.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will benefit or support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing opportunity.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other efforts to supplement any DNR appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		100 points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase III	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	5	8	50	
PA02	BM Ground Reserve, Phase IV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	0	5	45	
PA03	Prarie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VII	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA04	MR Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XI	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	8	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	3	5	54	
PA06	BM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
PA07	Northern Tulegrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase III	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	46	
PA09	DNR Ground Enhancement, Phase XIV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	49	
PA10	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	5	5	3	5	3	3	5	5	3	5	42	
PA11	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase I	5	8	5	5	5	5	8	8	5	5	55	
PA12	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUL, Phase X	8	5	5	5	5	5	8	8	5	8	62	
PA13	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase III	8	5	8	5	8	5	8	8	5	8	66	
PA14	Big Woods Protection at Sing Woods	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	54	
PA15	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	8	5	5	5	5	5	8	8	5	8	62	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	5	5.0	5.0	8.0	8.0	5	5	5	8	8	62	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VI	3	5.0	8.0	3	5.0	5	5	8	5	5	54	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoveland Protection, Phase VIII	8	8.0	5	8	5.0	5	5	5	3	8	60	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase VI	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WA05	BM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Provinces Region	5	8	5	5	5	8	5	5	8	5	59	
WA06	Using Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiatives, Phase VIII	3	8	5	3	3	3	3	3	8	8	50	
WA07	DNR Acquired Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	51	
WA08	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
WA09	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VII	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	55	
WA10	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	46	
WA11	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase I	5	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	56	
WA12	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	56	
WA13	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VI	8	8	8	5	5	8	8	8	5	8	71	
WA14	Small Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	52	
WA15	DNR WMA and DNA Acquisitions, Phase XIV	5	5	8	8	5	5	5	5	8	8	62	
WA16	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	3	3	5	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	44	
WA17	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	3	8	3	3	3	3	3	3	8	3	56	
WA18	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XI	8	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	8	62	
WA19	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase II	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	8	8	8	74	
WA20	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VII	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	5	5	5	58	
WA21	Small River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	8	5	56	
WB01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
WB02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	8	5	8	5	5	8	5	5	8	8	65	
WB03	Kondalia Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	3	5	5	3	5	5	5	5	8	8	52	
WB04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase I	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	50	
WB05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase VI	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	
WB06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	46	
WB07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacements, Phase I	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
WB08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase II	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	48	
WB09	Kelle River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	8	5	56	
WB10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	5	56	
WB11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	5	5	5	55	
01	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
02	Grassland Management, ML2022	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	
03	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase VII: Stormwater and Metro Habitat	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Ron Schara													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	10	10	8	10	5	5	5	5	8	10	76	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	10	10	8	8	5	5	5	8	3	7	69	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	10	10	8	8	5	5	5	5	5	7	68	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	10	10	8	8	6	5	8	5	3	7	70	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	10	10	8	8	5	5	3	3	3	5	60	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	5	7	3	3	3	3	5	5	0	5	39	confusing application
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	10	10	8	8	5	5	5	3	8	8	70	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	0	8	60	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	10	10	8	8	5	5	5	3	0	5	59	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	5	8	8	5	3	5	8	8	0	5	55	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	8	5	5	3	3	3	5	5	0	5	42	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	5	5	5	3	3	3	5	3	0	5	37	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	8	8	8	8	3	3	5	3	3	5	54	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	8	8	8	5	3	3	5	8	5	5	58	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	3	0	5	41	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	8	8.0	5.0	8.0	5.0	5	8	3	8	5	63	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Ron Schara													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	9	8.0	10.0	8	5.0	5	8	5	8	5	71	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	8	8.0	5	8	5.0	5	8	5	0	5	57	5
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	10	10	8	8	5	5	10	5	4	5	70	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	5	5	5	5	5	5	8	3	0	5	46	5
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	10	10	10	8	5	8	8	5	8	5	77	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	8	8	8	5	5	8	8	5	0	5	60	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Ron Schara													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	0										0	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	8	8	8	10	8	5	5	5	10	5	72	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	10	10	8	10	8	5	5	5	0	5	66	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	8	8	8	8	8	5	5	3	3	5	61	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	7	8	8	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	56	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	57	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	5	8	8	8	5	5	5	3	3	5	55	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	5	0	5	57	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	8	8	8	3	5	5	3	5	5	58	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	9	9	8	10	3	5	5	3	8	5	65	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	8	8	8	5	3	5	5	3	8	5	58	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	3	3	5	42	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	8	8	8	8	8	3	5	3	3	5	59	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	8	8	10	10	5	3	5	3	3	5	60	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	8	8	10	5	5	5	5	3	0	5	54	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	8	10	10	5	5	3	5	3	10	5	64	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Ron Schara													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	8	10	10	8	5	3	5	3	10	5	67	
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	5	8	8	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	52	5
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	8	5	5	8	5	3	5	3	10	5	57	
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	8	8	8	8	8	5	5	5	8	5	68	MOVE TO CPL GRANT?
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	8	5	5	8	8	5	5	3	8	5	60	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III	5	5	3	5	5	3	5	3	5	5	44	
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	8	8	5	8	5	3	5	3	5	5	55	
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	8	8	5	8	5	3	3	3	5	5	53	
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	8	5	8	5	5	5	3	3	3	5	50	
O1	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022											100	
O2	Contract Management, ML2022											100	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase XIV: Statewide and Metro Habitat											75	BEHIND ON SPENDING MONEY???

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Jamie Swenson													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
PA01	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program, Phase XIV	10	9	9	9	9	9	7	6	8	8	84	
PA02	RIM Grassland Reserve, Phase IV	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	0	6	70	
PA03	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley, Phase VIII	8	9	8	9	8	6	7	9	5	8	77	
PA04	MN Prairie Recovery Program, Phase XII	8	9	9	9	9	9	8	6	5	8	80	
PA05	Enhanced Public Land – Open Landscapes, Phase II	9	9	7	9	8	6	7	8	5	8	76	
PA06	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water, Phase X	8	8	7	8	9	8	8	7	0	6	69	
PA07	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge, Phase XIII	8	8	8	7	10	8	7	5	5	8	74	
PA08	Martin County DNR WMA, Phase VI	8	7	7	7	8	7	7	5	0	6	62	
PRE01	DNR Grassland Enhancement, Phase XIV	7	7	7	8	9	6	7	6	0	8	65	
FA01	Preventing Forest Fragmentation and Protecting Wildlife Habitat at the Edge of the Boundary Waters	8	6	6	6	8	7	7	6	5	8	67	
FA02	Minnesota Forest Recovery Project, Phase II	8	6	7	8	8	6	6	6	5	7	67	
FA03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB, Phase X	9	8	8	7	8	7	8	7	7	7	76	
FA04	Minnesota Forests for the Future, Phase IX	7	7	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	6	69	
FA05	Big Woods Protection at Stieg Woods	9	6	6	6	8	7	7	6	4	6	65	
FRE01	DNR Forest Enhancement, Phase II	5	8	7	10	8	7	6	6	0	7	64	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program, Phase XIV	7	9	10	8	9	8	8	8	9	7	83	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Jamie Swenson													
Due Date:		Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.											
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
WA02	Wetland Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase VII	7	7	8	8	9	8	7	7	5	7	73	
WA03	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection, Phase VIII	7	9	8	9	9	8	9	9	0	8	76	
WA04	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program, Phase XI	9	10	10	9	9	7	8	8	6	7	83	
WA05	RIM Wetlands - Restoring Most Productive Habitat in Minnesota's Prairie Pothole Region	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	7	0	5	67	
WRE01	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative, Phase VIII	9	9	9	9	9	9	8	7	7	7	83	
WRE02	DNR Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancements, Phase XIV	7	7	6	7	8	6	6	6	0	7	60	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Jamie Swenson													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HA01	Elm Creek Greenway Corridor Proposed Property Acquisitions	8	7	5	4	5	6	9	8	5	8	65	
HA02	Dakota County Habitat Protection/Restoration, Phase VIII	7	7	8	6	10	6	9	6	8	8	75	
HA03	Integrating Habitat and Clean Water	5	7	7	6	7	6	8	6	0	8	60	
HA04	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries of Minnesota's North Shore, Phase II	7	7	7	6	8	5	7	8	4	6	65	
HA05	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration, Phase X	7	8	8	7	6	8	7	7	5	8	71	
HA06	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project, Phase VII	8	8	8	9	6	7	8	5	5	7	71	
HA07	Shell Rock River Habitat Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	10	9	12	10	8	8	3	3	4	75	
HA08	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XIV	9	9	9	9	9	7	7	5	0	8	72	
HA09	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program, Phase XI	8	7	9	8	8	8	7	5	6	8	74	
HA10	Washington County Habitat Protection and Restoration Partnership	7	6	7	7	6	7	6	5	6	7	64	
HA11	Metro Big Rivers, Phase XII	8	7	8	7	7	6	7	5	6	4	65	
HA12	St. Croix Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase III	8	7	8	7	7	6	8	5	5	8	69	
HA13	Fisheries Habitat Protection of Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes, Phase VIII	9	10	10	10	7	8	9	8	5	7	83	
HA14	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection & Restoration, Phase IV	8	7	7	7	8	8	7	5	4	7	68	
HRE01	Lime Lake and Lake Sarah Dam Replacements	10	7	7	5			9	5	0	9	52	
HRE02	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement, Phase V	7	7	7	8	7	7	6	7	9	7	72	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2022 / FY 2023

Name: Jamie Swenson													
Due Date: Thursday, July 15, 2021 by 4 p.m.													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	Out of 100	
HRE03	Klondike Clean Water Retention Project, Phase II	7	8	7	7	6	6	7	9	10	8	75	
HRE04	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program, Phase II	8	5	6	6	8	8	8	7	7	7	70	
HRE05	DNR St. Louis River Restoration Initiative, Phase IX	6	8	8	8	7	7	8	8	8	6	74	
HRE06	East Lake Habitat Improvement Plan	8	5	6	6	7	7	7	5	7	8	66	
HRE07	DNR Fish Passage Enhancement through Targeted Culvert Replacement, Phase I	8	7	7	7	5	5	8	5	8	8	68	
HRE08	Restoring and Enhancing Minnesota's Important Bird Areas, Phase III	7	8	7	8	9	7	9	6	5	7	73	
HRE09	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation, Phase VII	7	8	8	9	8	8	7	5	5	8	73	
HRE10	Enhancing Metro and North Shore Trout Stream Habitats	5	8	7	8	8	7	6	6	6	7	68	
HRE11	Daylighting Phalen Creek	7	6	6	6	8	6	8	6	4	4	61	
O1	Restoration Evaluations, ML2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
O2	Contract Management, ML2022	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program, Phase XIV: Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	10	9	9	8	8	9	9	6	9	86	