

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Bob Anderson		Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*											
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	9	10	8	10	7	7	9	4	6	5	75	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	9	10	6	10	7	7	9	5	6	5	74	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	9	10	8	10	6	7	9	5	10	5	79	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	8	6	6	7	4	4	9	5	10	5	64	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	9	13	8	8	7	6	7	5	10	5	78	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	9	12	8	10	8	8	7	4	10	4	80	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	9	10	8	8	6	7	9	5	4	5	71	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	9	12	8	9	8	7	9	5	6	5	78	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	7	6	6	7	4	3	6	3	8	4	54	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	10	12	9	12	8	8	9	5	4	5	82	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	10	14	9	13	9	9	9	5	8	5	91	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	9	11	8	9	7	7	7	4	8	4	74	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	10	10	8	10	8	7	7	4	3	4	71	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	10	15	8	12	9	9	9	5	10	5	92	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	10	11	8	7	7	6	8	3	8	4	72	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	10	12	10	12	9	8	8	5	4	5	83	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	10	13	9	12	9	9	8	5	6	5	86	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	10	12	9	10	7	6	7	4	4	5	74	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	9	9	7	9	6	6	6	3	3	4	62	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	9	9	7	9	8	7	8	4	7	5	73	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	10	12	9	12	9	7	8	4	9	5	85	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	8	9	7	8	7	6	6	4	8	5	68	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Bob Anderson													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes & Wetlands Enhancement - Phase XII	9	11	8	10	7	6	9	4	4	5	73	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	9	12	8	12	8	6	9	5	4	5	78	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	10	12	8	12	8	6	10	5	10	5	86	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	10	12	8	13	10	8	10	5	10	5	91	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	10	14	9	14	10	8	10	5	10	5	95	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	9	11	8	11	9	8	9	5	8	5	83	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	10	13	8	12	9	8	9	5	4	5	83	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	10	14	10	14	10	10	9	5	8	5	95	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	10	13	9	13	10	9	10	5	10	5	94	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	10	14	10	14	9	8	8	5	10	5	93	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	9	11	8	11	9	9	8	5	6	5	81	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	10	15	9	14	9	9	9	5	6	5	91	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	9	9	5	6	5	94	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	8	9	5	6	5	93	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	10	15	10	15	10	9	10	5	9	5	98	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	8	5	98	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	10	12	8	14	7	8	8	5	7	5	84	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	9	14	8	11	7	8	10	5	10	4	86	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	10	15	10	15	9	9	10	5	10	5	98	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
O1	Contract Management 2020	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

[illegible]

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Jamie Becker-Finn													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII											78	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore											87	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X											100	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout											71	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI											96	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota											85	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I											100	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV											96	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II											94	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII											83	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III											97	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII											74	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V											86	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX											68	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization											95	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration											73	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II											88	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration											85	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment											65	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat											100	
O1	Contract Management 2020												
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020												

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	4	4	80	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	8	13	8	15	9	9	9	4	7	4	86	DSS high
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	8	13	7	14	9	9	9	5	7	4	85	DSS high
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	8	14	8	15	9	9	9	5	7	3	87	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	8	13	7	13	9	9	8	3	7	3	80	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	7	13	7	14	9	9	7	4	0	2	72	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	8	13	8	14	9	9	9	5	0	4	79	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	8	13	8	14	9	9	9	4	7	3	84	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	8	13	8	14	9	9	9	4	7	4	85	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	8	13	8	14	8	9	8	4	7	4	83	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	8	13	9	14	8	9	7	4	7	3	82	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	8	13	8	13	8	8	7	3	7	4	79	DSS high
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	8	13	8	13	8	8	7	3	7	4	79	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	8	11	8	13	6	8	7	4	1	3	69	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	8	13	9	14	9	9	8	4	7	3	84	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	8	12.0	9.0	13.0	8.0	8	9	4	0	5	76	DSS high
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	7	12.0	8.0	13	8.0	8	8	4	0	3	71	DSS high
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	7	13.0	9	13	8.0	9	9	3	0	5	76	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	7	13	8	13	8	8	7	3	7	4	78	all the partners a +
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	8	13	9	14	8	8	8	4	9	3	84	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	13	8	14	9	9	8	4	7	3	83	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	8	13	8	13	8	8	7	4	7	4	80	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Kristin Eggerling													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	8	13	8	13	8	8	7	3	0	4	72	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	7	12	8	12	7	7	7	3	7	4	74	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	8	13	8	13	8	7	7	4	7	3	78	DSS high
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	7	12	8	12	7	7	7	3	7	3	73	DSS high
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	7	12	8	11	7	6	6	3	7	4	71	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	8	13	8	13	8	7	7	3	7	3	77	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	9	13	9	14	9	8	8	4	0	3	77	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	7	14	8	14	8	8	8	4	2	3	76	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	8	13	8	13	8	8	8	4	7	3	80	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	8	12	8	11	7	7	7	3	7	3	73	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	9	13	8	12	7	7	8	4	7	4	79	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	9	14	9	12	8	7	8	4	0	3	74	past projects leverage
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	9	14	8	12	8	7	8	3	6	3	78	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	9	12	8	13	8	7	6	3	7	3	76	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	9	13	9	11	8	7	7	3	7	4	78	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	9	13	8	13	8	8	8	3	5	3	78	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	9	14	9	12	8	8	8	4	6	4	82	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	8	12	8	13	6	8	8	3	7	3	76	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	7	7	6	9	7	6	6	3	6	4	61	conservation?
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	14	9	14	7	8	8	3	7	5	84	
O1	Contract Management 2020											0	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											0	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Rep. Dan Fabian													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	9	13	8	13	9	7	7	2	0	4	72	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	9	13	8	12	8	8	8	2	4	4	76	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	9	13	8	12	8	8	8	2	6	4	78	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	9	13	7	12	9	8	8	2	3	4	75	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	10	14	9	14	9	8	8	4	5	4	85	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	9	13	10	14	8	8	7	4	0	4	77	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	9	14	9	13	9	8	8	3	0	4	77	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	9	14	8	12	8	7	7	2	1	4	72	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	8	13	8	13	8	7	7	2	1	4	71	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	8	13	8	12	8	7	7	2	1	4	70	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	9	13	8	14	9	9	8	3	4	4	81	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	9	14	9	13	9	10	9	5	3	4	85	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	9	14	9	14	10	9	8	5	3	4	85	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	9	13	8	14	9	8	8	4	0	4	77	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	8	12	9	13	9	8	8	2	2	4	75	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	9	14.0	10.0	15.0	9.0	10	10	5	0	4	86	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	9	14.0	9.0	14	9.0	9	9	5	1	4	83	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	9	14.0	9	14	9.0	9	9	5	0	4	82	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	9	14	9	14	10	8	9	5	7	4	89	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	9	14	8	14	9	7	7	2	4	4	78	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	9	13	8	14	9	9	8	2	1	4	77	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	9	14	8	13	9	8	8	4	5	4	82	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Rep. Dan Fabian													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	9	14	8	14	8	8	8	4	0	4	77	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	9	12	8	12	7	7	7	3	7	4	76	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	9	14	8	12	8	7	7	3	5	4	77	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	8	12	9	13	8	7	7	3	2	4	73	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	9	14	10	14	8	7	8	3	4	4	81	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	9	13	9	12	8	7	7	3	2	4	74	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	9	13	10	14	9	8	8	3	0	4	78	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	10	14	7	15	9	8	9	5	2	4	83	
HA8	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	9	13	8	13	8	8	9	3	7	4	82	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	10	14	9	14	9	9	9	3	7	4	88	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	8	12	8	15	9	8	9	4	1	4	78	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	9	13	8	13	8	8	8	3	0	4	74	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	10	13	9	14	10	8	7	4	3	4	82	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	10	14	9	14	9	9	9	3	3	4	84	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	10	14	9	15	10	9	8	4	7	4	90	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	10	14	9	15	10	9	9	4	0	4	84	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	10	13	9	15	9	8	9	4	8	4	89	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	9	13	10	14	7	9	10	3	6	4	85	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	10	13	9	13	10	9	10	5	8	4	91	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	15	10	14	9	9	10	5	2	4	88	
O1	Contract Management 2020											0	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											0	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: David Hartwell														
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*														
		Criteria												
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments	
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100		
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	10	15	10	15	10	8	7	5	0	3	83	Professional Services ???	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	10	15	10	15	10	8	7	4	3	5	87		
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	10	15	10	15	10	8	6	4	3	3	84	DSS is ourrageous	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	10	15	10	15	10	8	10	4	3	4	89	DSS is ourrageous (not as bad as PA03)	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	10	12	8	12	10	7	8	3	3	3	76		
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	10	12	8	12	10	7	7	3	0	3	72		
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	10	12	10	12	10	8	8	3	0	5	78		
PA08	Prairie Chicken Hab. Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	10	15	10	12	10	8	7	3	1	3	79		
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	10	12	8	12	10	8	8	2	1	4	75	Staffing seems high	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	10	12	8	12	10	8	7	3	1	4	75	Contracts??? DSS is high	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	10	15	10	12	10	8	10	4	2	3	84	high staffing	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	10	7	7	15	10	5	3	3	0	4	64	high DSS	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	8	7	5	12	10	5	3	3	1	4	58		
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	10	12	10	15	10	10	8	4	1	5	85	no leverage???	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	10	15	8	15	10	8	8	4	2	4	84		
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	10	12	7	12	10	5	7	5	0	5	73	Recreational vehicle trails is concerning	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	8	10	7	10	10	5	5	2	0	3	60	DSS is high	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	10	15	10	12	10	10	10	5	0	5	87		
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	8	10	8	12	10	8	5	3	2	2	68	Personnel seems very high	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	10	15	8	12	10	8	10	3	4	4	84		

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: David Hartwell													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	10	10	8	12	10	8	7	3	1	3	72	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	10	10	8	12	10	8	7	4	3	4	76	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	10	10	8	15	10	10	7	3	0	4	77	Roving crew funding schedules ???
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	10	12	8	15	10	8	10	3	4	4	84	easement price seems high
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	10	12	8	12	10	8	8	3	3	4	78	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	8	12	10	12	10	10	8	3	2	3	78	
HA04	Fisheries Hab. Prot. on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	8	15	8	12	10	10	8	4	2	4	81	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	10	12	8	12	10	8	8	3	2	3	76	
HA06	Targeted RIM Ease. Pro. to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Wtsheds	10	12	10	12	10	8	7	3	0	3	75	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	10	12	10	12	10	8	8	4	1	3	78	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	10	12	10	10	10	8	8	4	4	3	79	
HRE01	Minnesota MN TU Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	10	12	8	10	10	7	8	3	2	3	73	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	8	12	8	10	10	8	10	3	1	4	74	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	8	15	8	12	10	8	10	4	0	3	78	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	8	10	5	10	8	7	7	3	1	3	62	beaver trapping is supplanting
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	7	10	7	10	7	7	8	3	1	3	63	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: David Hartwell		Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*												
		Criteria												
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments	
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100		
HRE06	Rum River Wildlife and Fish Hab. Enhancement	8	12	8	12	8	8	10	3	4	4	77		
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	8	10	8	10	8	8	10	3	0	3	68		
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	10	12	8	12	10	8	10	3	2	4	79		
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	7	10	7	10	7	7	10	3	4	3	68	Staffing seems like it could be supplanting	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	5	5	5	7	5	5	10	2	4	3	51	Why the rise in lake level? Enginnering not habitat	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12	10	15	10	15	5	10	8	3	2	5	83		
O1	Contract Management 2020											0		
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											0		

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	6	11	10	7	10	7	6	1	7	2	67	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	8	10	10	8	10	10	10	1	8	3	78	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	6	7	10	8	8	5	4	1	7	2	58	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	6	7	7	7	10	5	4	1	5	2	54	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	5	8	6	10	10	5	4	3	8	2	61	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	10	12	10	8	9	10	10	2	10	5	86	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	9	10	10	9	10	10	10	4	10	5	87	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	10	10	8	8	10	10	10	3	9	5	83	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	9	10	10	8	10	10	10	2	10	5	84	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	10	9	10	8	10	10	10	3	10	5	85	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	10	12	10	8	10	10	10	4	10	5	89	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	6	10	6	7	8	5	10	1	6	5	64	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	8	10	10	8	8	5	6	1	7	3	66	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	10	13	10	11	10	10	10	2	10	5	91	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	8	10	10	8	8	6	7	1	8	3	69	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	10	13.0	9.0	8.0	10.0	10	10	4	9	5	88	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	5	8.0	6.0	11	9.0	5	3	4	8	2	61	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	10	9.0	9	9	10.0	10	10	4	10	5	86	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	8	10	8	11	10	9	9	5	9	4	83	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	9	13	9	13	10	10	9	4	10	5	92	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	10	12	10	12	10	10	9	5	10	5	93	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	8	10	10	8	8	10	6	2	9	3	74	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Sen. Andrew Lang													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	7	11	7	10	9	6	6	3	9	5	73	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	7	12	8	11	10	7	6	4	9	5	79	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	8	11	8	10	11	8	9	2	10	4	81	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	6	11	7	11	9	9	9	3	10	2	77	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	7	10	9	12	10	9	8	5	9	4	83	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	9	10	10	14	10	10	6	5	10	5	89	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	8	10	10	13	9	9	8	5	10	5	87	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	7	12	10	12	8	7	7	4	9	5	81	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	6	11	7	9	10	7	7	5	10	5	77	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	10	13	10	12	10	10	6	5	10	5	91	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	6	10	8	10	9	6	6	4	9	4	72	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	6	11	8	11	8	8	7	5	10	5	79	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	6	9	7	9	6	6	4	2	8	3	60	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	12	8	11	8	7	10	5	10	5	84	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	10	10	9	13	9	9	10	5	9	5	89	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	9	13	10	11	10	9	10	5	10	5	92	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	10	12	10	13	9	7	10	5	10	5	91	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	10	12	10	11	8	8	10	5	10	5	89	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	10	13	10	14	9	7	10	5	10	5	93	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	0	5	90	
O1	Contract Management 2020											100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											100	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Denny McNamara		Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*											
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	5	5	87	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	7	5	89	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	9	12	10	14	9	9	9	3	8	3	86	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	9	12	10	13	9	9	9	3	7	3	84	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	8	13	9	13	9	8	9	4	7	4	84	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	8	13	9	13	9	8	9	4	7	4	84	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	9	14	10	14	9	9	8	5	7	4	89	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	7	10	9	9	8	8	8	3	6	3	71	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	4	7	3	78	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	8	5	90	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	8	11	8	13	9	7	7	4	8	3	78	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	6	5	96	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	10	13	10	13	10	10	10	4	7	4	91	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	9	12	7	12	10	10	9	3	10	3	85	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	7	11	7	10	7	8	9	4	7	3	73	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	8	10	8	13	7	7	8	3	7	3	74	Who gets mineral rights?
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	9	13.0	9.0	13	9.0	9	9	4	8	5	88	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	10	14.0	9	13	9.0	9	8	5	8	5	90	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	8	12	8	12	8	9	7	3	8	4	79	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	9	14	10	14	10	9	9	4	9	5	93	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	9	14	10	14	10	9	9	4	8	5	92	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	10	14	10	14	10	8	8	4	9	4	91	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Denny McNamara													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	10	14	9	15	9	10	9	5	9	5	95	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	9	12	7	13	8	8	9	3	9	3	81	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	8	11	8	12	10	7	10	3	8	3	80	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	9	14	10	13	8	9	8	5	9	5	90	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	8	10	9	11	10	6	9	5	8	3	79	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	9	13	10	13	10	10	8	5	10	5	93	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	9	13	8	14	10	9	9	5	9	4	90	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	8	12	7	14	8	8	8	3	8	3	79	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	8	11	7	11	8	8	7	3	7	3	73	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	9	14	10	13	8	9	8	5	9	5	90	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	9	15	10	14	9	9	10	5	9	5	95	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	8	11	10	13	9	10	10	5	10	3	89	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	5	12	8	12	9	5	8	3	7	3	72	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	9	11	9	12	7	8	10	5	7	4	82	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	8	12	9	13	7	7	8	4	8	4	80	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	9	14	9	13	9	10	9	4	8	5	90	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	8	13	8	14	10	8	9	3	8	3	84	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	7	12	6	10	8	7	8	2	8	3	71	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	8	5	7	10	6	8	7	2	5	3	61	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	14	9	14	9	9	8	5	10	5	93	
O1	Contract Management 2020											0	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											0	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Ron Schara													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	0	5	72	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	8	12	8	12	8	8	6	3	3	5	73	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	5	5	77	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	3	5	75	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	3	5	75	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	6	13	8	12	8	8	6	5	0	5	71	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	6	10	8	12	8	6	8	3	0	5	66	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	6	10	8	12	8	6	6	3	0	5	64	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	7	10	6	12	8	6	6	3	0	5	63	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	8	10	8	12	8	6	8	3	2	5	70	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	10	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	5	5	79	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	8	12	8	12	8	6	6	3	0	5	68	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	8	12	8	12	8	6	6	3	0	5	68	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	5	10	6	12	8	6	6	3	0	5	61	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	8	12	8	12	8	6	8	3	3	5	73	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	6	10.0	6.0	10.0	6.0	6	8	3	0	5	60	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	8	10.0	8.0	10	8.0	8	6	3	0	5	66	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	8	12.0	8	12	8.0	8	6	3	6	5	76	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	8	12	8	12	8	8	6	3	6	5	76	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	8	5	80	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	12	8	12	8	6	8	3	0	5	70	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	8	12	8	12	8	8	6	3	5	5	75	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Ron Schara													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	8	12	8	12	8	8	6	3	3	5	73	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	6	10	8	12	8	8	6	3	5	5	71	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	8	12	8	10	6	6	8	3	8	5	74	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	6	10	8	10	6	5	8	3	5	5	66	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	8	12	8	10	8	6	8	3	5	5	73	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	6	10	8	12	8	6	8	3	3	5	69	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	8	10	8	10	8	6	8	3	0	5	66	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	8	12	8	10	6	6	8	3	0	5	66	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	8	12	8	8	8	6	8	3	7	5	73	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	8	10	8	10	8	8	8	3	5	5	73	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	6	10	8	8	8	8	8	3	0	5	64	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	6	12	8	8	6	6	8	3	0	5	62	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	5	5	77	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	12	8	10	8	8	8	3	5	5	75	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	8	5	80	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	6	10	8	10	8	8	8	3	0	5	66	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	8	12	8	10	8	6	8	3	5	5	73	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	8	10	6	8	6	6	8	3	7	5	67	Is this a park???
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	8	12	6	10	8	8	8	3	7	5	75	Why did the lake flood?
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	12	10	10	10	10	8	3	0	5	78	
O1	Contract Management 2020											0	ok
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											0	ok

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Jamie Swenson		Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*											
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	Comments
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	9	14	10	12	9	7	8	3	0	4	76	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	10	14	9	12	9	6	8	5	8	4	85	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	6	14	9	12	10	7	10	3	8	4	83	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	7	13	8	12	10	7	8	3	6	3	77	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	8	13	8	13	9	9	8	4	8	4	84	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	9	13	8	13	8	6	9	4	2	5	77	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	9	12	8	13	9	8	8	4	0	4	75	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	8	14	7	15	8	6	8	5	5	5	81	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	8	13	8	13	9	8	9	4	8	4	84	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	9	14	9	13	8	8	8	3	4	4	80	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	9	13	10	13	9	8	10	4	8	4	88	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	8	11	7	12	9	6	7	3	0	4	67	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	9	13	7	13	9	6	9	4	5	4	79	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	9	13	8	11	8	7	8	4	8	4	80	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	8	13	8	13	7	8	9	3	5	1	75	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	7	12.0	8.0	13.0	7.0	8	8	5	0	3	71	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	5	10.0	7.0	10	8.0	5	4	3	5	3	60	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	8	11.0	7	12	8.0	6	7	3	0	3	65	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	9	13	8	11	8	7	8	3	10	4	81	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	8	15	10	14	9	8	9	4	10	5	92	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	9	15	10	14	9	7	8	4	7	5	88	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	7	13	8	13	9	8	8	4	5	4	79	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Jamie Swenson		Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*											
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	7	13	9	14	8	7	7	5	0	5	75	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	7	12	7	11	8	5	7	3	8	3	71	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	9	12	8	12	8	7	8	2	9	4	79	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	9	12	6	12	7	7	8	2	7	3	73	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	9	12	10	10	8	7	10	3	6	5	80	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	9	5	5	10	8	9	9	3	5	4	67	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	9	12	10	14	8	9	8	4	3	3	80	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	7	13	8	10	8	7	8	4	5	4	74	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	7	12	8	9	10	7	9	2	8	5	77	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	9	14	9	12	10	9	10	4	7	4	88	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	6	13	5	12	7	6	6	3	6	3	67	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	9	13	9	13	9	5	8	4	0	4	74	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	5	11	9	11	8	7	5	3	7	4	70	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	10	9	11	9	8	8	3	8	4	78	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	9	12	8	10	9	8	7	2	5	4	74	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	9	12	8	12	9	9	6	2	0	3	70	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration Phase II	8	10	7	8	9	9	9	3	5	2	70	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	6	8	8	8	5	5	9	2	8	2	61	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	7	7	5	10	8	5	6	4	8	5	65	What is the root cause and for how long has the lake been 20 ft over OHWL? Are there any other SM bass fisheries in SW MN? Have the SM numbers improved or declined since 2016?
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	13	9	14	8	8	9	4	6	4	84	
O1	Contract Management 2020	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Sen. David Tomassoni													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA01	MN DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase XII	5	10	5	10	5	8	8	2	0	5	58	
PA02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII	5	8	5	8	5	7	7	2	1	5	53	
PA03	MN Prairie Recovery Program - Phase X	5	7	6	7	5	6	5	2	1	5	49	
PA04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase XI	5	6	5	8	6	5	6	2	1	5	49	
PA05	Lower Wild Rice River Corridor Habitat Restoration - Request III	5	7	6	7	5	6	5	2	1	5	49	
PA06	Martin County MN DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase IV	5	7	5	8	6	7	6	1	0	5	50	
PA07	RIM Grassland Reserve - Phase II	5	7	5	8	7	6	4	2	0	5	49	
PA08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase VI	5	6	6	7	5	7	5	3	1	5	50	
PA09	Heron Lake Area Conservation Partnership	5	6	7	8	7	6	4	2	1	5	51	
PA10	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase IX	5	7	8	7	6	6	5	3	1	5	53	
PA11	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase II	5	5	7	8	5	7	6	3	2	5	53	
PRE01	MN DNR Grassland - Phase XII	5	9	7	8	6	7	5	2	0	5	54	
PRE02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase IV	5	8	6	8	5	6	5	3	1	5	52	
FA01	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VIII	7	8	7	8	6	6	5	2	0	5	54	
FA02	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase IIX	7	6	6	7	7	6	4	3	1	5	52	
FA03	Northern Forest Habitat Conservation	7	12	8	11	8	8	8	4	0	5	71	
FRE01	MN DNR Forest Habitat Enhancement	7	11	8	12	8	8	8	4	1	5	72	
FRE02	Southeast Forest Habitat Enhancement - Phase II	7	10	7	11	7	7	7	4	0	5	65	
FRE03	Young Forest Conservation - Phase III	7	9	6	8	5	6	6	2	1	5	55	
WA01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XII	7	8	6	8	6	6	6	3	4	5	59	
WA02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program - Phase IX	8	8	7	6	7	7	6	3	1	5	58	
WA03	Wetland Habitat and Protection Program - Phase V	5	9	6	7	7	7	6	3	1	5	56	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2020/FY 2021

Name: Sen. David Tomassoni													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/ opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
WRE01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase XII	5	8	7	8	7	7	7	3	0	5	57	
HA01	Protecting Coldwater Fisheries on Minnesota's North Shore	7	8	6	9	7	8	7	2	5	5	64	
HA02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase X	5	9	7	9	8	7	7	3	2	5	62	
HA03	Resilient Habitat for Heritage Brook Trout	6	10	8	10	8	8	8	3	1	5	67	
HA04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase VI	6	8	7	8	7	7	7	3	1	5	59	
HA05	Accelerating Habitat Conservation in Southwest Minnesota	6	7	6	8	6	6	6	2	1	5	53	
HA06	Targeted RIM Easement Program to the Individual Parcel: Pine and Leech Watersheds Phase I	6	6	7	6	7	7	7	2	0	5	53	
HA07	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase IV	5	10	6	10	6	6	6	3	1	5	58	
HA08	Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase II	5	8	6	10	7	5	5	3	5	5	59	
HRE01	Minnesota MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement - Phase XII	6	9	7	10	7	6	6	3	1	5	60	
HRE02	MN DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement - Phase III	5	10	7	10	5	7	6	3	0	5	58	
HRE03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase VII	7	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	0	5	71	
HRE04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase V	7	10	7	10	7	7	7	3	0	5	63	
HRE05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase IX	6	10	8	10	7	8	7	3	0	5	64	
HRE06	Phase 1: Rum River Wildlife and Fish Habitat Enhancement using Bioengineered Bank Stabilization	7	8	6	8	6	6	6	3	2	4	56	
HRE07	Roseau River Habitat Restoration	8	12	8	12	8	8	8	8	4	0	76	
HRE08	Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration - Phase II	7	10	7	10	7	7	7	3	1	5	64	
HRE09	Southeast Wetland Restoration	8	10	7	10	8	8	7	3	5	5	71	
HRE10	East Twin Lake Outlet Establishment	7	12	8	12	8	8	8	4	3	4	74	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program Phase 12: Statewide and Metro Habitat	6	10	6	10	6	6	6	3	3	5	61	
O1	Contract Management 2020											100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations - ML 2020											100	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.