

Member Name

Jim Cox

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplementants the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	4	3	4	3	4	2	2	3	3	28
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	2	24
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	3	31
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	4	4	4	2	2	3	3	29
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	29
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	26
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	2	23
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	23
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	2	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	23
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	4	3	33
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	3	2	2	3	2	2	3	2	3	22
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	3	25
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	0
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	26
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	19
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	23
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	21
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	4	2	3	2	3	3	2	3	25
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	3	2	2	3	2	0	4	0	19

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	2	2	2	2	1	3	3	3	2	20
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	25
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	4	4	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	31
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	19
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	4	4	4	4	4	3	4	3	4	34
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	19
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	3	4	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	28
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	3	4	4	4	4	2	2	3	3	29
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	21
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	2	3	30
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	5	5	5	5	4	3	4	4	4	39
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	26
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	19
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	19
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	2	2	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	21
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	2	2	2	1	2	1	3	4	2	19
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	35
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	2	2	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	25
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	4	27
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	30
W-05	Moist Soil Management	2	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	3	20
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	COI	0
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord										0
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	3	4	3	3	5	5	3	3	3	32

Member Name

Scott Rall

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**
 Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	5	5	5	4	4	3	4	5	5	40
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	4	3	5	5	4	0	3	5	5	34
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	0	39
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	2	4	4	4	0	3	3	4	28
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	5	3	5	4	4	0	4	3	5	33
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	3	2	5	2	3	0	4	3	2	24
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	4	4	3	3	3	3	0	4	2	26
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	3	17
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	2	2	4	4	4	2	2	2	0	22
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	4	5	4	5	5	3	2	4	3	35
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	3	2	3	3	2	4	2	2	2	23
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	4	5	4	3	3	1	2	4	5	31
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	3	3	2	3	4	4	2	2	2	25
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	1	3	4	1	3	0	2	2	2	18
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	0	2	2	1	4	0	2	2	15
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	3	1	0	2	1	3	2	3	3	18
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	5	5	3	4	4	0	4	4	4	33
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	4	5	4	4	3	5	4	4	5	38
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	5	5	4	4	3	0	0	3	5	29

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	2	0	0	0	0	5	0	4	1	12
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	5	5	5	5	5	0	1	3	5	34
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	2	4	2	4	0	1	2	4	24
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	40
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	5	4	5	5	5	1	4	4	5	38
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	1	1	3	1	2	5	3	4	2	22
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	3	4	1	1	3	4	3	1	1	21
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	1	4	4	4	4	0	3	1	3	24
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	3	3	5	4	4	2	2	2	5	30
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	43
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	43
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	3	5	4	4	0	3	4	5	32
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	4	0	2	2	1	2	2	2	0	15
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	3	1	2	4	4	1	2	2	0	19
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	2	1	2	3	4	2	3	3	2	22
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	1	2	3	1	0	4	3	2	1	17
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	3	1	1	1	2	0	1	1	1	11
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	1	2	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	24
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	3	2	3	3	3	0	2	3	3	22
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	3	3	3	3	3	0	3	3	4	25
W-05	Moist Soil Management	1	1	3	2	2	4	3	2	1	19
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	2	1	2	3	2	2	3	1	1	17
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	4	40

Member Name

Ryan Bronson

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	33
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	4	3	4	3	3	2	3	4	4	30
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	5	4	4	5	5	3	3	4	38
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	4	4	4	2	3	3	4	31
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	4	4	1	2	3	3	29
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	27
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	4	3	2	3	4	4	4	3	3	30
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	1	2	2	2	1	3	1	2	17
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	4	4	5	5	4	4	2	3	4	35
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	5	4	5	5	3	4	4	5	4	39
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	3	4	4	4	5	5	5	5	39
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	2	4	3	3	3	3	3	5	5	31
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	2	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	2	25
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	3	32
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	2	2	2	1	1	3	1	2	16
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	32
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	2	2	1	2	3	1	2	4	4	21
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	28
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	4	5	3	4	4	1	5	5	5	36

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	3	2	2	2	4	3	4	4	4	28
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	4	3	4	3	4	1	3	3	3	28
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	4	4	5	5	1	4	4	4	36
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	34
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	3	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	4	30
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	4	42
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	33
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	3	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	33
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	33
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	35
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	36
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	3	3	3	4	3	2	4	3	29
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	3	2	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	25
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	30
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	4	4	3	4	2	3	4	4	4	32
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	3	1	2	2	2	3	4	3	4	24
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	13
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	40
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	4	4	5	5	4	3	4	4	4	37
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	4	33
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	4	3	4	4	3	2	4	3	4	31
W-05	Moist Soil Management	5	5	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	38
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	32
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	1	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	3	15
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	3	3	3	3	3	4	5	3	2	29

Member Name

Wayne Enger

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**
 Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total	Member Comments
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.		
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	2	2	4	3	4	1	3	2	4	25	
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	2	1	2	2	2	1	4	2	3	19	
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	4	3	5	5	5	4	4	4	39	
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	4	5	4	1	2	4	4	31	
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	3	1	3	3	2	1	3	3	4	23	
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	5	2	5	5	5	1	4	4	4	35	
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	3	1	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	30	
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	2	4	3	4	3	3	4	4	30	
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	43	
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	4	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	3	29	
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	35	
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	3	4	4	3	4	2	4	3	4	31	
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	40	Only for land open to public use
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	2	3	2	3	1	4	3	3	24	
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	3	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	27	
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	2	1	2	3	2	2	4	3	3	22	
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	3	2	2	3	2	2	4	4	4	26	
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	4	2	3	3	4	5	4	3	4	32	
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	1	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	21	
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	4	2	3	3	3	5	4	3	4	31	
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	4	2	4	4	4	1	3	4	4	30	
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	4	3	5	4	5	1	3	4	5	34	
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	3	2	2	3	4	5	4	3	4	30	

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total	Member Comments
P-04	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	3	2	3	3	5	2	3	4	5	30	
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	4	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	5	42	
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	3	2	4	3	3	4	3	4	4	30	
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	4	5	5	5	5	4	4	4	4	40	
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	3	2	3	3	4	2	2	3	3	25	
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	33	
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	4	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	34	
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	4	4	4	4	2	3	3	4	32	
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	3	4	33	
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	29	Public Use Land Only
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	26	
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	4	3	3	4	4	3	5	3	4	33	
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	3	2	3	2	3	1	3	3	3	23	
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	3	5	4	4	4	5	1	2	3	31	\$8 million maximum allocation
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	4	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	34	
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	3	3	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	25	
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	25	
W-05	Moist Soil Management	2	1	3	3	3	1	3	3	3	22	
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	2	1	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	23	
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	12	
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	5	5	5	5	5	2	5	5	5	42	

Member Name

David Hartwell

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total	Member Comments
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.		
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	5	4	4	5	5	1	4	4	3	35	Program coordinator looks like supplanting. Easement stewardship is unexplained.
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	4	4	4	4	5	1	4	4	3	33	What guarantee is there that the tax forfeit lands will not be sold off in the future?
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	5	4	42	
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	3	3	5	4	5	1	3	4	2	30	It seems to me that the degradation of forest habitat is the result of lousy timbering. Why is the cost of restoring forest lands not paid for by forest companies harvesting the timber. It would seem that this restoration is just a public subsidy. The proposal talks about "enduring conservation benefits" but how this work is enduring is a mystery.
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	4	2	1	4	4	3	30	Deer run is already protected and should not be part of this.
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	3	3	5	4	4	1	4	5	3	32	How does this restore Miss. River tributaries to their floodplains?
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	5	4	5	4	5	1	4	5	3	36	Are the adjacent lands this will protect permanently protected in some way? Will the forest roads have prohibitions for use by incompatible uses like mining? Will they use the lands protected to justify selling of other lands?
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	2	3	5	3	1	3	5	3	28	Are these lands permanently protected? If so, how?
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	4	5	5	5	3	5	4	4	40	How will easements be monitored?
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	4	3	5	4	5	2	5	4	3	35	
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	2	4	4	4	2	4	3	3	30	
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	5	5	4	4	3	1	5	5	4	36	
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	4	3	5	4	5	3	4	3	4	35	How many acres with FMR restore? Crosby Lake restoration project seems very marginal, expensive and the weak part of this proposal. TPL Franconia project over land with scenic easement seems unnecessary.
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	5	4	5	5	5	4	3	4	5	40	
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	4	2	3	4	4	2	4	4	3	30	
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	4	3	3	4	4	1	4	3	3	29	

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total	Member Comments
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	3	3	4	4	4	1	3	4	3	29	How will this permanently fix the problem? If there is undermining of the road bed, should the LSOHC fund it since that should be county road work?
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	2	3	3	3	2	4	4	3	27	
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	2	3	2	3	1	4	3	2	23	Hard to tell where the DNR comes in on this. The web site listed is a private wood stove business - strange.
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	3	2	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	26	More about recreation on the lake than habitat.
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	5	4	5	4	5	1	5	4	4	37	Hard to be sure that restoration will take place on protected property. Habitat crew leads who will work spring - fall appear to be full time- Why?
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	4	4	4	5	1	3	3	3	32	
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	5	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	3	37	Buffers are great unless tile goes into ditch directly. How will that be dealt with? If they do not get clean water funds, it appears that we are on the hook for all costs to get larger buffer.
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	5	4	5	5	5	1	4	4	4	37	
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	32	Very high cost for relatively small area
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	5	4	5	5	5	3	4	4	5	40	
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	5	3	4	5	5	2	5	4	4	37	DNR ranking seems low.
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	3	3	5	4	2	1	5	4	3	30	Proposal says they will do restoration on protected properties but Activity #2 does not seem to indicate that. I am unclear how the tile drainage will be effected by the buffers but the proposal indicates tile to be a big part of the problem. Easement stewardship is not addressed.
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	5	5	4	5	1	5	3	4	36	How many acres is goal?
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	5	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	5	39	
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	4	4	4	5	1	4	4	4	34	
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	3	3	3	4	4	2	3	3	2	27	Project management is very expensive
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	3	2	4	3	3	1	3	4	3	26	Easement stewardship?
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	4	3	37	Stewardship portion is weak.
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	36	Stewardship? Small grants = small parcels and fragmentation?
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	3	3	2	2	3	1	3	3	2	22	

The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplementants the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.
--	--	---	--	---	---	---	---	--

Project ID Project Title

Total Member Comments

Member Name

Darby Nelson

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total	Member Comments
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.		
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	5	42	
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	5	3	3	4	4	2	5	2	4	32	
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45	produces multiple enduring conservation benefits per statewide priority criteria
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	5	3	4	4	4	2	5	4	4	35	
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	3	3	3	3	4	3	5	3	4	31	
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	5	4	5	5	4	3	5	5	5	41	
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	5	5	3	4	4	0	5	5	5	36	
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	1	0	3	0	1	3	3	3	17	not appropriate for OHF
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45	
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	5	3	3	4	5	4	5	5	4	38	
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	3	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	34	
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	4	5	3	4	4	3	5	5	4	37	
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	44	
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	4	5	4	5	4	3	3	5	4	37	
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	2	1	3	2	3	2	3	3	21	
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	3	3	3	3	4	4	5	4	4	33	
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	26	
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	2	0	3	4	5	4	3	3	27	
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property				not	meeting	minimal	criteria			0	
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	2	2	2	2	2	5	5	2	2	24	
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	5	5	5	4	5	0	5	5	5	39	
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	4	5	5	5	0	5	5	5	39	
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	5	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	3	39	
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	4	5	5	4	4	4	5	4	5	40	
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	5	40	
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	4	5	43	

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total	Member Comments
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45	unlike projects like L. Zumbro--focus is on headwaters
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	5	41	
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	39	
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5	5	44	
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	5	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	4	39	
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	4	3	3	3	4	4	5	3	3	32	
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	4	3	3	3	4	3	5	3	2	30	
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	29	
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	3	3	3	3	4	4	3	3	3	29	
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	2	3	2	3	2	2	2	3	3	22	
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45	
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	3	4	5	4	4	5	5	3	3	36	
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	4	4	5	4	5	0	5	4	5	36	
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	40	
W-05	Moist Soil Management	5	4	5	4	4	0	5	4	5	36	
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	3	3	2	4	4	4	5	5	3	33	
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord						45				45	
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program						45				45	

Member Name

Rep Rick Hansen

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	4	1	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	32
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	4	30
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	3	3	2	2	3	5	3	4	4	29
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	2	3	3	3	1	2	4	3	25
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	4	3	3	3	3	1	2	4	3	26
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	1	1	2	2	3	1	2	3	3	18
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	4	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	19
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	40
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	5	4	4	4	5	5	4	4	5	40
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	5	5	4	4	5	5	5	4	5	42
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	4	5	3	3	4	1	5	4	5	34
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	19
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	4	3	3	3	4	4	4	5	5	35
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	18
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	34
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	1	1	2	1	2	2	2	1	2	14

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	1	1	1	1	3	1	1	1	2	12
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	5	3	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	37
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	32
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	4	3	4	4	4	4	2	3	4	32
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	5	3	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	38
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	2	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	35
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	4	2	5	4	3	4	4	4	4	34
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	3	5	4	4	4	3	4	4	35
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	5	4	4	4	3	4	3	3	4	34
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	4	3	3	3	3	4	3	3	4	30
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	44
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	4	2	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	32
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	3	2	3	2	2	3	3	3	3	24
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	45
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	38
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	5	4	4	4	5	5	3	4	4	38
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	5	3	4	4	3	4	4	4	4	35
W-05	Moist Soil Management	5	3	5	4	4	5	4	3	3	36
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	3	2	3	3	3	2	3	3	2	24
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	40
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	5	4	3	3	5	5	5	5	5	40

Member Name

Rep Bob Gunther

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	3	2	3	4	4	1	2	3	3	25
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	2	3	3	4	1	2	3	3	24
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	4	3	4	5	4	2	3	4	5	34
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	3	4	4	2	3	3	3	29
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	3	29
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	3	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	31
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	3	4	4	4	3	2	3	3	3	29
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	26
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	3	3	2	3	4	3	4	3	3	28
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	3	2	3	4	3	1	2	4	3	25
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	31
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	3	3	2	3	3	2	3	4	4	27
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	4	2	4	3	4	2	4	3	3	29
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	4	3	3	4	3	3	4	3	30
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	3	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	29
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	34
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	4	3	3	3	4	2	3	4	4	30
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	2	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	23
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	4	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	28

Member Name

Les Bensch

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	5	3	4	1	4	3	4	4	4	32
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	2	3	2	4	1	3	4	2	24
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	38
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	2	3	4	4	1	3	4	4	29
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	4	4	1	3	4	4	32
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	4	4	4	4	4	1	4	4	2	31
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	5	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	2	24
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	1	3	3	3	2	2	4	4	25
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	4	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	35
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	4	3	4	4	4	3	3	4	3	32
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	2	3	3	3	4	4	4	2	2	27
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	4	2	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	24
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	3	2	3	3	3	4	3	2	3	26
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	3	4	4	3	1	2	4	4	28
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	3	2	3	4	3	2	2	4	3	26
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	2	2	2	2	1	2	3	2	2	18
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	4	5	5	5	4	3	4	4	4	38
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	4	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	31
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	4	5	1	3	4	1	1	4	2	25

Member Name

Mike Kilgore

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total	Member Comments
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.		
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	5	5	5	5	5	2	4	2	4	37	
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	2	3	3	3	1	2	2	5	24	
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	4	4	4	4	4	5	2	2	2	31	
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	4	2	5	1	4	5	4	32	
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	4	4	5	5	5	1	3	3	4	34	Need additional information about tract already subject to land use restrictions.
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	4	4	5	4	5	1	3	3	3	32	Need additional information on Professional Services costs.
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	3	2	3	3	3	2	2	4	5	27	
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	2	4	2	4	2	2	4	5	3	28	
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	4	4	5	5	5	4	4	4	2	37	
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	5	4	5	5	5	2	4	5	5	40	
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	3	2	4	4	1	4	3	1	3	25	Funding sought from CWF? Other sources? River restoration is not a Priority Action for the Prairie Section.
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	3	4	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	28	Is this parcel on the WMA acquisition list as a priority purchase?
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	3	4	5	5	5	4	5	3	3	37	Need additional info. on parcels already containing permanent protection.
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	5	4	5	5	3	3	4	3	5	37	No cash leverage, but substantial in-kind through donated easement value.
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	2	1	2	2	1	4	2	1	3	18	Need information on why land is not eligible for RIM and DNR does not want it added to the WMA system.
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	4	2	32	
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	4	4	4	4	5	3	5	2	2	33	
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	1	2	2	3	5	3	3	2	24	
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	1	1	2	2	5	1	1	1	2	16	Is this parcel on the WMA acquisition list? Proposal is not associated with an established land acquisition program, which is one of the L-SOHC's minimum funding criteria.
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	1	4	1	1	2	4	2	1	1	17	

Project ID	Project Title	The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservation plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	Total	Member Comments
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	5	5	5	5	5	1	3	2	4	35	
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	5	5	5	5	5	1	4	5	3	38	Need additional information on who is responsible for habitat enhancement on Native Prairie Bank easements (e.g., owner, state).
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	3	5	5	4	3	5	4	5	5	39	
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	5	41	Hard match is minimal. Post project restoration and enhancement contribution will be substantial.
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	3	2	4	4	2	5	4	1	3	28	The "river restoration" component of the proposal is not a Priority Action for the Prairie Section.
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	3	5	5	3	5	4	5	1	5	36	
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	4	3	5	4	5	3	4	4	3	35	Are the parcels a priority for the DNR to acquire?
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	2	3	4	2	2	3	3	3	2	24	
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	4	3	41	
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	5	43	
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	3	4	4	4	5	3	3	1	3	30	
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	1	2	3	1	3	3	2	2	2	19	Are the parcels on the WMA acquisition list as a priority purchase?
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	1	1	17	
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	2	1	3	2	5	3	3	1	3	23	
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	1	2	1	2	1	1	4	1	1	14	
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	9	
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	3	5	41	
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	4	4	4	4	4	5	1	1	1	28	Appears to be a request to use OHF as a substitute for other funds that would otherwise be used to fund this design work.
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	4	4	4	4	5	1	4	1	3	30	
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	4	5	5	5	5	2	3	3	5	37	
W-05	Moist Soil Management	3	5	3	4	5	1	3	1	4	29	
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	4	3	3	4	5	3	3	1	4	30	Need additional information on specific activities proposed to be funded from the OHF (e.g., fishing piers, fish stocking?).
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	3	3	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	25	Need more information justifying budget request.
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	3	5	3	3	3	1	5	1	3	27	Need more information justifying budget request.

Member Name

Sen Lisa Fobbe

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**
 Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitute.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	4	1	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	24
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	3	1	3	2	3	3	2	3	1	21
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	3	3	5	5	4	1	2	2	3	28
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	4	3	4	4	4	2	4	3	4	32
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	5	4	5	5	4	1	2	1	2	29
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	4	3	5	4	4	2	4	2	3	31
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3	24
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	3	43
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	5	4	3	4	4	3	3	3	3	32
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	3	3	3	3	3	2	2	2	2	23
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	4	2	38
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	4	4	3	3	3	3	4	2	2	28
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	1	5	5	3	5	3	3	4	32
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	3	2	3	3	4	3	3	3	3	27
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	5	5	4	4	5	3	5	5	5	41
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	5	4	3	4	2	3	2	2	2	27
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	3	3	3	2	3	2	2	2	23
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	3	3	2	1	3	3	3	3	24

Member Name

Senator Bill Ingebrigtsen

Evaluate each section of the Request for Funding on a scale of 1-5. **1=Strongly Disagree through 5=Strongly Agree**

Maximum score per request is **45 points**.

For those not evaluating a proposal due to a **conflict of interest**, put "COI" in the score box.

Overall proposal evaluation scores will be averaged using the number of members evaluating that individual proposal.

Project ID	Project Title	Design and Scope of Work		Planning			Other Funds	Budget		Sustainability and Maintenance	Total
		The strategies are clear, effective, and appropriate to the problem(s) outlined.	This is an urgent problem needing attention.	The request is well supported by multiple MN conservations plans.	The request is fully grounded in conservation science.	The request effectively addresses one or more relevant LSOHC section priorities	The request maximizes leverage and coordination with other funding sources.	The budget supplements the organizations traditional funding sources rather than substitutie.	The budget clearly identifies how the OHF money will be used and the level of funding is reasonable for each component.	The request clearly specifies who is responsible for, how, and funding sources for the accomplishments will be sustained and maintained.	
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future	2	2	4	2	4	1	3	3	4	25
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project	2	2	4	3	2	1	4	4	3	25
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	41
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement	3	2	4	4	3	2	2	3	3	26
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership	3	2	4	4	2	1	4	5	4	29
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership	5	4	5	5	5	1	4	4	4	37
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County	2	1	4	3	3	3	4	3	3	26
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program	2	2	3	3	3	3	3	5	3	27
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	5	4	5	5	5	5	5	4	5	43
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	3	2	2	4	3	3	2	4	3	26
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	3	35
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	2	3	3	3	3	4	3	4	3	28
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2	5	4	5	5	5	5	4	3	5	41
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	3	3	3	3	3	1	4	3	3	26
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	4	2	4	4	3	3	5	4	3	32
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	2	1	2	3	2	2	4	3	3	22
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	2	2	2	4	3	4	2	4	3	26

H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	3	2	4	3	3	4	3	3	3	28
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	3	1	2	2	3	2	3	3	2	21
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	4	3	4	4	4	4	5	3	4	35
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands	4	3	3	4	4	1	3	3	4	29
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	4	4	5	4	5	2	3	5	5	37
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	3	2	3	3	4	5	4	3	4	31
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	4	3	4	4	4	3	4	3	5	34
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	4	5	5	4	5	4	5	5	5	42
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	3	2	4	4	3	4	3	4	4	31
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	37
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	3	2	3	3	4	2	2	3	3	25
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	4	4	4	5	4	4	3	4	4	36
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	3	4	37
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	2	4	32
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4	34
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	3	3	3	3	4	4	4	3	3	30
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	4	4	4	4	3	4	4	3	4	34
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	4	3	4	3	4	3	5	4	4	34
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	3	3	2	4	3	1	3	3	3	25
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	4	5	4	4	4	5	1	3	3	33
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	4	4	4	4	4	5	3	3	4	35
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	3	2	3	3	2	1	3	3	3	23
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	4	39
W-05	Moist Soil Management	3	2	3	3	4	3	4	3	3	28
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	2	1	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	23
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	5	6
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	4	4	4	4	4	2	4	5	4	35