

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Laws of Minnesota 2021 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 12/14/2020

Project Title: Contract Management 2021

Funds Recommended: \$210,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2021, Ch. XX, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd.

Appropriation Language:

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Katherine Sherman-Hoehn Title: OMBS Grants Manager Organization: MN DNR Address: 500 Lafayette Road City: Saint Paul, MN 55155 Email: katherine.sherman-hoehn@state.mn.us Office Number: 6512595533 Mobile Number: Fax Number: Website: https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/grants/passthrough/index.html

Location Information

County Location(s):

Eco regions in which work will take place:

• Metro / Urban

Activity types:

• Other : Contract Management

Priority resources addressed by activity:

Narrative

Abstract

Provide contract management and customer service to OHF pass-through appropriation recipients for approximately 160 open grants. Ensure funds are expended in compliance with appropriation law, state statute, grants policies, and approved accomplishment plans.

Design and Scope of Work

This appropriation will be used to continue and enhance contract management services to pass-through recipients of Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations to the Commissioner of Natural Resources. The goal of contract management is to ensure that grantees are properly reimbursed and that organizations operate in compliance with OHF pass-through appropriation procedures, policies from the Department of Administration's Grants Management, OHF statute, and the recommendations of the Legislative Auditor. Contract management includes: grant agreements and amendments, training, technical assistance, reporting, fiscal monitoring, reimbursement request processing, and close-out of grants.

The DNR is currently the administrative agent for this program. The DNR's Office of Management and Budget (OMBS) Grants Unit is applying to continue to provide contract management services to pass-through grant recipients. The OMBS Grants Unit's goal is to provide pass-through recipients with the contract management, technical assistance, and grant monitoring they need to successfully complete their conservation work. The Grants Unit provides grantees with one consistent point of contact for their agreements and delivers timely, responsive, customer service.

Contract management services are billed using a professional services rate. In FY21, 3.53 FTE will be dedicated to contract management. The professional services hourly rate includes salary and fringe for grants management staff, supervisory time, travel costs, supplies, and allocated administrative costs including rent and printing as well as other related costs necessary to carry out the pass-through grant management program. Multiple staff with a variety of grants, financial or other responsibilities provide contract management services to OHF as well as the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF). The Grants Unit consults with Lands and Minerals and Fish and Wildlife staff as necessary on technical issues. Cost coding is used to record and differentiate time spent on ENRTF and OHF pass-through grant management. Services not received or provided will not be billed. The rate for FY20 is \$66.00/hr and is re-calculated annually. If the rate changes, LSOHC staff will be informed immediately.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species? N/A

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey: N/A

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Does this program include leveraged funding?

No

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This request is for work related to Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations. It would not be implemented but for the appropriation. No outside funding has been used for this purpose.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

N/A

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program? No

Timeline

| Activity Name | Estimated Completion Date |
|--|---------------------------|
| Pass-through grant agreements prepared and provided to | July 2021 |
| recipients | |
| Contract management for Pass-through grant recipients | June 2023 |
| Submit first biannual status report | January 2022 |
| submit second biannual status report | August 2022 |
| submit third biannual status report | January 2023 |
| submit final report | August 2023 |

Date of Final Report Submission: 08/31/2023

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

| Item | Funding Request | Antic. Leverage | Leverage Source | Total |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Personnel | - | - | - | - |
| Contracts | - | - | - | - |
| Fee Acquisition w/ | - | - | - | - |
| PILT | | | | |
| Fee Acquisition w/o | - | - | - | - |
| PILT | | | | |
| Easement Acquisition | - | - | - | - |
| Easement | - | - | - | - |
| Stewardship | | | | |
| Travel | - | - | - | - |
| Professional Services | \$210,000 | - | - | \$210,000 |
| Direct Support | - | - | - | - |
| Services | | | | |
| DNR Land Acquisition | - | - | - | - |
| Costs | | | | |
| Capital Equipment | - | - | - | - |
| Other | - | - | - | - |
| Equipment/Tools | | | | |
| Supplies/Materials | - | - | - | - |
| DNR IDP | - | - | - | - |
| Grand Total | \$210,000 | - | - | \$210,000 |

Amount of Request: \$210,000

Amount of Leverage: -

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%

DSS + Personnel: -

As a % of the total request: 0.0%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

N/A

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds: $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program? No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

| Туре | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat | Total Acres |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

| Туре | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat | Total Funding |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - |

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total Acres |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-------------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest | Total Funding |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|------------------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

| Туре | Wetland | Prairie | Forest | Habitat |
|--|---------|---------|--------|---------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - |

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N. Forest |
|---|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Restore | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State | - | - | - | - | - |

| PILT Liability | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - | - | - |

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

• Other ~ Pass-through grants are managed appropriately and grantee expenditures are reimbursed efficiently and correctly.

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria? No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Comparison Report

Program Title: ML 2021 - Contract Management 2021 **Organization:** MN DNR **Manager:** Katherine Sherman-Hoehn

Budget

Requested Amount: \$210,000 Appropriated Amount: \$210,000 Percentage: 100.0%

| | Total Requested | | Total App | ropriated | Percentage | Percentage of Request | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Item | Requested | Leverage | Appropriated | Leverage | Percent of Request | Percent of Leverage | |
| Personnel | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Contracts | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fee Acquisition w/ PILT | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Fee Acquisition w/o PILT | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Easement Acquisition | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Easement Stewardship | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Travel | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Professional Services | \$210,000 | - | \$210,000 | - | 100.0% | - | |
| Direct Support Services | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| DNR Land Acquisition Costs | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Capital Equipment | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Other Equipment/Tools | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Supplies/Materials | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| DNR IDP | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| Grand Total | \$210,000 | - | \$210,000 | - | 100.0% | - | |

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

N/A

Output

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

| Туре | Total Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | 0 | - | - |
| Enhance | 0 | - | - |

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

| Туре | Total Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Restore | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - |

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

| Туре | Total Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | 0 | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | 0 | - | - |
| Enhance | 0 | - | - |

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

| Туре | Total Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------------------------|
| Restore | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | - | - | - |
| Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability | - | - | - |
| Protect in Easement | - | - | - |
| Enhance | - | - | - |

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Outdoor Heritage Fund Contract Management Measures

The OMBS Grants Unit tracks several basic metrics to judge trends in contract management work and set strategic and staffing goals for the year.

Open Grants Per Year

The number of open Outdoor Heritage Fund grants per year has continued to increase over the last five years. Grants last an average of 4.1 years.

Payments to Grantees

Currently, grant specialists process over 390 payments a year. The average number of grant payments per grantee in 2019 was 2.5. Land acquisitions per year remains steady, despite the increased number of total payments.



