

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Name: **Bob ANDERSON**
 Date: **July 21, 2016**
 Due Date: **Thursday, July 21, 2016 by 4 p.m.** Email to amanda.braze@lsohc.leg.mn

Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest *

ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any DHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	9	13	7	11	8	7	8	4	3	3	73	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	8	11	7	12	7	8	6	4	6	3	72	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	8	10	8	10	7	7	7	4	7	4	72	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	5	6	4	5	4	4	4	3	5	2	42	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	11	9	14	8	8	8	4	7	4	82	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	6	9	4	5	6	5	6	5	3	2	51	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	6	8	4	4	4	5	6	5	10	4	56	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	7	8	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	2	48	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	5	6	4	4	8	7	5	3	2	2	46	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	5	6	4	4	4	5	4	4	2	2	40	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	5	5	5	6	7	6	4	5	3	3	49	
FA 01	Carmelian Creek Conservation Corridor	6	5	5	5	7	5	5	5	10	3	56	
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	9	14	8	14	8	9	8	5	6	4	85	
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	8	13	8	10	8	8	8	4	4	4	75	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	6	10	6	11	6	6	6	3	3	3	60	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	9	14	9	10	8	8	6	4	5	4	77	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	10	14	9	14	8	8	8	4	4	3	82	
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	8	11	8	12	8	7	7	5	3	4	73	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	5	7	5	7	4	5	4	2	4	3	46	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	5	6	4	7	4	5	4	2	5	2	44	
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program Phase IX	8	11	5	10	5	5	5	2	10	3	64	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	6	10	7	10	5	5	6	3	6	3	61	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	7	9	7	10	5	5	6	4	4	3	60	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	9	14	8	12	7	7	9	5	4	4	79	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	8	12	7	11	7	7	8	7	2	3	72	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	9	14	8	11	9	8	8	8	2	4	81	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	10	14	10	14	8	9	9	5	10	4	93	

ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantiles this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 20	25	10	15	10	20	10	5	20	5	Out of 100	
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	7	10	5	10	6	4	6	3	2	3	56	
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	7	10	7	7	7	6	7	2	8	3	64	
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	9	14	9	14	9	7	8	4	7	4	85	
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	7	10	7	10	9	6	7	3	2	3	64	
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	4	5	4	6	4	4	5	3	5	3	43	
HA 06	Goose Prairie	9	14	9	13	9	7	7	4	6	3	81	
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	9	14	9	14	9	7	7	4	7	4	84	
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	8	12	7	11	8	6	6	3	3	3	67	
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	9	14	9	13	10	8	6	4	9	3	85	
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	6	6	5	6	4	4	5	3	2	3	44	
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	9	14	9	14	9	8	8	5	6	4	86	
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	9	14	9	14	9	9	8	5	6	4	87	
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	9	14	9	14	8	8	8	5	10	4	89	
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	9	14	9	14	8	8	8	5	8	5	88	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	
O1	Contract Management 2017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Julie Blackburn													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5		
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	9	14	10	14	9	9	9	4	5	4	87	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	6	14	10	14	9	7	9	4	5	3	81	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	10	14	10	14	9	9	8	4	7	4	89	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	8	14	10	14	9	9	8	4	6	4	86	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	8	13	9	14	9	8	9	4	7	4	85	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	9	14	10	14	9	7	10	4	0	4	81	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	10	14	10	14	9	10	10	5	10	4	96	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	6	13	10	14	9	7	9	4	2	4	78	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	5	10	8	10	9	9	9	3	0	2	65	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	6	14	10	14	9	9	9	4	0	4	79	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	6	9	8	12	9	9	8	4	5	4	74	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor											0	Cannot evaluate without additional information already requested
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	9	10	8	12	9	7	8	4	0	4	71	Who will actually own the land? It only states SLC administrators. How does immediate threat related to habitat quality for ranking
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	9	10	8	14	9	9	10	3	0	4	76	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	8	14	10	10	9	7	9	3	7	4	81	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	10	10.0	8.0	14.0	9.0	9	8	3	10	4	85	Please clarify parcel 4 prioritization regarding proximity to other conservation areas.
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	5	12.0	7.0	10	9.0	7	9	3	3	4	69	
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	7	14.0	9	13	9.0	7	9	3	2	4	77	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	8	12	5	13	9	7	9	3	8	4	78	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	10	14	9	14	8	8	9	5	10	5	92	What will USFWS do with the mineral rights?
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	6	14	10	14	9	7	9	4	10	4	87	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	14	13	10	14	9	9	9	4	3	4	89	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	14	10	14	9	9	9	5	10	4	94	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	10	9	12	9	9	9	3	0	4	75	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	8	13	9	14	9	9	9	3	0	4	78	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	10	13	10	14	9	10	9	3	3	4	85	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	8	10	7	14	7	8	7	2	10	4	77	
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	10	14	9	14	9	9	9	3	0	4	81	
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	5	12	7	10	9	9	7	3	8	4	74	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

David Hartwell													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
													In General, the use of GMO crops for food plots needs discussion.
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	8	12	10	15	8	8	7	5	2	5	80	Parking area development should be excluded FOCUS Prairie conservation plan is mentioned as focus but then the project includes Big Woods forests - what is the focus of the project? The Northern Forest description says the SNA acquisition would protect land that is part of a 4600 acres area - which is more than the entire acres proposed in this proposal SE is not prairie but habitat on rivers and forest FOCUS??? - where is the need greatest? The proposal makes clear that the long term maintenance may require legacy funds. Are we okay with this? Why is this not a duplication of PA 01? - should they be merged? Remnant prairies are mentioned but should have a much higher priority and proposal indicates.
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	8	12	8	12	9	8	7	5	2	5	76	Work will require continual funding from OHF. What happens when the fund expires? Why work on others land as part of this proposal? Do CE holders have obligation to keep land in certain conditions? What is more important - acquisition or enhancement? Why would funds be necessary to do enhancement on Federal lands when they have assumed that obligation in past requests? How many parcels would be protected? How many over each year? Need for .66 staff x 3 years?
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	8	10	7	12	8	7	6	4	2	4	68	How many parcels would be protected? How many over each year? Need for 1.25 staff x 3 years?
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	8	9	10	5	2	4	88	Conversion rate in Cannon River Watershed - Acres or % per year? How many parcels would be protected? How many over each year? Need for .45 staff x 3 years?
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	8	10	7	12	6	8	8	4	2	5	70	What does DNR Operational Order 128 say about frequency of monitoring for CEs? What are the Professional Services? Why can you do this with so little cost of acquisition personnel?
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	8	12	8	15	10	7	5	5	0	4	74	16 acres easement average? Total paid for CREP 15 year easement and Rim Buffer easements as % of FMV or appraised value? Buffer size (width)? Don't mallards nest in uplands and not wetlands? Good to note that there will be no GMO crops in food plots. With major funding for staff from LCCMR, why do you need more staff support?
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	8	12	8	12	9	9	8	5	10	5	86	Why would the distance from public hunting lands be an important criteria? Why would grassland type not be of highest priority - native prairie? If this is a Prairie Chicken project, why would wetlands for waterfowl be a criteria?
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	7	12	8	12	8	7	9	4	0	4	71	Why not be part of PA 1 instead of separate proposal? Federal lands included when they have told us they will take responsibility for long term maintenance? What is the cumulative total of crews/contractors acting year by year with OHF and other funding? If this is for grasslands, why outcomes in the northern forest? No real plan for maintenance after OHF funds are available. Does this overlap with the proposal for work on Prairie Bank easements? Federal match is not on leverage and it is confusing.
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	8	12	7	12	8	8	7	4	0	4	70	Explain cost effective use of local communities (including management costs)? Blaine Wetland - are there known T&E species there?
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	9	15	8	15	10	7	4	3	0	4	75	No new trails or roads unless exclusively for management. Public access for hunting may be a benefit but the primary purpose must be habitat protection for wildlife. Income from the timber sales? This is a one time acquisition. Why would there be a need for 3 years of personnel?
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	8	12	7	10	8	7	5	3	2	4	66	There is no match although it is stated this is important to Camp Ripley. Why should OHF funds be used to help the military? Why are they not participating? Does the SWDC have a restricted fund to hold the stewardship dollars in? Will they restrict the new roads/trails to maintenance activities only?
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	9	12	8	12	8	8	10	5	10	5	87	When is TNC ownership "appropriate"? Why not concentrate on goat prairies - the most threatened habitat for this entire proposal?
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	9	12	7	14	9	7	8	5	0	4	75	Why would public benefit be more important than ecological benefit?
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	5	10	5	10	7	6	8	3	0	3	57	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	8	12	8	10	10	8	8	5	2	5	76	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	9	15	6	10	7	7	8	4	4	5	75	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Jane Kingston

Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.

		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	10	12	10	12	9	5	7	4	0	4	73	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	10	12	10	12	9	5	7	4	1	4	74	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	10	12	10	12	9	7	7	4	3	4	78	How does UTV save \$?
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	10	12	10	12	9	5	7	4	2	3	74	Describe equip/supplies etc.
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	12	10	10	9	5	7	4	3	3	72	High Personnel \$
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	10	12	10	11	9	5	7	5	0	3	72	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	10	12	10	7	9	5	7	4	10	4	78	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	10	12	10	8	9	6	7	4	1	4	71	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	9	12	10	8	9	5	7	4	0	3	67	High \$/acre. Estimated cost = 0 several parcels.
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	9	5	8	10	9	5	7	3	0	3	59	Describe \$764K equip/materials.
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	8	6	9	6	9	3	5	4	3	3	56	Exclude private property & parks.
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	5	9	9	7	7	4	7	4	9	3	64	Trails map required. High Personnel \$. High \$/acre. Lacks sufficient detail on WNC.
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	10	10	8	6	8	4	5	3	0	4	58	Trails map needed.
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	9	5	5	5	7	5	7	2	0	3	48	No match, no access, expensive.
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	10	10	9	9	5	5	7	2	1	3	61	Avian indicator?
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	10	10	8	7	7	4	7	4	4	4	65	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	6	5	5	5	8	5	5	5	1	5	50	Why not scalable? Why 7 years?
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	9	7	7	7	7	5	7	5	1	4	59	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	9	9	7	10	8	5	7	5	3	4	67	Parcels estimated cost = 0?
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	10	10	9	12	6	5	7	4	8	5	76	Trails map needed.
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	10	12	10	12	8	5	7	4	4	4	76	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	10	12	10	12	8	4	7	4	1	3	71	High \$/acre.
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	12	10	12	8	5	7	5	10	3	82	Restoration \$/acre?
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	10	10	9	8	5	7	4	0	3	66	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	9	7	9	5	7	4	6	3	0	3	53	Swan population/acre? Outcome parameters?
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	9	7	9	10	7	5	7	3	1	3	61	High Personnel \$. Outcome parameters?
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	5	0	3	5	2	4	5	3	7	2	36	High Admin, Low On-the-Ground. Proven where? Clean Water Fund appropriate. Temporary, not Permanent. Research. Constitutionality in question.

HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	7	12	10	12	6	4	5	4	0	3	63	Accelerated habitat ASSESSMENT?
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	8	10	9	3	7	6	7	4	3	3	60	Exclude GRG Park work.
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	9	10	9	5	7	5	7	3	1	3	59	
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	9	10	9	10	5	5	6	4	2	4	64	Fee Title to Leech Lake Band. Need Portage Bay map illustrating parcels.
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	9	10	9	12	7	4	5	3	1	4	64	Who is ultimate owner? Building on Kandiyohi Tract 18? Outcome parameters?
HA 06	Goose Prairie	8	7	5	4	4	5	7	2	1	4	47	Page 2 "CD18"?
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	10	9	8	6	6	7	7	3	3	4	63	
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	7	9	8	9	7	7	7	3	1	3	61	
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	8	9	8	5	6	3	6	3	6	4	58	Dredged materials to Interstate Island?
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	6	7	7	5	4	2	2	2	0	3	38	Evaluation criteria and priorities should be done first, & by LSSA volunteers - this is research & survey work. No in kind match as in past.
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	6	6	7	5	7	5	6	2	1	3	48	Is this project ongoing 2 more years?
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	9	7	6	7	2	3	5	2	2	3	46	High Personnel 4. Why not scalable?
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	7	6	5	3	7	2	3	1	10	4	48	Civil Engineering does not equate to Scientific Targeting for Habitat.
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	5	5	4	3	7	4	3	1	1	3	36	Private property? Who is financial agent? Why not scalable? High \$/acre. Can't claim leverage from several years ago.
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	7	8	8	5	3	7	3	1	3	55	
O1	Contract Management 2017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
O2	Restoration Evaluations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Rep. Leon Lillie													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.													
ID#	Program Title	Criteria										Total Score	Comments
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2

HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
HA 06	Goose Prairie	8	13	8	13	8	9	9	4	9	4	85	3
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	9	14	9	14	9	9	9	4	9	4	90	2
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
O1	Contract Management 2017	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1
O2	Restoration Evaluations	9	14	9	14	9	10	10	5	10	5	95	1

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Rep. Denny McNamara

Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.

		Criteria											
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Total Score	Comments
												Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	95	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	95	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	2	5	90	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	95	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	3	10	5	88	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	5	7	10	10	10	10	10	3	5	5	75	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	5	5	10	10	5	5	10	3	2	5	60	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Aquisition - Phase II	10	15	10	15	5	5	10	3	2	5	80	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	5	7	10	8	5	10	10	5	5	5	70	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	5	5	5	5	5	5	10	5	10	5	60	
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	5	5	10	10	10	5	10	3	2	5	65	
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	5	90	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	5	10	5	10	5	8	10	3	5	5	66	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	5	7.0	10.0	8.0	5.0	10	10	3	8	5	71	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	5	8.0	10.0	7	5.0	5	10	3	8	5	66	
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	10	10.0	10	10	10.0	10	10	5	2	5	82	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	5	10	3	5	5	78	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	5	10	10	10	10	5	10	5	10	5	80	
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	95	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	5	5	95	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	13	10	12	10	10	10	3	2	5	85	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	10	13	10	15	10	10	10	5	2	5	90	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	10	13	10	15	10	10	10	5	3	5	91	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Susan Olson													
Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.													
		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	2	0	5	87	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	5	5	91	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	5	96	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	2	7	5	94	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	4	10	4	98	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	0	3	84	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal. Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	8	15	10	12	10	10	10	1	1	5	82	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	10	15	10	15	10	10	8	3	0	3	84	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	0	5	86	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	10	10	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	5	91	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	3	98	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	10	15	10	15	10	8	8	3	0	5	84	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	0	5	88	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	8	5	94	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	10	15.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	10	10	5	10	5	100	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	9	10.0	7.0	12	10.0	10	10	1	4	5	78	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal; project is not scalable
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	10	10.0	10	15	10.0	10	10	1	1	5	82	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	2	10	4	86	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	5	96	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	3	2	86	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	0	5	90	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal. Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	10	0	10	7	10	10	10	1	0	5	63	

WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	2	5	88	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	4	10	5	99	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	0	5	86	
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	3	94	
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	3	5	89	Any funding awarded should be contingent on "no new recreational trails"
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	5	96	
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	10	10	10	15	10	10	10	1	2	3	81	
HA 06	Goose Prairie	10	12	10	15	10	10	10	5	4	5	91	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal - project is shovel ready and can be executed immediately.
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	10	5	96	
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	3	5	91	I recommend full 100% funding of the Mound Creek portion of this proposal, at a minimum.
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	0	5	88	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal - project is shovel ready and can be executed immediately.
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	1	4	4	89	
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	10	5	98	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	4	10	5	99	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	6	5	96	I recommend full 100% funding of this proposal.
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	15	10	12	6	6	10	1	10	0	80	Recommend funding at \$10,000,000
O1	Contract Management 2017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Recommend full 100% funding of this proposal
O2	Restoration Evaluations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Recommend full 100% funding of this proposal

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Sen. Tom Saxhaug

Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.

		Criteria											
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Total Score	Comments
												Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	7	11	10	15	10	5	6	1	2	2	69	4451/acre Leverage: 0%
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	5	7	10	15	10	3	2	1	2	2	57	5534/acre Leverage: 5%
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	7	10	10	15	10	5	5	1	4	3	70	4723/acre Leverage: 11%
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	7	10	10	15	10	5	7	1	2	2	69	3431/acre Leverage: 8%
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	7	10	8	15	10	5	6	1	4	2	68	6421/acre Leverage: 10%
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	7	10	10	15	10	7	7	1	0	2	69	5996/acre Leverage: 0%
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	8	12	10	15	10	7	6	5	10	4	87	1254/acre Leverage: 500%
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	7	9	8	15	10	5	6	1	2	4	67	3255/acre Leverage: 1%
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Aquisition - Phase II	4	10	8	15	10	3	5	1	0	2	58	7467/acre Leverage 0%
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	5	10	10	15	10	5	5	3	4	3	70	NA Leverage 0%
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	7	10	10	15	10	7	5	3	4	3	74	3642/acre Leverage: 11%
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	8	10	8	15	10	7	6	5	8	3	80	7164/acre Leverage: 98%
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	8	12	10	15	10	7	7	5	2	5	81	1108/acre Leverage 0%
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	8	12	10	15	10	7	6	5	4	4	81	2273/acre Leverage: 0%
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	7	10	10	15	10	6	7	5	2	3	75	3666/acre Leverage: 8%
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	9	12.0	10.0	15.0	10.0	8	8	5	5	5	87	711/acre Leverage: 25%
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	5	10.0	10.0	15	10.0	6	8	5	2	4	75	1565/acre Leverage: 4%
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	7	11.0	10	15	10.0	7	8	5	2	3	78	2535/acre Leverage: 2%
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	8	12	10	15	10	7	7	5	4	3	81	5227/acre Leverage: 14%
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	9	12	10	15	8	6	8	5	7	4	84	2050/acre Leverage: 76%
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	7	10	10	15	10	5	6	2	5	3	73	5513/acre Leverage: 27%
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	8	11	10	15	10	7	6	2	2	1	72	10205/acre Leverage: 3%
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	9	12	10	15	10	7	7	5	9	3	87	2500/acre Leverage: 200%
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	8	11	10	15	10	7	7	2	2	4	76	1400/acre Leverage: 0%
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	8	5	10	15	10	7	6	3	0	4	68	363/acre Leverage: 0% Left a section blank
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	8	10	10	15	10	8	7	3	2	2	75	2403/acre Leverage: 2%

WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	9	11	10	15	10	8	7	4	7	4	85	1800/acre Leverage: 70%
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	8	10	10	15	10	8	7	2	0	3	73	3696/acre Leverage: 0%
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	8	10	10	15	10	7	7	2	5	2	76	4124/acre Leverage: 23%
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	8	12	10	15	10	8	8	5	2	3	81	2724/acre Leverage: 3%
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	9	11	10	15	10	8	8	4	4	2	81	5193/acre Leverage: 18%
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	8	10	10	15	10	8	6	2	2	4	75	1923/acre Leverage: 4%
HA 06	Goose Prairie	7	10	10	15	10	8	7	2	2	2	73	6741/acre Leverage: 5%
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	8	10	10	15	10	7	6	4	5	1	76	16219/acre Leverage: 21%
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	8	10	10	15	10	8	7	4	2	1	75	113519/acre Leverage: 3%
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	8	10	10	15	10	8	3	4	7	1	76	36657/acre Leverage: 56%
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	7	12	10	15	10	8	6	3	1	1	73	NA Leverage 0%
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	8	10	10	15	10	7	8	2	2	2	74	7145/acre Leverage: 5%
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	8	10	10	15	8	8	8	2	3	4	76	525/acre Leverage: 12%
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	8	11	10	15	10	8	7	2	8	2	81	4074/acre Leverage: 124%
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	7	10	10	15	10	6	7	2	2	1	70	45143/acre Leverage: 7%
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	Leverage 9%
O1	Contract Management 2017	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Name: Ron Schara
Date:
Due Date: Thursday, July 21, 2016 by 4 p.m. Email to amanda.braze@lsohc.leg.mn

Maximum score per request is 100 points. Enter "COI" in the "Total Score" field if not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest.*

		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5		
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	9	8	9	15	8	5	5	3	0	5	67	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	9	8	9	15	8	5	5	3	5	5	72	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	9	11	9	15	8	5	3	3	7	5	75	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	9	11	9	15	8	5	3	3	6	5	74	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	10	9	15	8	5	3	3	7	5	74	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	9	10	9	15	8	5	4	3	0	5	68	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	9	12	9	15	8	5	4	5	10	5	82	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	7	8	8	12	8	5	5	3	0	5	61	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	9	11	9	15	9	7	5	3	0	5	73	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	7	8	9	15	8	5	3	3	0	5	63	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	5	12	9	12	8	5	3	3	5	5	67	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	9	10	9	12	8	5	3	4	10	5	75	
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	9	8	9	12	8	5	3	3	0	5	62	
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	9	5	5	12	8	5	3	2	0	5	54	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	9	8	8	15	8	5	3	3	5	5	69	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	9	8.0	8.0	12.0	8.0	5	3	3	2	5	63.0	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	9	5.0	5.0	12	8.0	5	5	3	2	5	59.0	
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	9	8.0	8	15	8.0	5	5	3	2	5	68.0	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	9	5	5	10	5	3	3	3	5	5	53	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	9	10	8	10	5	3	3	3	10	5	66	
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	9	12	8	12	8	3	5	3	10	5	75	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	9	12	9	12	8	3	3	3	5	5	69	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	9	8	8	12	8	3	5	3	10	5	71	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	9	5	5	10	5	3	5	3	0	5	50	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	7	5	5	10	5	3	3	3	5	5	51	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	9	10	8	12	5	3	3	3	5	5	63	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	7	5	5	10	5	3	3	3	5	5	51	
		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5		
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	9	10	8	10	8	9	8	3	0	5	70	
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	9	8	8	8	8	8	8	3	8	5	73	
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	9	10	8	10	8	8	8	3	5	5	74	
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	9	10	8	10	10	5	8	3	6	5	74	
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	9	8	8	12	8	5	5	3	3	5	66	
HA 06	Goose Prairie	9	12	10	12	10	7	8	5	5	5	83	
HRE 01	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement and Restoration - IX	9	12	8	10	8	8	8	3	8	5	79	
HRE 02	DNR Stream Habitat - Phase II	9	10	8	10	10	8	8	3	3	5	74	
HRE 03	St. Louis River Restoration Initiative - Phase IV	9	12	10	12	8	8	8	3	10	5	85	
HRE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III	8	8	8	10	8	8	8	3	0	5	66	
HRE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VI	9	12	8	12	8	8	8	3	5	5	78	
HRE 06	Lake Wakanda Enhancement Project	10	12	8	12	8	8	8	5	8	5	84	
HRE 07	Wolverton Creek Habitat Restoration	10	12	8	10	7	8	8	5	10	5	83	
HRE 08	Fairmont Lakes Foundation Dutch Creek Habitat Restoration	10	12	8	10	8	8	8	5	8	5	82	
CPL	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase IX: Statewide and Metro Habitat	8	12	8	10	10	8	8	3	8	5	80	
O1	Contract Management 2017	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	
O2	Restoration Evaluations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	

*Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Barry Tilley

Maximum score per request is **100** points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.

		Criteria											
		1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.	Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	3	10	5	Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	9	15	10	15	10	6	9	3	0	4	81	
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	7	10	4	2	4	87	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	8	6	8	3	6	3	84	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	8	6	6	3	6	4	83	Narative says open to hunting but parcel list says not open to hunting
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	15	10	15	10	6	10	5	8	4	92	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	10	15	10	15	10	6	10	3	0	4	83	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	4	10	4	98	
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III	10	15	10	15	10	6	8	3	2	4	83	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Aquisition - Phase II	10	15	10	15	10	8	8	4	1	4	85	In kin conribution not listed
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	8	8	8	3	0	4	81	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	10	15	10	15	8	8	8	2	6	4	86	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	10	15	10	15	10	6	9	4	10	4	93	High easement cost
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	10	15	10	15	10	9	9	5	0	5	88	Narative says open to hunting but parcel list says not open to hunting
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	10	15	10	10	6	7	9	3	0	3	73	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	10	15	8	8	6	6	9	3	6	4	75	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	5	6	4	10	4	89	Very low easement cost. Narative says open to hunting, but parcel list says not open to hunting.
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	10	15	10	10	8	4	9	3	4	5	78	Will other land be sold? Need to see County Forestry Management Plan for trail usage
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	10	15	10	12	5	7	8	3	2	5	77	Boot brushes? What is TIS?
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	10	15	10	12	6	5	6	3	7	3	77	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	10	15	10	15	10	7	8	5	10	5	95	
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	8	5	98	High land costs
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	0	5	90	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	0	5	90	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	2	5	92	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	100	

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Proposal Evaluation Scoring Sheet - ML 2017/FY 2018

Elizabeth A. Wilkens

Maximum score per request is 100 points. "COI" indicates a member is not evaluating a proposal due to a conflict of interest. Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating each proposal.

		Criteria										Total Score	Comments
ID#	Program Title	1. Proposal abstract provides a clear and succinct overview of the proposal activity, outputs, and outcomes. Proposal is clearly written and adequately addresses: Who, What, Where, When, Why, and How.	2. Proposal addresses priority actions and outcomes of one or more of the ecological sections and is likely to produce and demonstrate significant and permanent conservation legacy and/or habitat outcomes for fish, game and wildlife.	3. Proposal uses science-based targeting that leverages or expands corridors and complexes, reduces fragmentation or protects areas identified in the MN County Biological Survey.	4. Proposal addresses habitats that have significant value for wildlife species of greatest conservation need, and/or threatened or endangered species, and lists targeted species.	5. Proposal identifies indicator species and associated quantities this habitat will typically support.	6. Performance measures are clearly identified, and have a specific plan for measuring and evaluating outcomes.	7. Proposal outcomes will be maintained over time.	8. Degree of timing/opportunistic urgency.	9. Proposal includes leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation.	10. Proposed budget is appropriate to accomplish the outcomes described in the scope of work.		
		Max points: 10	15	10	15	10	10	10	5	10	5	Out of 100	
PA 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase IX	10	12	9	15	9	9	9	4	3	5	85	1
PA 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase IX	10	10	9	10	8	9	9	4	1	5	75	
PA 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VII	5	10	9	12	9	5	9	4	2	5	70	
PA 04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition - Phase VIII	8	10	9	14	9	9	9	5	1	5	79	
PA 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VII	9	10	9	13	9	9	9	4	1	5	78	
PA 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase III	10	10	9	14	9	9	9	4	0	5	79	
PA 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VII	3	10	6	12	8	3	9	4	0	2	57	BWSR needs to define best practice for trails, not LSOHC
PA 08	Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red River Valley - Phase III/Prairie Chicken Habitat	9	10	9	13	9	8	9	4	0	5	76	
PA 09	Martin County/Fox Lake DNR WMA Aquisition - Phase II	10	10	8	12	9	8	9	4	0	5	75	
PRE 01	DNR Grasslands - Phase IX	10	10	8	12	8	5	9	4	0	5	71	
PRE 02	Anoka Sand Plain Habitat Conservation - Phase V	10	12	9	15	10	10	10	4	1	5	86	
FA 01	Carnelian Creek Conservation Corridor	10	12	10	15	10	5	9	5	5	5	86	
FA 02	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project	10	10	8	12	10	3	9	4	0	5	71	
FA 03	Camp Ripley ACUB - Phase VII	9	10	6	13	9	10	9	4	0	5	75	
FA 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase V	10	14	10	13	10	5	9	5	1	5	82	
FA 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future - Phase V	10	15.0	10.0	14.0	10.0		9	5	2	5	86	
FA 06	Protect (Acquire) Key Forest Habitats in Cass County - Phase VIII	10	10.0	8.0	8	2.0	6	9	3	0	5	61	
FA 07	State Forest Acquisition - Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase IV	8	10.0	8	12	8.0	7	9	3	0	5	70	
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Protection Program - Phase IV	10	10	9	12	10	6	9	4	1	5	76	
FA 09	Bushmen Lake	10	15	9	15	10	6	10	5	4	5	89	
WA 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase IX	10	12	10	13	10	8	10	3	2	5	83	
WA 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI	10	13	10	15	10	10	9	4	0	5	86	
WA 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase VIII	10	14	5	10	9	9	9	4	5	5	80	
WA 04	Wild Rice Shoreland Protection - Phase V	10	15	10	14	10	10	9	4	0	5	87	
WRE 01	Accelerated Shallow Lakes and Wetland Enhancement - Phase IX	9	12	5	8	5	2	7	4	0	5	57	
WRE 02	Living Shallow Lake Enhancement & Wetland Restoration Initiative - Phase V	10	14	9	12	9	10	9	4	0	5	82	
WRE 03	Restoration of Non-native Cattail Dominated Wetlands in Rainy Lake	10	15	12	12	9	10	10	5	6	5	94	
HA 01	MN DNR Aquatic Protection and Enhancement - Phase IX	10	15	10	12	8	10	10	4	0	5	84	
HA 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	10	14	10	14	8	10	9	5	3	5	88	
HA 03	Mississippi Headwaters Habitat Corridor Project - Phase II	8	10	8	8	8	5	5	3	0	5	60	
HA 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase III	8	10	10	8	2	2	8	4	0	5	57	
HA 05	Wildlife and Rare Species Habitat	10	15	10	15	10	5	8	5	0	5	83	

