



Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XI
Laws of Minnesota 2019 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 04/25/2022

Project Title: Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase XI

Funds Recommended: \$5,631,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2019, 1st Sp. Session, Ch. 2, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd, 4(a)

Appropriation Language: \$5,631,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with Pheasants Forever, in cooperation with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, to acquire lands in fee and to restore and enhance wetlands and grasslands to be designated and managed as waterfowl production areas in Minnesota. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Eran Sandquist

Title: State Coordinator - MN

Organization: Pheasants Forever, Inc.

Address: 410 Lincoln Ave S Box 91

City: South Haven, MN 55382

Email: esandquist@pheasantsforever.org

Office Number: 320-236-7755

Mobile Number: 763-242-1273

Fax Number:

Website: www.pheasantsforever.org

Location Information

County Location(s): Mahnomen, Meeker, Sibley, Douglas, Kandiyohi, Grant, Clay, Swift, Stevens, Pope, Jackson, Becker, Murray, Wright, Lac qui Parle, Lyon, Big Stone, Rice, Carver, Faribault, Cottonwood, Blue Earth, Renville, McLeod, Lincoln, Freeborn, Wilkin and Brown.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie
- Metro / Urban

Activity types:

- Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Wetlands
- Prairie

Narrative

Abstract

This proposal accelerates the strategic permanent protection of 1,003 acres (241 wetlands and 762 grasslands) of Waterfowl Production Areas (WPAs) open to public hunting in Minnesota. Pheasants Forever (PF) will strategically acquire parcels that are adjacent to existing public land or create corridors between complexes. All acquisitions will occur in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, or metro regions.

Design and Scope of Work

The loss of grassland and wetland habitats in Minnesota is well documented. In the agricultural region of Minnesota over 90% of our wetlands and 99% our prairie grasslands have been converted for other uses. This proposal aims to slow or reverse this downward trend by strategically acquiring and restoring previously converted wetland and grassland habitats to be permanently protected as WPAs. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) and their partners have been employing this strategy for over 50-years through the Small Wetlands Acquisition Program (SWAP). This eleventh phase of the WPA acceleration program builds upon past work of the USFWS SWAP as well as the previous ten phases of this effort by strategically acquiring 1,003 acres (241 acres of wetlands and 762 acres of grassland habitat) for the benefit of upland species and recreational opportunities of the public.

Strategic properties will be identified by using landscape level planning tools [e.g. Thunderstorm Maps produced by the USFWS's Habitat and Population Evaluation Team (HAPET)]. Based on HAPET evaluation strategies, modeling predictions can be made on the numbers of nesting waterfowl, grassland nesting birds, and other wildlife the acres impacted by this grant application can produce. In addition to wildlife benefits, the lands acquired and restored through this grant will provide improved water quality, groundwater recharge, and flood abatement benefits. These strategies are well tested and are supported by the greater conservation community in Minnesota. Hunting and fishing stakeholders are very interested in increasing opportunities for hunting and fishing public access and have used WPA's extensively in the past. To address concerns related to the erosion of county tax revenues due to public land, the USFWS and PF will notify counties prior to the acquisition of lands. Once acquired, the USFWS will make a one-time payment (called a Trust Fund payment) to the county where the property is located. In addition, the USFWS will make annual refuge revenue sharing payments for all fee lands within the respective counties.

Additional funding for restoration and development of the properties will be solicited from partners. If this funding is available, budgeted Outdoor Heritage restoration funds under this proposal would be able to accomplish additional fee title w/o PILT acquisition funds. All wetlands, on the properties acquired, will be restored by either surface ditch "plugs", breaking sub-surface tile lines, or other best practices for wetland restoration. Grasslands will be restored by planting site-appropriate native grasses and forbs following known best practices for the establishment. Grassland restoration on individual tracts may take three to five years, involving one to two years of post-acquisition farming to prepare the site for seeding (e.g. weed management issues, chemical carryover, other site-specific issues). Other restoration activities could include invasive tree removal, building site-cleanup, prescribed fire, etc. as necessary to provide high-quality habitat and public access to the citizens of Minnesota.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

This strategic acquisition and restoration of lands adjacent to existing prairie wetland complexes increases the overall size of the habitat complex, reducing edge and tremendously benefiting those species that rely on larger blocks of habitat including: marbled godwit, bobolink, and grasshopper sparrow. Where available, protection of remnant native prairie is prioritized highly. Once fully restored, wetlands and high diversity grassland complexes provide habitat for a myriad of species including waterfowl, black terns, bobolinks, meadowlarks, ring-necked pheasants, pollinators and monarchs. Other species of concern benefiting from this project include the greater prairie chicken, short-eared owl, marsh hawk, and yellow rail.

This project focuses on the protection and restoration of prairie wetland and grassland habitats in western and southern Minnesota. These geographic areas of Minnesota are part of the prairie pothole region (PPR) of North America, known for its waterfowl production potential and importance to grassland nesting birds. Recent studies have documented the conversion of grasslands to cropland, which has caused grassland nesting birds to continue their severe long-term declines as compared to other groups of birds. The protection and restoration of wetland and grassland habitats proposed here assist in reversing the decline of grassland nesting birds and stabilizing waterfowl production in Minnesota.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

This proposal utilizes the best science and modeling available to build and/or expand corridors and complexes. The HAPET provides landscape level planning and decision support tools (e.g. Thunderstorm maps) used to identify the most important wetland and grassland habitats remaining in the PPR of Minnesota. To scale this large programmatic grant to local landscape level priorities, PF works in close collaboration with the local area managers of USFWS, MNDNR, and other Minnesota partners to build on existing grassland and wetland conservation efforts. This proposal will continue to leverage spatial data and the power of GIS to identify acquisitions based on landscape level priority areas. Preference is given to project sites that help deliver the goals of other recognized conservation initiatives and plans. Data layers (i.e. MN Biological Survey, Natural Heritage Database, MN Prairie Plan, Wellhead Protection Areas, HAPET Scores, Pheasant Action Plan, existing protected land, etc.) will be used to help justify projects and focus areas as well as to inform decisions when allocating scarce dollars for habitat protection. If species of concern are located on or adjacent to project tracts as identified in the MBS layer, we take an extra consideration when developing projects and this ultimately may change the way we look at and prioritize project tracts. In addition, if there are rare or sensitive species on sites, we will be able to identify those, communicate with the appropriate long-term land managers, and ensure we're having a positive impact on these species.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

- Protect, enhance, and restore migratory habitat for waterfowl and related species, so as to increase migratory and breeding success

Metro / Urban

- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

Prairie

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

Explain the leverage:

WPAs are acquired with funds derived from the sale of Federal Duck Stamps and managed for wildlife and conservation benefits as part of the National Wildlife Refuge System. Land acquisition and restoration have not kept pace with habitat restoration needs or the backlog of willing sellers. The USFWS's Midwest Region receives on average \$5 million from duck stamp proceeds to purchase fee-title lands or easements in Minnesota. If funded, this proposal will accelerate the protection and restoration of Minnesota's valuable wetland and grassland habitats and provide additional public hunting and fishing areas.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This proposal supplements past investments and is aimed at accelerating the protection and restoration of strategic parcels.

Non-OHF Appropriations

Year	Source	Amount
Annual	PF	100,000

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

All grant monies received for this project will result in fee title transfers of additional land into the National Wildlife Refuge System. The long-term protection and management of these habitats will be the responsibility of the USFWS, an agency that employs professional managers, biologists, field staff, realty staff, and enforcement officers. The USFWS has an annual operating budget designated specifically for the management of refuge resources. Wetlands and their contributing watersheds will be protected and prairie habitats monitored and managed. The USFWS has an active, professional prescribed burning program and utilizes fire to reduce woody invasion of prairies, enhance diversity, and rejuvenate uplands. Biological, mechanical, and sometimes chemical treatments are used as needed in an integrated management approach to provide high quality migration and breeding habitats. Acquisitions are targeted within areas that have existing USFWS ownership to reduce management and administrative costs. In addition, great care is given to the creation and acquisition of possible new Waterfowl Production Areas outside existing ownership areas.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Transfer	Federal	Monitoring	Maintenance	Habitat Management

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056?

Yes

Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?**

No

Describe any measures to inform local governments of land acquisition under their jurisdiction:

At minimum we will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the USFWS and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

No

Describe the permanent protection and justification for additional protection:

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances, and is still deemed a high priority by our agency partners, we will follow guidance established by the Outdoor Heritage Fund to proceed, or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program?

Yes

Explain what will be planted:

This proposal may include initial development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In these restorations, PF's policy is to use non-neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

All acquired lands will be open to the public taking of fish and game during the open season according to the National Wildlife Refuge System Improvement Act, United States Code, title 16, section 668dd, et seq.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

- Federal

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- WPA

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

We anticipate closing on five to seven tracts through this appropriation.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Yes. We may also seek additional leverage (i.e. PF chapters, federal, etc.) to supplement the restoration budget contained within this proposal.

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Identify priority acquisitions	07/01/2019
Contract appraisals ordered	09/01/2019
Purchase agreements	02/01/2020
Re-evaluate tract priority	02/14/2020
Contract appraisals ordered	04/01/2020
Purchase agreements	09/01/2020
Close on tracts	01/01/2022
Restoration completed	06/30/2024

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2024

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$57,600	-	-	\$57,600
Contracts	\$562,000	-	-	\$562,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	-	-	-	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$4,744,000	\$1,650,000	PF, Federal, Private	\$6,394,000
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement Stewardship	-	-	-	-
Travel	\$3,200	-	-	\$3,200
Professional Services	\$160,000	\$400	Private	\$160,400
Direct Support Services	\$12,000	-	-	\$12,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$12,000	-	-	\$12,000
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	-	-	-	-
Supplies/Materials	\$80,200	-	-	\$80,200
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$5,631,000	\$1,650,400	-	\$7,281,400

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years Working	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Grants Staff	0.06	3.0	\$20,300	-	-	\$20,300
PF State Coordinator	0.03	3.0	\$10,000	-	-	\$10,000
Field Staff	0.06	3.0	\$27,300	-	-	\$27,300

Amount of Request: \$5,631,000

Amount of Leverage: \$1,650,400

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 29.31%

DSS + Personnel: \$69,600

As a % of the total request: 1.24%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

We have reduced accomplishments/costs proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation. As a result of the reduction, we will be able to protect fewer acres. As in past appropriations, we will focus on the most strategic, highest priority tracts.

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

Leverage is expected from multiple sources including but not limited to federal sources, land value donations,

contractor donations and PF. Not every source is 100% confirmed at this point. However, PF has an exemplary track record of delivery and over-achievement of match commitments that further stretch OHF funding.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

We anticipate that all of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected acres. This could include but is not limited to wetland/grassland restoration, tree removal, prescribed fire, building removal, posts, signs, and other development activities.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

No

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging

n/a

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

PF utilizes the Total Modified Direct Cost method. This methodology is annually approved by the U.S. Department of Interior's National Business Center as the basis for the organization's Indirect Cost Rate agreement. PF's allowable direct support services cost is 4.12%. In this proposal, PF has discounted its rate to 1.5% of the sum of personnel, contracts, professional services, and travel. We are donating the difference-in-kind.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

Yes

Are the funds confirmed?

Yes

Is Confirmation Document attached?

[Yes](#)

- Cash : \$1,284,800
- In Kind : \$321,200

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	241	762	0	0	1,003
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	241	762	0	0	1,003

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$1,353,000	\$4,278,000	-	-	\$5,631,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$1,353,000	\$4,278,000	-	-	\$5,631,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	40	160	0	803	0	1,003
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	40	160	0	803	0	1,003

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$224,600	\$898,300	-	\$4,508,100	-	\$5,631,000
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$224,600	\$898,300	-	\$4,508,100	-	\$5,631,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$5,614	\$5,614	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-

Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	\$5,615	\$5,614	-	\$5,614	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- Protected habitats will hold wetlands and shallow lakes open to public recreation and hunting ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

Programs in prairie region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for migratory and unique Minnesota species ~ *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for resident and migratory waterfowl. Lands will be transferred to the USFWS as a WPA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by the USFWS. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in each WMD Comprehensive Plan which rolls up to the North American Waterfowl Management Plan.*

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:


Protect Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection
Larson WPA Addition	Becker	13843232	50	\$100,000	No
Warling Lake WPA Addition	Becker	14043236	40	\$125,000	No
Stinking Lake WPA	Becker	14043219	600	\$2,500,000	No
Haverkamp WPA Addition	Becker	14141207	128	\$400,000	No
Shay WPA Addition	Becker	14243223	62	\$300,000	No
Nelson WPA Addition	Big Stone	12144201	160	\$640,000	No
Twin Lakes WPA Addition	Big Stone	12246235	215	\$1,075,000	No
Beck WPA Addition	Big Stone	12347202	29	\$135,000	No
Kufrin WPA Addition	Big Stone	12245221	120	\$600,000	No
Hillman WPA Addition	Big Stone	12145211	151	\$550,000	No
Cobb WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10626214	35	\$200,000	No
Lincoln WPA Addition	Blue Earth	10729230	86	\$605,000	No
Strom Lake WPA	Blue Earth	10829220	105	\$650,000	No
New WPA	Brown	10834208	160	\$992,000	No
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526209	153	\$900,000	No
Tiger Lake WPA Addition	Carver	11526215	115	\$800,000	No
Anfinson WPA Addition	Clay	13944232	154	\$492,000	No
Anfinson WPA Addition	Clay	13844205	230	\$900,000	No
Korell WPA Addition	Clay	14144223	160	\$368,000	Yes
Bjorndahl WPA Addition	Clay	10845224	40	\$110,000	No
Parke WPA Addition	Clay	13844205	120	\$350,000	No
Goldenrod WPA	Clay	13844218	89	\$275,000	Yes
Clear Lake WPA Addition	Cottonwood	10538235	160	\$960,000	No
Chippewa Valley WPA Addition	Douglas	13038218	203	\$850,000	No
Millerville WPA Addition	Douglas	13038206	60	\$250,000	No
Dahlgren WPA Addn	Douglas	12740234	80	\$250,000	No
Minnesota Lake WPA Addition	Faribault	10426212	40	\$300,000	No
Minnesota Lake WPA Addition	Faribault	10425212	40	\$280,000	No
Prescott WPA Addition	Faribault	10324235	160	\$1,184,000	No
Kiester WPA Addition	Faribault	10327217	160	\$1,040,000	No
ICE WPA Addition	Freeborn	10222206	80	\$600,000	No
Nachbor WPA Addition	Grant	12744226	240	\$1,130,000	No
Nachbor WPA Addition	Grant	12744222	139	\$343,250	No
Spaulding WPA Addition	Grant	13041232	70	\$350,000	No
Rasche WPA	Jackson	10437205	162	\$1,250,000	No
Fish Lake WPA Addition	Jackson	10435205	20	\$100,000	No
Degroot WPA	Kandiyohi	11836227	40	\$160,000	No

Freese WPA	Kandiyohi	12236211	80	\$320,000	No
Bjur WPA Addn	Kandiyohi	11833210	20	\$93,779	No
Carlson Lake WPA	Kandiyohi	12034209	80	\$320,000	No
Kandiyohi County WPA	Kandiyohi	12136203	105	\$492,300	No
Freese WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12236211	40	\$125,000	No
Sweep WPA	Kandiyohi	12034220	50	\$200,000	No
Maverick Slough WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	12035205	120	\$200,000	No
Beyer WPA Addition - 1	Lac qui Parle	12046233	280	\$1,120,000	No
Big Stone WPA	Lac qui Parle	12046203	152	\$990,000	No
Beyer WPA Addition - 2	Lac qui Parle	12046234	230	\$1,150,000	No
Gisalsen WPA Addition	Lincoln	11144203	164	\$800,000	No
Hansonville WPA	Lincoln	11346201	80	\$360,000	No
Stofer WPA Addition	Lyon	11240214	160	\$960,000	No
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941221	45	\$160,000	No
Haggmen WPA Addn	Mahnomen	14341206	239	\$750,000	No
Penn WPA Addition	McLeod	11429227	80	\$450,000	No
Barber Lake WPA Addition	McLeod	11630227	120	\$900,000	No
Litchfield WPA Addition	Meeker	11931235	145	\$600,000	No
Pfiefer School WPA Addn	Meeker	11930204	40	\$155,000	No
Tyrone Flats WPA Addition	Meeker	12131214	116	\$595,000	No
Pfiefer School WPA Addn	Meeker	11930204	62	\$324,000	No
Harvey WPA	Meeker	12031231	80	\$280,000	No
Giese WPA Addition	Murray	10539225	40	\$200,000	No
Wall WPA Addition	Pope	12437218	147	\$588,000	No
Krantz Lake WPA Addition	Pope	12536211	98	\$300,000	No
Heidebrink WPA Addition	Pope	12337218	135	\$540,000	No
Klyve WPA Addition 2	Pope	12338228	15	\$60,000	No
Boon Lake WPA	Renville	11631218	150	\$900,000	No
Sacred Heart WPA Addition	Renville	11537215	18	\$95,000	-
Sacred Heart WPA Addition	Renville	11537215	18	\$95,000	No
TBD WPA	Renville	11631201	74	\$480,000	No
Erin Prairie WPA Addition	Rice	11122215	77	\$500,000	No
Erin Prairie WPA Addition 2	Rice	11122216	85	\$506,000	No
Washington Lake WPA	Sibley	11426215	109	\$370,000	No
Washington Lake WPA	Sibley	11426214	150	\$510,000	No
Washington Lake WPA	Sibley	11426215	41	\$137,000	No
Pepperton WPA Addition	Stevens	12543227	239	\$1,600,000	No
Johnson WPA Addition	Stevens	12643206	232	\$928,000	No
Gilberson WPA	Stevens	12443206	160	\$936,500	Yes
Giese WPA	Stevens	12643223	45	\$100,000	No
Lubenow WPA Addition	Swift	12243234	110	\$440,000	No
Byre WPA Addition	Swift	12243222	116	\$696,000	No
Svor WPA Addition	Swift	12238217	160	\$960,000	No
Welsh WPA Addition	Swift	12238235	116	\$464,000	No
Brenner Lake WPA Addition	Swift	12237201	77	\$275,000	No
Brown WPA Addition	Wilkin	13445222	30	\$95,000	No
Brown WPA Addition	Wilkin	13445223	33	\$100,000	No
Victor WPA Addition	Wright	11827207	195	\$975,000	Yes
Pelican Lake Addition	Wright	12125235	60	\$360,000	No

Protect Parcels with Buildings

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection	Buildings	Value of Buildings
Lake Lillian WPA Addition	Kandiyohi	11734201	50	\$275,000	No	4	\$45,000
Bendix WPA Addition	Lyon	10941220	17	\$85,000	No	3	\$0
Klyve WPA	Pope	12338228	286	\$1,200,000	Yes	4	\$50,000
Augustinack WPA Addition	Pope	12639217	317	\$1,130,000	No	1	\$50,000



- Protect in Easement
- ▲ Protect in Fee with PILT
- Protect in Fee W/O PILT
- ★ Restore
- ✕ Enhance
- ⊕ Other

Parcel Map
Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area
Program - Phase XI
(Data Generated From Parcel List)

