

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

Laws of Minnesota 2019 Accomplishment Plan

General Information

Date: 06/30/2021

Project Title: DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition, Phase XI

Funds Recommended: \$2,519,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2019, 1st Sp. Session, Ch. 2, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd, 2(a)

Appropriation Language: \$2,519,000 the first year is to the commissioner of natural resources to acquire in fee and restore and enhance lands for wildlife management under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 8, and to acquire lands in fee for scientific and natural areas under Minnesota Statutes, section 86A.05, subdivision 5. Subject to evaluation criteria in Minnesota Rules, part 6136.0900, priority must be given to acquiring lands that are eligible for the native prairie bank under Minnesota Statutes, section 84.96, or lands adjacent to protected native prairie. A list of proposed land acquisitions must be provided as part of the required accomplishment plan.

Manager Information

Manager's Name: Jay Johnson

Title:

Organization: MN Dept. of Natural Resources

Address: 500 Lafayette Road **City:** St. Paul, MN 55155

Email: jay.johnson@state.mn.us **Office Number:** 651-259-5248

Mobile Number: Fax Number: Website:

Location Information

County Location(s): Murray, Brown, Wilkin, Redwood, Lac qui Parle, Yellow Medicine, Becker, Watonwan, Big Stone, Chippewa, Polk, Pipestone, Kandiyohi, Clay, Stearns, Cottonwood, Lincoln, Kittson and Norman.

Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Prairie

Activity types:

Protect in Fee

Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Prairie
- Habitat

Narrative

Abstract

Acquire approximately 400 acres of high priority habitat for designation as Wildlife Management Area (Prairie Planning Section) or Scientific and Natural Area (Prairie, and Forest/Prairie Planning Sections) emphasizing Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan implementation and coordination with partners. All lands will be open for public hunting and fishing (a limited number of SNA's are proposed for limited hunting for instance archery only or hunting but no trapping).

Design and Scope of Work

Approximately 400 acres of wildlife habitat will be protected through fee title acquisition and development as Wildlife Management Areas or Scientific & Natural Areas. While no match is indicated in this proposal, Outdoor Heritage appropriations to DNR for WMA and SNA acquisitions have been matched by donations, Reinvest in Minnesota Critical Habitat Match, and Surcharge (a \$6.50 surcharge on small game license sales to be used in part for land acquisition) at approximately 20%.

Wildlife Management Areas. WMAs protect lands and waters which have a high potential for wildlife production and develop and manage these lands and waters for public hunting, fishing and trapping, and for other compatible outdoor recreational uses such as wildlife watching and hiking. While highly successful, the current WMA system does not meet all present and future needs for wildlife habitat, wildlife population management, hunter access, and wildlife related recreation. This is notably true in the Prairie Ecological planning section where public ownership in many counties is 2 percent or less. DNR Section of Wildlife uses a GIS-based tool to identify the highest priority tracts for potential WMA acquisitions. This quantitative approach scores and ranks acquisition proposals based on a set of weighted criteria and creates a standardized method for evaluating proposed acquisitions on a statewide level.

Criteria and weights are periodically reviewed and adapted to changing conditions and priorities. This ensures that funds are used to acquire available lands consistent with the statutory purpose of WMAs. The WMA acquisition program is guided by the 2002 Citizens' Committee report developed with a diverse group of eleven major stakeholder groups. Potential acquisition opportunities from willing sellers are coordinated with stakeholders and partners to eliminate duplication and identify concerns and support. Coordinating with partners has been successful to ensure we are working cooperatively and on priority parcels.

Scientific & Natural Areas. The SNA Program will increase public hunting and fishing opportunities while protecting sites with outstanding natural values. Protection is targeted at high priority areas identified in the SNA Strategic Land Protection Plan with emphasis on prairie core areas identified in the Minnesota Prairie

Conservation Plan. A quantitative system scores and ranks acquisition proposals based on a weighted set of six criteria. Priority is given to sites of high and outstanding biodiversity significance by the Minnesota Biological Survey, high quality native plant communities and habitat for endangered and threatened species. Larger parcels which adjoin other conservation lands, improve habitat management, are under imminent threat and are partially donated are also rated highly.

Properties acquired through this appropriation require County Board of Commissioners' written approval in the county of acquisition, will be designated as WMA or SNA through a Commissioner's Designation Order, brought up to minimum DNR standards, and listed on the DNR website. Basic site improvements will include boundary and LSOHC acknowledgement signs and may include any necessary site cleanup and restoration of agricultural fields and minimal parking area development.

How does the plan address habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and list targeted species?

Potential acquisitions for WMAs and SNAs are objectively scored for their wildlife habitat value. The DNR uses weighted criteria and prioritizes high scoring parcels for acquisition. For example, candidates for WMAs score higher with a prairie grouse lek, in a pheasant habitat complex, presence of shallow lakes, and occurrence of deer wintering areas; candidates for WMAs and SNAs score higher which contain threatened, endangered, and other rare species and species of greatest conservation need and which are high quality native plant communities which support wildlife. As a focus on native prairie protection, parcels with native prairie are prioritized.

Native plant communities with exceptional value as wildlife habitat to be protected through this proposal include mesic prairie, dry hill prairie, northern wet prairie, aspen-parkland complex, bur-oak aspen forest, mesic brush prairie, wet seepage prairie, Southern dry-mesic oak hickory woodland, Southern dry prairie, calcareous Fen.

The following species of greatest conservation need and rare species have documented occurrences on or near parcels targeted in WMA and SNA acquisition through this appropriation: mammals – white-tailed jackrabbit, prairie vole, harvest mouse, northern grasshopper mouse, and western harvest mouse; birds – greater prairie chicken, chestnut-collared longspur (endangered), upland sandpiper, American bittern, marbled godwit, Nelson's sparrow, Henslow's sparrow, black-throated blue warbler, red-shouldered hawk, upland sandpiper, Loggerhead shrike; reptiles/amphibians: wood turtle (threatened) and mudpuppy; Topeka shiner; invertebrates – regal fritillary, Dakota skipper, Iowa Skipper, Ottoe Skipper, Pawnee Skipper, Poweshiek skipper, leadplant flowermoth, phlox moth, ; and plants – small white lady's-slipper and Western prairie fringed orchid.

Describe how the plan uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey:

The DNR uses GIS-based scoring systems to objectively rank potential acquisitions and develop statewide priority lists. These systems incorporate scientific data giving priority to locations within: 1) an important habitat corridor or complex (such as identified by the Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan, Pheasant Action Plan, SNA Strategic Land Protection Plan, and the new Minnesota Wildlife Action Plan), 2) native plant communities and sites of outstanding and high biodiversity significance mapped by Minnesota Biological Survey (MBS), and 3) parcels that

adjoin existing units or other conservation lands. In addition, scoring takes into account habitat containing endangered, threatened, and other rare species, watershed/wetland qualities as well as habitat management considerations and suitability for public access, hunting and fishing.

Which two sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are most applicable to this project?

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H3 Improve connectivity and access to recreation

Which two other plans are addressed in this program?

- Minnesota DNR Scientific and Natural Area's Long Range Plan
- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program?

Forest / Prairie Transition

• Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

Prairie

• Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna

Does this program include leveraged funding?

Yes

Explain the leverage:

Historically, Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations to DNR for WMA and SNA acquisitions have been matched by donations, Reinvest in Minnesota Critical Habitat Match, and Surcharge (a \$6.50 surcharge on small game license sales to be used in part for land acquisition) at approximately 20%. While not being listed in this proposal, we anticipate this trend will continue and OHF dollars will be matched by 20% of other funds. Some of the landowners that sell to the State do so out of a conservation ethic and are willing to donate value. In prioritizing parcels that have similar habitat value, a landowner willing to donate value will be the priority.

Our practice is to inform all landowners of the appraised value of their respective property. It is up to them if they want to donate a portion of the value.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Please explain whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose.

This request is an acceleration of the DNR WMA and SNA acquisition program work to a level not attainable but for the appropriation.

Non-OHF Appropriations

Year	Source	Amount
2008	WMA Bonding	5,000,000

2010	WMA Bonding	500,000
2011	SNA ENRTF	679,600
2011	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	824,259
	Match	
2011	WMA Surcharge	1,830,000
2012	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	864,750
	Match	
2012	SNA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	720,000
	Match	
2013	WMA Surcharge	1,500,000
2014	WMA Bonding Reinvest in MN Critical	2,000,000
	Habitat Match	
2014	SNA ENRTF	1,289,800
2008	SNA Bonding	2,700,000
2014	WMA Surcharge	1,860,000
2015	SNA ENRTF	2,348,300
2015	WMA ENRTF	400,000
2015	WMA Surcharge	1,615,000
2016	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	2,548,300
	Match	
2016	WMA Surcharge	1,561,913
2017	SNA ENRTF	10,400
2016	SNA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	400,000
	Match	
2017	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	591,400
	Match	
2017	WMA Surcharge	750,000
2008	WMA ENRTF	1,000,000
2008	SNA ENRTF	948,100
2008	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	1,684,262
	Match	
2009	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	3,072,138
	Match	
2009	SNA ENRTF	1,026,000
2010	SNA ENRTF	471,400
2010	WMA Reinvest in MN Critical Habitat	2,308,358
	Match	

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended?

According to WMA/AMA Directive on development standards, WMAs are developed to at least minimum standards within two years of acquisition for facility and habitat development that will provide basic asset preservation, public access and safety, environmental and cultural resource protection and soil and water resource conservation. Often restoration efforts can extend 2-3 years beyond the "minimum standard" time table to establish high quality native plant community restorations. All new WMA acquisitions require a WMA Initial Development Plan (IDP) be completed by the Area Wildlife Supervisor responsible for land management and approved by the Region. SNAs have similar standards with site specific work being directed by each site's Adaptive Management Plan. As part of the state outdoor recreation system, ongoing maintenance will be accomplished through routine management activities accomplished by our network of DNR offices. Periodic enhancements will be accomplished by staff, CCM crews, temporary project staffing, through vendor contract or by volunteers if appropriate.

Long-term management costs (e.g., invasive species treatments, prescribed fire, and monitoring/evaluation) will be covered by a combination funding sources, including, but not limited to the Game and Fish Fund, ENRTF, Outdoor Heritage Fund, federal grants, and small game surcharge, as appropriate.

Actions to Maintain Project Outcomes

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2021	Outdoor Heritage, ML	Boundary Survey,	Other Initial Site	-
	2019	parking lot	Development	
		development,		
		boundary sign and		
		other sign posting		
2023	Outdoor Heritage, ML	Habitat development,	-	-
	2019	native vegetation		
		established, wetlands		
		restored (as needed)		
2024 and beyond	Game and Fish Fund,	Ongoing management	-	-
	Surcharge, other	to DNR standards for		
		WMA and SNA units		

Activity Details

Requirements

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056? Yes

Will county board or other local government approval <u>be formally sought**</u> prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j)?

Yes

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection?

Describe the permanent protection and justification for additional protection:

Some lands proposed for acquisition may contain a portion of protected land. In these cases, we will appraise protected acres separately and seek to have that value donated or pay for them using non-OHF funds.

Land Use

Will there be planting of any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program? Yes

Explain what will be planted:

The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife.

Lands proposed to be acquired as WMAs may include initial development plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated?

True

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing?

No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion?

Yes

Describe any variation from the State of Minnesota regulations:

All WMA lands to be acquired will be open for hunting and fishing with no variations from State of Minnesota regulations.

All SNAs acquired with this funding would be open to the most appropriate types of hunting for the particular parcels. Priority will be given to

acquiring lands to be open to all hunting, trapping and fishing.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

State of MN

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

- WMA
- SNA

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

Three to six.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the proposed acquisitions?

No

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition?

No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation?

Yes

Lands acquired will be brought up to minimum DNR standards for a WMA/SNA.

Timeline

Activity Name	Estimated Completion Date
Acquire in fee 325 acres for designation as Wildlife	6/30/2022
Management Areas	
Acquire in fee 75 acres for designation as Scientific and	6/30/2022
Natural Areas	
Prepare acquired lands to minimum standards including	6/30/2024
signage, parking areas, and native vegetation planting if	
necessary	

Date of Final Report Submission: 11/01/2022

Budget

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan.

Totals

Item	Funding Request	Antic. Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$270,000	-	-	\$270,000
Contracts	\$50,000	-	-	\$50,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$1,870,000	-	-	\$1,870,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	-	-	-	-
Easement Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Easement	-	-	-	-
Stewardship				
Travel	\$26,600	-	-	\$26,600
Professional Services	\$140,000	-	-	\$140,000
Direct Support	\$32,400	-	-	\$32,400
Services				
DNR Land Acquisition	-	-	-	-
Costs				
Capital Equipment	-	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-
Equipment/Tools				
Supplies/Materials	\$130,000	-	-	\$130,000
DNR IDP	-	-	-	-
Grand Total	\$2,519,000	-	-	\$2,519,000

Personnel

Position	Annual FTE	Years	Funding	Antic.	Leverage	Total
		Working	Request	Leverage	Source	
IDP	0.8	3.0	\$195,000	-	-	\$195,000
Coordinator						
Acquisition	0.25	3.0	\$60,000	-	-	\$60,000
Coordinator						
SNA	0.03	3.0	\$7,500	-	-	\$7,500
Acquisition						
Coordinator						
Regional SNA	0.035	3.0	\$7,500	-	-	\$7,500
Specialist						

Amount of Request: \$2,519,000

Amount of Leverage: -

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.0%

DSS + Personnel: \$302,400

As a % of the total request: 12.0%

Easement Stewardship: -

As a % of the Easement Acquisition: -

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

We will acquire fewer acres than originally proposed, and will focus on the highest priority parcels.

Contracts

What is included in the contracts line?

Expenditures for contracted services related to the initial development and restoration of parcels acquired with this appropriation. For instance it could include (but not be limited to) activities/expenditures for; restoring existing bare ground to native vegetation, wetland restoration, access development, site clean-up, etc.

Travel

Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental?

No

Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging Approximately 90% is fleet charges for equipment such as tractors, mowers, etc needed for initial site development of acquired parcels.

I understand and agree that lodging, meals, and mileage must comply with the current MMB Commissioner Plan:

No

Direct Support Services

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program?

The MN DNR uses a standardized DSS calculator that has been developed by our Office of Management and Budget Services.

Federal Funds

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program?

No

Output Tables

Acres by Resource Type (Table 1)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	325	0	75	400
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	325	0	75	400

How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie? (Table 1b)

Туре	Native Prairie (acres)
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	40
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	40

Total Requested Funding by Resource Type (Table 2)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat	Total Funding
Restore	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	\$2,027,000	ı	\$492,000	\$2,519,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Protect in Easement	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Enhance	-	ı	ı	ı	-
Total	-	\$2,027,000	-	\$492,000	\$2,519,000

Acres within each Ecological Section (Table 3)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Acres
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	75	0	325	0	400
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	75	0	325	0	400

Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section (Table 4)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest	Total Funding
Restore	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	\$492,000	-	\$2,027,000	-	\$2,519,000
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	\$492,000	-	\$2,027,000	-	\$2,519,000

Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type (Table 5)

Type	Wetland	Prairie	Forest	Habitat
Restore	-	-	-	-

Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	-	\$6,236	-	\$6,560
Protect in Fee w/o State PILT Liability	-	-	-	-
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-

Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section (Table 6)

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N. Forest
Restore	-	-	-	-	-
Protect in Fee with State	-	\$6,560	-	\$6,236	-
PILT Liability					
Protect in Fee w/o State	-	-	-	-	-
PILT Liability					
Protect in Easement	-	-	-	-	-
Enhance	-	-	-	-	-

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

Outcomes

Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species
of greatest conservation need ~ Acres of habitat acquired that support nesting and migratory habitat and
upland birds and Species in Greatest Conservation Need. Species lists (and numbers where available) of those
species observed or documented.

Programs in prairie region:

• Key core parcels are protected for fish, game and other wildlife ~ Acres of prairie acquired. Acres of habitat acquired that support upland gamebirds, migratory waterfowl, big-game, and unique Minnesota species (e.g. endangered, threatened, and special concern species and Species in Greatest Conservation Need). Species lists (and numbers where available) of those species observed or documented.

Parcels

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Parcel Information

Sign-up Criteria?

No

Explain the process used to identify, prioritize, and select the parcels on your list:

Protect Parcels

Name	County	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing
					Protection
Spring Creek WMA Tr4A, 4B	Becker	14241207	292	\$730,000	No
Otrey WMA Tr3, 3A & 12	Big Stone	12245215	283	\$1,000,000	No
Badger Track WMA Tr3 & 4	Brown	11436202	229	\$1,000,000	No
Verona WMA tr13	Brown	10933236	80	\$130,000	No
Vogel WMA tr6	Brown	10835203	159	\$531,600	No
Benderberg WMA Tr20	Chippewa	11942213	160	\$532,000	No
Flowing Prairie WMA Tr9	Clay	14146223	159	\$500,000	No
String Lakes WMA Tr11	Cottonwood	10536228	305	\$1,300,000	-
Regal Flats WMA Tr2	Kandiyohi	12233210	196	\$412,700	No
Lake Bronson SNA Addition	Kittson	16146225	160	\$240,000	No
Gollnick WMA Tr11	Lac qui Parle	11746201	160	\$416,000	Yes
Baxter WMA tr6	Lac qui Parle	11742204	80	\$320,000	No
Hopeful WMA Tr1	Lincoln	10944212	225	\$787,500	No
Hopeful WMA Tr3	Lincoln	10944212	317	\$1,268,000	No
Peters WMA Tr2 & 2a	Murray	10642209	124	\$620,000	No
Chanarambie Creek SNA	Murray	10543202	100	\$700,000	No
Talcot Lake WMA tr31	Murray	10539231	80	\$624,600	No
Dovray WMA tr18F	Murray	10739219	18	\$120,000	No
Faith WMA Tr7	Norman	14443226	160	\$350,000	No
Prairie Coteau SNA Addition	Pipestone	10844228	200	\$1,400,000	No
Chester Hills SNA	Polk	15040234	600	\$900,000	No
Pembina Trail SNA Addition	Polk	14945209	560	\$1,120,000	No
Cedar Rock WMA tr11	Redwood	11336214	130	\$520,000	No
Edward Raymond Mohs WMA Tr5	Stearns	12335209	40	\$127,400	No
Perch Creek WMA tr19	Watonwan	10530231	160	\$650,000	No
Rothsay WMA tr23	Wilkin	13545221	40,000	\$40,000	No
Mound Spring Prairie SNA Addition	Yellow	11546218	160	\$800,000	No
	Medicine				
Lower Antelope Valley WMA Tr 3 & 11	Yellow	11444224	40	\$125,000	No
	Medicine				

