

### **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 11

Laws of Minnesota 2022 Accomplishment Plan

#### **General Information**

Date: 08/23/2024

Project Title: Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 11

Funds Recommended: \$2,636,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2022, Ch. 77, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd. 5(h)

Appropriation Language: \$2,636,000 the second year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with The Trust for Public Land, in cooperation with Great River Greening and Clean River Partners, to acquire lands in fee in the Cannon River watershed for wildlife management under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 8; to acquire lands in fee for aquatic management purposes under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 14; to acquire lands in fee for scientific and natural areas under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 5; to acquire lands in fee for state forests under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 7; to acquire lands in fee for county forests or natural areas; and to restore and enhance lands in the Cannon River watershed. Of this amount, \$1,651,000 is to The Trust for Public Land; \$828,000 is to Great River Greening; and \$157,000 is to Clean River Partners. Subject to evaluation criteria in Minnesota Rules, part 6136.0900, priority must be given to acquiring lands that are eligible for the native prairie bank under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.96, or lands adjacent to protected native prairie. A list of proposed land acquisitions and restorations must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

#### **Manager Information**

Manager's Name: Jennifer Tonko

Title: Executive Director

**Organization:** Clean River Partners **Address:** 205 Water St S Ste 1

City: Northfield, MN 55057

**Email:** jennifer@cleanriverpartners.org

**Office Number:** 507-786-3913

Mobile Number: Fax Number:

Website: https://cleanriverpartners.org/

#### **Location Information**

**County Location(s):** Rice, Waseca, Steele, Le Sueur and Goodhue.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Prairie
- Southeast Forest

#### **Activity types:**

- Protect in Fee
- Restore
- Enhance

#### Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie
- Forest
- Habitat

#### **Narrative**

#### **Abstract**

The Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program will protect approximately 264 acres in fee, and restore and enhance approximately 238 acres of high priority wildlife habitat within the Cannon River Watershed, including wetlands, prairies, Big Woods forest, and river/shallow lake shoreline. Its goal is to protect existing high quality habitat, restore degraded habitat, prevent degradation of water quality, and provide public access. We will restore and enhance riverine, forest, wetlands, oak savanna, and prairie habitat

#### **Design and Scope of Work**

The Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program - Phase 11 will prevent degradation and quality habitat loss in the Cannon River Watershed. It will increase the amount of restored habitat on public lands available for hunting and angling opportunities within an easy drive for over half of Minnesota's population.

Located south of the Twin Cities, the 1,460 square mile Cannon River Watershed includes over 800 linear miles of streams and rivers that drain a diverse landscape. This area has seen increased development pressure due to the transportation ease of the I-35 corridor and the attraction of the Cannon Lakes, and much of this area has already suffered fragmentation and habitat loss. Historically comprised of Big Woods habitat, the landscape is now dominated by agricultural fields and, to a lesser extent, urban development. Agricultural practices and shoreline development are the major contributors to the impaired status of stretches of the Cannon River and its associated lakes and streams.

Part of a multi-year, multi-partner strategy, this program streamlines the coordination of protecting and restoring targeted parcels of land that positively contribute to large complexes of forests, restored prairies, wetlands, lakeshore, and river shoreline. Since 1990, Clean River Partners (formerly Cannon River Watershed Partnership - CRWP) has been the local expert of the watershed, and will coordinate this program, providing program administration and landowner outreach. Fee-title acquisitions by The Trust for Public Land (TPL) will be targeted toward large wetland/upland complexes, rare biomes (Big Woods forest, tamarack swamp), shallow lakes, river shoreline, and lands adjacent to existing public land. TPL will convey lands to the DNR except when County ownership is appropriate. Ongoing partnerships are critical in identifying priority conservation opportunities and protection strategies for the existing high biodiversity areas.

Parcels targeted for restoration and enhancement will be evaluated by Great River Greening (GRG) in collaboration with MN DNR, County and SWCD personnel to determine existing and desired conditions for each site. Information gathered will be used to develop site specific work plans for restoring ecologically desired habitats. Project management plans will detail the methods, practices and a time line for successful completion of each site/project. Practices could include; invasive species removal, controlled burning, chemical treatment, individual plant removal, reseeding and/or plug planting, tree stand thinning, and shoreline revetments using native materials. Restorations and enhancements will be aimed at improving habitat and protecting water quality for the benefit of all wildlife and human communities.

Properties targeted for fee-title acquisition and restoration can be found in the parcel list below.

In recent years, collaboration among Clean River Partners, TPL and GRG has accelerated awareness of and created growing interest in land protection and habitat restoration opportunities available to landowners. Continuing our collaboration and building on this momentum, we seek to increase capacity to deliver permanent land protection and habitat improvement opportunities to landowners to protect well-connected functional forests, prairies, wetlands, lakeshores, and river shorelines that sustain and enhance native species and provides high quality outdoor recreation experiences.

# How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

The areas targeted by The Trust for Public Land have been identified and prioritized through state, regional and local natural resource plans due to their high biodiversity qualities and connectivity to existing public lands. Their protection will occur through fee title acquisition, and will target Big Woods, oak savannas, wetlands and sensitive shoreline communities within the Cannon River Watershed. Great River Greening will conduct significant habitat restoration and enhancement work on already permanently protected conservation lands identified by DNR and County staff within the watershed. The aim is to improve habitat values for wildlife and Species in Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN), including birds using the Mississippi River migratory corridor, and pollinators. Work will restore and enhance riverine, forest, wetlands, oak savanna, and prairie habitat at 4 conservation sites.

Protection, restoration and/or enhancement of these significant parcels will provide critical habitat for game species, including upland birds (dove, turkey, pheasant, and woodcock), white tail deer, migratory waterfowl (mallards, canvasback, wood ducks, hooded mergansers, pintails, and lesser scaup), and aquatic species critical for the protection of fish (trout, northern pike, black crappies, bluegills, and walleye). Protection will also provide access for a diversity of recreational experiences including duck, pheasant, turkey and deer hunting as well as river, stream, and lake fishing. The nongame wildlife, including species in Greatest Conservation Need, that also benefit from this effort includes Bald Eagle, Bell's Vireo, Cerulean Warbler, Loggerhead Shrike, Sandhill Crane, Redheaded Woodpecker, Greater Yellowlegs, Buffbreasted Sandpiper, Blanding's Turtle, Mudpuppies, and the Giant Floater, a species of freshwater mussel.

# Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

This program uses the Minnesota County Biological Survey, and several other GIS data analysis tools to identify priority lands for protection and restoration to create and expand conservation corridors and habitat complexes. In addition, we will consult several watershed-wide landscape planning efforts such as the Cannon River Watershed Landscape Stewardship Plan, the Cannon River Watershed - Watershed Restoration and Protection

Strategies (WRAPS), and the Cannon River Watershed Comprehensive Management Plan approved by Minnesota's Board of Water and Soil Resources and ratified by the Cannon River Watershed Joint Powers Board.

# Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

#### Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition The Next 50 Years
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

#### Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

#### **Prairie**

• Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

#### **Southeast Forest**

• Protect forest habitat though acquisition in fee or easement to prevent parcelization and fragmentation and to provide the ability to access and manage landlocked public properties

#### **Outcomes**

#### **Programs in prairie region:**

• Key core parcels are protected for fish, game and other wildlife ~ Core parcels are identified in partnership with the DNR as well as the partners of the Cannon River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program. Success will be determined based on the acreage of lands protected and the percentage of protected lands in the watershed.

#### **Programs in southeast forest region:**

High priority riparian lands, forestlands, and savannas are protected from parcelization and fragmentation
 ~ Core parcels are identified in partnership with the DNR as well as the partners of the Cannon River
 Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Program . Success will be determined based on the acreage of
 lands protected and the percentage of protected lands in the watershed.

#### Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

#### **Explain the leverage:**

A portion of Clean River Partners' DSS and all of Clean River Partners' travel costs and materials/supplies costs related to outreach are provided as privately sourced leverage for this proposal.

One-half of TPL's DSS costs and all of TPL's travel costs are provided as privately sourced leverage for this proposal. TPL will attempt to bring various state, local and private funds to acquire additional property and leverage the OHF grant, and will attempt to leverage fee acquisition with partial donations of the appraised value of

protected parcels.

More than one-half of GRG's DSS costs are provided as privately sourced leverage for this proposal. GRG will attempt to bring various local and private funds to leverage the enhancement and restoration activities on protected parcels.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This proposal does not substitute or supplant previous funding that was not from a Legacy fund.

#### **Non-OHF Appropriations**

Year	Source	Amount
2013	RIM	\$200,000
2012	RIM	\$105,000
2012	ENTRF	\$200,000
2011	ENTRF	\$350,000

#### How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

Once land has been acquired and conveyed to the DNR, initial site development and restoration of these lands will begin. Estimated costs for initial restoration or enhancement work are included in this proposal. The Trust for Public Land will work with DNR to complete a restoration or enhancement management plan, and implementation of that plan will be completed in the following years. These properties will be managed and maintained by the Minnesota DNR according to their guidelines.

In collaboration with MN DNR, County and SWCD personnel, parcels targeted for restoration will be evaluated by Great River Greening to determine existing and desired conditions for each site. Information gathered will be used to develop site-specific scope of work plans for restoring ecologically desired habitats. Project management plans will detail the methods and practices to be used and a timeline for successful completion of each site/project.

#### **Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2025	GRG - OHF	Continue R/E and begin stewardship. Target actions to maintain habitat.	Restorative action to correct damage as needed	Evaluate progress and determine if additional actions are needed
2024	GRG - OHF	Initiate R/E work		
2023	GRG - OHF	Perform site evaluation and assessment in collaboration with DNR	Develop R/E plan for property. Begin monitoring	Initiate site preparation from R/E work. Continue monitoring
2025	TPL - DNR	Restore and steward property for habitat and public recreation	-	-
2024	TPL - DNR	Develop restoration/management plan for property	Restore and steward property for habitat and public recreation	-
2023	TPL - OHF and DNR	Post property	Develop	-

		110,000 11111105
	restoration/management	
	plan for property	

# Provide an assessment of how your program celebrates cultural diversity or reaches diverse communities in Minnesota, including reaching low- and moderate-income households:

The partners in this program involve, engage, and benefit BIPOC and diverse communities through focused events that leverage this LSOHC funded program. For example, recent efforts, funded through other sources, have focused on increasing the engagement of BIPOC and diverse communities in volunteer events at LSOHC protected and restored sites in the Cannon River Watershed. However, this program has not used LSOHC funds to specifically involve BIPOC and/or diverse communities in the past largely due to concerns about the language of the constitutional amendment specifying that these funds "may be spent only to restore, protect, and enhance wetlands, prairies, forests, and habitat for fish, game."

Protecting, restoring, and enhancing diverse and resilient habitat benefits all Minnesotans, it keeps our air and water cleaner, mitigates the impacts of climate change, and conserves the biological diversity that belongs to everyone. Public land provides an opportunity for recreation and health to those who do not have access to private natural lands, whether that be for hunting, fishing, hiking, or other outdoor pursuits. Within the Cannon River Watershed, we have protected 13 places totaling more than 2,700 acres that were previously inaccessible to the general public. According to a GIS based driveshed analysis, over 3.4 million people live within an hour's drive of the places protected by this LSOHC funded program.

Furthermore, if allowed by the LSOHC to expand our scope of activities, we would be eager to incorporate a more thorough community engagement process into our work, specifically centering on BIPOC and diverse communities. This would primarily involve partnering with locally-led BIPOC groups focused on outdoor- and habitat-based activities. In addition, we could explore partnering with tribal nations to consider alternative roles for permanent stewardship of the land protected where appropriate. Moving forward, we will continue our outreach to BIPOC communities with funds from other sources and remain eager to expand this important work in a way that more directly, and authentically, engages diverse communities and partners in an equitable and just manner.

#### **Activity Details**

#### Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Will county board or other local government approval <u>be formally sought\*\*</u> prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?

No

**Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:** We will follow the County/Township Board notification processes as directed by the current statutory language.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection? Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program?

Yes

Is the restoration and enhancement activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, Subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 or on lands to be acquired in this program? Yes

#### Where does the activity take place?

- WMA
- SNA
- AMA
- Permanently Protected Conservation Easements
- County/Municipal
- State Forests

#### **Land Use**

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program, either by the proposer or the end owner of the property, outside of the initial restoration of the land?
Yes

Explain what will be planted and include the maximum percentage of any acquired parcel that would be planted into foodplots by the proposer or the end owner of the property:

For lands acquired that are conveyed as WMAs to the DNR, the DNR has indicated the following: The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife. Lands proposed to be acquired as WMAs may utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. In some cases this necessitates the use of GMO treated products to facilitate herbicide use in order to control weeds present in the seedbank, however, neonicotinoids will not be used.

#### Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

#### Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

#### Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

NA

#### Who will eventually own the fee title land?

- State of MN
- County

#### Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- WMA
- SNA
- State Forest
- AMA

# What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

We anticipate we will close 1 to 2 transactions and investigate 2 others.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

TPL - Some initial restoration will be conducted through release of IDP funds or through contracts.

Will the land that you acquire (fee or easement) be restored or enhanced within this program's funding and availability?

Yes

#### **Timeline**

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Restoration and enhancement	June 30, 2028
Landowner negotiations, agreements and due diligence	June 30, 2025
Finalize restoration and enhancement plans	June 30, 2024
Initial site development	Fall 2028
Land acquired	Iune 30, 2026

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/01/2028

**Availability of Appropriation:** Subd. 7. Availability of Appropriation

- (a) Money appropriated in this section may not be spent on activities unless they are directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation and are specified in the accomplishment plan approved by the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council. Money appropriated in this section must not be spent on indirect costs or other institutional overhead charges that are not directly related to and necessary for a specific appropriation. Money appropriated to acquire land in fee may be used to restore, enhance, and provide for public use of the land acquired with the appropriation. Public-use facilities must have a minimal impact on habitat in acquired lands.
- (b) Money appropriated in this section is available as follows:
- (1) money appropriated for acquiring real property is available until June 30, 2026;
- (2) money appropriated for restoring and enhancing land acquired with an appropriation in this act is available for four years after the acquisition date with a maximum end date of June 30, 2030;
- (3) money appropriated for restoring or enhancing other land is available until June 30, 2027;
- (4) notwithstanding clauses (1) to (3), money appropriated for a project that receives at least 15 percent of its funding from federal funds is available until a date sufficient to match the availability of federal funding to a maximum of six years if the federal funding was confirmed and included in the original approved draft accomplishment plan; and

(5) money appropriated for other projects is available until the end of the fiscal year in which it is appropriated.

# **Budget**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

### **Grand Totals Across All Partnerships**

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$286,000	-	-, Private	\$286,000
Contracts	\$437,000	-	-	\$437,000
Fee Acquisition w/	\$1,461,500	\$200,000	RIM	\$1,661,500
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
<b>Easement Acquisition</b>	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	\$5,700	\$2,000	-, Private Sourced,	\$7,700
			Private Sourced	
Professional Services	\$39,500	-	-	\$39,500
Direct Support	\$114,000	\$156,500	Private Sourced,	\$270,500
Services			Private Sourced,	
			Private Sourced	
DNR Land Acquisition	\$17,000	-	-	\$17,000
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	\$3,100	-	-	\$3,100
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$244,200	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$245,200
DNR IDP	\$28,000	=	-	\$28,000
Grand Total	\$2,636,000	\$359,500	-	\$2,995,500

# **Partner: Great River Greening**

#### Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$93,000	-	Private	\$93,000
Contracts	\$423,000	-	-	\$423,000
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$5,700	-	-	\$5,700
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support	\$59,000	\$129,600	Private Sourced	\$188,600
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	\$3,100	-	-	\$3,100
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$244,200	-	-	\$244,200
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$828,000	\$129,600	-	\$957,600

### Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	0.26	5.0	\$93,000	-	-	\$93,000

#### **Partner: Clean River Partners**

#### Totals

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$121,000	-	-	\$121,000
Contracts	\$3,000	-	-	\$3,000
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$1,000
Professional Services	-	-	-	-
Direct Support	\$33,000	\$4,900	Private Sourced	\$37,900
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$1,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$157,000	\$6,900	-	\$163,900

#### Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	0.5	3.0	\$121,000	-	-	\$121,000

#### **Partner: Trust for Public Land**

#### **Totals**

Item	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$72,000	-	-	\$72,000
Contracts	\$11,000	-	-	\$11,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$1,461,500	\$200,000	RIM	\$1,661,500
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	-	\$1,000	Private Sourced	\$1,000
Professional Services	\$39,500	-	-	\$39,500
Direct Support Services	\$22,000	\$22,000	Private Sourced	\$44,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$17,000	-	-	\$17,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	\$28,000	-	-	\$28,000
<b>Grand Total</b>	\$1,651,000	\$223,000	-	\$1,874,000

#### Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
TPL:	0.14	3.0	\$72,000	-	-	\$72,000
Protection and						
Legal Staff						

**Amount of Request:** \$2,636,000 **Amount of Leverage:** \$359,500

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 13.64%

**DSS + Personnel:** \$400,000

As a % of the total request: 15.17%

**Easement Stewardship: -**

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

# How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

A reduction in funding would reduce outputs proportionally. Program management costs would be the exception, due to program development and oversight remaining somewhat consistent regardless of appropriation amount.

#### Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

All partners provide some DSS costs as privately sourced leverage and attempt to bring various state, local and private funds to acquire property and conduct enhancement/restoration activities.

Clean River Partners and TPL provide travel costs as privately sourced leverage.

#### Does this project have the ability to be scalable?

Yes

#### If the project received 50% of the requested funding

Describe how the scaling would affect acres/activities and if not proportionately reduced, why? A reduction in funding would reduce outputs (acres/activities) proportionately.

# Describe how personnel and DSS expenses would be adjusted and if not proportionately reduced, why?

Program management costs (personnel and DSS expenses) will be reduced as well. However, not exactly proportionately as program development and oversight costs remain consistent regardless of appropriation amount.

#### **Personnel**

#### Has funding for these positions been requested in the past?

Yes

#### **Contracts**

#### What is included in the contracts line?

Clean River Partners does not have any request for contracted service.

TPL's contract line includes potential site clean-up and initial restoration activities.

GRG's contract line includes restoration/enhancement contracts by service providers.

#### **Fee Acquisition**

#### What is the anticipated number of fee title acquisition transactions?

We anticipate we will close 1 to 2 transactions and investigate 2 others.

#### **Travel**

#### Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

Yes

# Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging In addition to the traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging, Great River Greening will sometimes rent vehicles for travel.

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

Yes

#### **Direct Support Services**

# How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

Clean River Partners' DSS request is calculated on allowable direct and necessary expenditures that are not captured in other line items in the budget, and a portion of these allowable direct and necessary costs are contributed as privately sourced leverage. Clean River Partners is in the process of applying for an approved rate

Project #: HA 09 with MN DNR.

TPL's DSS request is based upon its federal rate which has been approved by the MN DNR and 50% of these costs are requested from the OHF grant while 50% is contributed as privately sourced leverage.

GRG's DSS rate has been approved by the DNR on October 4, 2019. GRG's DSS rate includes the allowable direct and necessary expenditures that are not captured in other line items in the budget. A portion, not exceeding 50%, of these costs are requested from the grant and the balance is contributed as privately sourced leverage.

#### **Other Equipment/Tools**

#### Give examples of the types of Equipment and Tools that will be purchased?

Hand tools, saws, brush cutters, personal protective equipment, burn equipment, seed collection equipment, repairs and other necessary equipment to complete restoration and enhancement activities.

#### **Federal Funds**

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program? No

Page 15 | 20

# **Output Tables**

### **Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	<b>Total Acres</b>
Restore	14	121	23	ı	158
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	66	66	66	66	264
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	ı	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	ı	-	ı	-
Enhance	-	76	4	ı	80
Total	80	263	93	66	502

#### **How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)**

Туре	Native Prairie (acres)
Restore	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	10
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-
Protect in Easement	-
Enhance	-
Total	10

### **Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	\$87,200	\$437,200	\$82,100	-	\$606,500
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$443,900	\$444,000	\$404,900	\$436,400	\$1,729,200
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	ı	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	\$279,300	\$21,000	-	\$300,300
Total	\$531,100	\$1,160,500	\$508,000	\$436,400	\$2,636,000

### **Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	<b>Total Acres</b>
Restore	-	-	ı	158	-	158
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	1	264	-	264
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	80	-	80
Total	-	-	-	502	-	502

# **Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	\$606,500	-	\$606,500
Protect in Fee with State	-	-	-	\$1,729,200	-	\$1,729,200
PILT Liability						
Protect in Fee w/o State	-	-	-	-	-	-
PILT Liability						
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	ı	ı
Enhance	-	-	-	\$300,300	-	\$300,300
Total	-	-	-	\$2,636,000	-	\$2,636,000

### **Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)**

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
1 - y p c	Welland	I I dil ic	1 01 050	Habitat

Restore	\$6,228	\$3,613	\$3,569	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6,725	\$6,727	\$6,134	\$6,612
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	\$3,675	\$5,250	-

# **Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	\$3,838	ı
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	\$6,550	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	ı
Enhance	-	-	-	\$3,753	

# Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

1 mile

#### **Parcels**

#### **Parcel Information**

#### Sign-up Criteria?

No

#### Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

The Trust for Public Land works with its public partners to identify and prioritize projects that meet their objectives and are on their priority lists. Criteria includes whether the land provides critical habitat for game and non-game species and quality public recreational opportunities, presence of unique plants and animals species (including SGCN), goals of conservation plans, adjacency to other public land or habitat complexes, existence of local support, immediacy of threats, landowner willingness and time frame.

Great River Greening works with land owning entities (public and protected private) and interested stakeholders to identify parcels where there is a need for restoration or enhancement of lands and water resources. Parcels are selected using the following criteria: permanently protected status (WMA, AMA, SNA, Forestry, County Conservation, etc.), ecological and habitat value and potential (biodiversity, size and location), congruence with existing plans and priority areas, willing and committed landowners (demonstrated through leveraged match), and leveraging opportunities.

#### **Restore / Enhance Parcels**

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Description
Factor WMA	Le Sueur	11024212	33	\$98,200	Yes	Cedar and cottonwood removal
Dove Lake WMA	Le Sueur	10924221	43	\$180,600	Yes	Enhancement of 43 acres of prairie through tree removal
Sunktokeca WMA Ph III	Rice	11021214	0	\$0	Yes	-
Sakatah WMA	Rice	10922222	151	\$486,100	Yes	Initial prairie, forest, and stream restoration (14 acres wetland restoration; 16 acres forest restoration; 121 acres prairie restoration)
Circle Lake WMA	Rice	11121216	0	\$0	Yes	-
Goose Lake Park	Waseca	10722214	13	\$58,100	Yes	-

#### **Fee Parcels**

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Cannon River Turtle Preserve SNA Addition 2	Goodhue	11316227	200	\$615,000	No
Dove Lake WMA	Le Sueur	10924221	66	\$290,000	No
Earl Swain WMA Addition	Le Sueur	10924222	75	\$450,000	No
Dora Lake WMA Addition	Le Sueur	11023214	172	\$650,000	No
Horseshoe Lake AMA/WMA Addition	Le Sueur	10923212	32	\$218,034	No
Sakatah WMA Addition	Rice	10922216	80	\$210,000	No
Circle Lake WMA Addition	Rice	11121215	101	\$1,000,000	No
Prairie Creek WMA Addition	Rice	11119236	55	\$453,142	No
Faribault WMA II	Rice	10920233	37	\$185,000	No
Caron Lake Addition 2	Rice	11022234	280	\$1,075,000	No
Caron Lake Addition 1	Rice	11022229	80	\$450,000	No
Sungina WMA	Rice	11121206	201	\$1,400,000	Yes

Aurora WMA Addition 2	Steele	10619212	50	\$230,000	No
Faribault WMA I	Steele	10820204	153	\$1,000,000	No
Moonan Marsh WMA Addition	Waseca	10822225	75	\$316,000	No

