

# **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

#### Laws of Minnesota 2021 Accomplishment Plan

#### **General Information**

Date: 12/14/2020

Project Title: Contract Management 2021

Funds Recommended: \$210,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2021, Ch. XX, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd.

**Appropriation Language:** 

#### **Manager Information**

Manager's Name: Katherine Sherman-Hoehn Title: OMBS Grants Manager Organization: MN DNR Address: 500 Lafayette Road City: Saint Paul, MN 55155 Email: katherine.sherman-hoehn@state.mn.us Office Number: 6512595533 Mobile Number: Fax Number: Website: https://www.dnr.state.mn.us/grants/passthrough/index.html

#### **Location Information**

#### **County Location(s)**:

#### Eco regions in which work will take place:

• Metro / Urban

#### Activity types:

• Other : Contract Management

#### Priority resources addressed by activity:

# Narrative

#### Abstract

Provide contract management and customer service to OHF pass-through appropriation recipients for approximately 160 open grants. Ensure funds are expended in compliance with appropriation law, state statute, grants policies, and approved accomplishment plans.

#### **Design and Scope of Work**

This appropriation will be used to continue and enhance contract management services to pass-through recipients of Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations to the Commissioner of Natural Resources. The goal of contract management is to ensure that grantees are properly reimbursed and that organizations operate in compliance with OHF pass-through appropriation procedures, policies from the Department of Administration's Grants Management, OHF statute, and the recommendations of the Legislative Auditor. Contract management includes: grant agreements and amendments, training, technical assistance, reporting, fiscal monitoring, reimbursement request processing, and close-out of grants.

The DNR is currently the administrative agent for this program. The DNR's Office of Management and Budget (OMBS) Grants Unit is applying to continue to provide contract management services to pass-through grant recipients. The OMBS Grants Unit's goal is to provide pass-through recipients with the contract management, technical assistance, and grant monitoring they need to successfully complete their conservation work. The Grants Unit provides grantees with one consistent point of contact for their agreements and delivers timely, responsive, customer service.

Contract management services are billed using a professional services rate. In FY21, 3.53 FTE will be dedicated to contract management. The professional services hourly rate includes salary and fringe for grants management staff, supervisory time, travel costs, supplies, and allocated administrative costs including rent and printing as well as other related costs necessary to carry out the pass-through grant management program. Multiple staff with a variety of grants, financial or other responsibilities provide contract management services to OHF as well as the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF). The Grants Unit consults with Lands and Minerals and Fish and Wildlife staff as necessary on technical issues. Cost coding is used to record and differentiate time spent on ENRTF and OHF pass-through grant management. Services not received or provided will not be billed. The rate for FY20 is \$66.00/hr and is re-calculated annually. If the rate changes, LSOHC staff will be informed immediately.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species? N/A

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey: N/A

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Does this program include leveraged funding?

No

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This request is for work related to Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations. It would not be implemented but for the appropriation. No outside funding has been used for this purpose.

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

N/A

# **Activity Details**

#### Requirements

**If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?** Yes

#### Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program? No

# Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Pass-through grant agreements prepared and provided to	July 2021
recipients	
Contract management for Pass-through grant recipients	June 2023
Submit first biannual status report	January 2022
submit second biannual status report	August 2022
submit third biannual status report	January 2023
submit final report	August 2023

Date of Final Report Submission: 08/31/2023

# **Budget**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

#### Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	-	-	-	-
Contracts	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Fee Acquisition w/o	-	-	-	-
PILT				
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	-	-	-	-
Professional Services	\$210,000	-	-	\$210,000
Direct Support	-	-	-	-
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$210,000	-	-	\$210,000

Amount of Request: \$210,000

Amount of Leverage: -

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%

DSS + Personnel: -

As a % of the total request: 0.0%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

N/A

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:  $\ensuremath{\mathrm{N/A}}$ 

#### **Federal Funds**

**Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?** No

# **Output Tables**

# Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

## **Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)**

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

# Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

#### **Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)**

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

# Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Туре	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

# Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State	-	-	-	-	-

PILT Liability					
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

# **Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles**

#### Outcomes

#### Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

• Other ~ Pass-through grants are managed appropriately and grantee expenditures are reimbursed efficiently and correctly.

# **Parcels**

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

#### **Parcel Information**

**Sign-up Criteria?** No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:



# **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

#### **Comparison Report**

**Program Title:** ML 2021 - Contract Management 2021 **Organization:** MN DNR **Manager:** Katherine Sherman-Hoehn

#### **Budget**

Requested Amount: \$210,000 Appropriated Amount: \$210,000 Percentage: 100.0%

	Total Requested		Total App	ropriated	Percentage	Percentage of Request	
Item	Requested	Leverage	Appropriated	Leverage	Percent of Request	Percent of Leverage	
Personnel	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Contracts	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Travel	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Professional Services	\$210,000	-	\$210,000	-	100.0%	-	
Direct Support Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Supplies/Materials	-	-	-	-	-	-	
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Grand Total	\$210,000	-	\$210,000	-	100.0%	-	

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

N/A

# Output

# Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Туре	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Easement	0	-	-
Enhance	0	-	-

# Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Туре	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-

# Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Туре	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	-	-
Protect in Easement	0	-	-
Enhance	0	-	-

# Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Туре	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-

# DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

# Outdoor Heritage Fund Contract Management Measures

The OMBS Grants Unit tracks several basic metrics to judge trends in contract management work and set strategic and staffing goals for the year.

# **Open Grants Per Year**

The number of open Outdoor Heritage Fund grants per year has continued to increase over the last five years. Grants last an average of 4.1 years.

# **Payments to Grantees**

Currently, grant specialists process over 390 payments a year. The average number of grant payments per grantee in 2019 was 2.5. Land acquisitions per year remains steady, despite the increased number of total payments.



