

# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

## Laws of Minnesota 2020 Accomplishment Plan



**Date:** December 17, 2019

**Program or Project Title:** Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII

**Funds Recommended:** \$ 3,876,000

**Manager's Name:** Eran Sandquist

**Title:** State Coordinator

**Organization:** Pheasants Forever, Inc.

**Address:** 410 Lincoln Ave S

**Address 2:** Box 91

**City:** South Haven, MN 55382

**Office Number:** 320-236-7755

**Mobile Number:** 763-242-1273

**Email:** esandquist@pheasantsforever.org

**Website:** www.pheasantsforever.org

**Legislative Citation:** ML 2020, Ch. X, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd XX

### Appropriation Language:

**County Locations:** Brown, Chippewa, Cottonwood, Dakota, Douglas, Jackson, Kandiyohi, Lyon, Martin, Meeker, Murray, Nobles, Otter Tail, Redwood, Rock, Stearns, Swift, Watonwan, and Yellow Medicine.

### Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Metro / Urban
- Prairie

### Activity types:

- Protect in Fee

### Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Prairie
- Wetlands

### Abstract:

This is the twelfth phase to accelerate the protection and restoration of 635 acres of strategic prairie grasslands, associated wetlands and other wildlife habitats as State Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) open to public hunting. Pheasants Forever (PF) will be permanently protecting strategic parcels within the prairie, prairie/forest transition, and metro planning regions which will be restored and transferred to the MN Department of Natural Resources (MN DNR) to be included as a WMA. We continue to have more willing sellers of priority parcels which shows a demand for continued phases of this program.

### Design and scope of work:

The purpose of this twelfth phase proposal is to accelerate the protection and restoration of prairie and prairie wetlands for associated wildlife. The partners involved in this effort are deploying their resources to build upon past investments in long-term upland and associated wetland conservation. The proposal focuses upon permanent habitat protection of 635 acres of lands that will be managed for wildlife and waterfowl. These accomplishments further the goals outlined in the MN Wildlife Action Plan, the MN Prairie Conservation Plan, the Pheasant Action Plan, and the 2017 Prairie Pothole Joint Venture (PPJV) Implementation Plan (including the

Minnesota Tactical Plan within the PPJV Plan).

Lands acquired from willing sellers will be prioritized using criteria used by MN DNR (Minnesota Wildlife Management Areas – The Next 50 Years) which include location on the landscape, breeding waterfowl density, restoration potential, native community protection (e.g. Minnesota Biological Survey site), proximity to other investments in perpetually protected habitats. Projects were developed and selected in conjunction with local and regional DNR staff. All projects will meet standards and requirements for inclusion into the WMA system and DNR Commissioner approval will be received for any project funded under this proposal. In addition to meeting the minimum WMA standards, additional criteria are used to develop the potential project list including: 1) Does the parcel contain habitat restoration potential that will result in an increase in wildlife populations? 2) Does the parcel build upon existing investments in public and private land habitat (landscape scale significance)? 3) Does the parcel contain significant natural communities, or will it protect or buffer significant natural communities? 4) Does the parcel have the potential and focus for habitat protection and restoration in the future? 5) Does the parcel provide multiple benefits (recreation, access, water control, water quality, wellhead protection, riparian protection, local community support, etc.)?

Providing high-quality habitat and keeping future management concerns in mind, all acquisitions will be restored and/or enhanced to as high quality as practicable, with the belief that quality and comprehensive restorations utilizing native species result in lower management costs. Acquired croplands will be permanently retired and restored to diverse grasslands and wetlands habitat, drained wetlands will be restored, and invasive trees will be removed when appropriate.

### **How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:**

PF is actively engaged in conservation priority planning meetings with local, state and government agencies, SWCDs, nonprofits, and other stake holders to determine what areas are the highest priority for adding permanently protected lands in the prairie, prairie/forest transition, and metro planning areas. Priority is given to parcels for numerous reasons. One of the reasons is an urgency to permanently protect habitat that includes factors such as rare, threatened, and endangered species. We can strategically build onto existing wildlife habitat while also protecting water resources, such as wellhead protection areas. PF also looks to protect and restore marginal farmlands that have highly erodible land and drained wetlands which creates a net acre increase in protected grassland and wetland acres. Sellers often talk about how these lands should have never been farmed, citing that in many years, farming was not profitable for them. Lastly, building new habitat around existing permanently protected complexes also reverses habitat fragmentation, which is the number one threat to all of Minnesota's wildlife species.

When selecting projects for this proposal, PF uses the latest GIS layers and works with DNR staff to identify species of greatest conservation need. Species of greatest conservation need are considered and can influence restoration plans after the land is permanently protected. By increasing the amount, functionality and productivity of grassland landscapes for these species we aim to maximize quality habitat for important wildlife species. Restoration of wetland and high diversity grassland complexes will provide habitat for a myriad of species including waterfowl, black terns, bobolinks, meadowlarks, ring-necked pheasants, pollinators, and monarchs. Other species of concern benefiting from this project include the prairie chicken, short-eared owl, marsh hawk, and yellow rails.

### **Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:**

This proposal utilizes the best science and modeling available to build or expand corridors and complexes. To scale this large programmatic grant to local landscape level priorities, PF works in close collaboration with the local area managers of the MN DNR, USFWS, and other Minnesota partners. In addition, we use SWAAT scores to build on existing grassland and wetland conservation efforts in a science-based approach. This proposal will continue to utilize spatial data and the power of GIS to identify acquisitions based on landscape level priority areas. Preference is given to project sites that help deliver the goals of other recognized conservation initiatives and plans. Data layers (i.e. MN Biological Survey, Natural Heritage Database, MN Prairie Plan, Wellhead Protection Areas, HAPET Scores, MN Wildlife Action Plan, Pheasant Action Plan, existing protected land, etc.) will be used to help justify projects and focus areas as well as to inform decisions when allocating scarce dollars for habitat protection, restoration, and enhancement. If there are species of concern located on or adjacent to project tracts as identified in the MCBS survey, we take an extra consideration when developing proposals and this ultimately may change the way we evaluate and prioritize project tracts. In addition, if there are rare or sensitive species on site, we will be able to identify those, communicate with the appropriate long-term land managers, and ensure we're having a positive impact on these species.

### **Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this program:**

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

## Which other plans are addressed in this program:

- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years

## Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program:

### Forest / Prairie Transition:

- Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

### Metro / Urban:

- Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

### Prairie:

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

## Relationship to other funds:

- Not Listed

## Does this program include leverage in funds:

Yes

Land acquisition and restoration have not kept pace with habitat restoration needs or the backlog of willing sellers within the pheasant range. Opportunity is not the limiting factor in implementing the Pheasant Action Plan and the MN Prairie Conservation Plan. Available funding is the limiting factor. With current CRP expiration rates, Minnesota's conservation efforts must be accelerated to sustain wildlife populations. Before the passage of the OHF, PF would help acquire approximately 1,000 acres of land yearly that had been donated to a public agency. This grant significantly accelerates our ability to acquire priority parcels and more than triples our historic yearly accomplishments even when considering the increased cost of land values. If funded, this proposal will accelerate the protection and restoration of Minnesota's valuable wetland and grassland habitats and provide additional public hunting and fishing areas.

## Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Any state agency or organization requesting a direct appropriation from the OHF must inform the LSOHC at the time of the request for funding is made, whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose:

This proposal supplements past investments and is aimed at accelerating the protection and restoration of strategic parcels.

## Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:

Appropriation Year	Source	Amount
Annual	PF	150,000

## How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

All lands will be enrolled into the state Wildlife Management Area system and will be managed in perpetuity by the Minnesota DNR. All acquired lands will meet the minimum initial development standards for WMAs. All acquisitions will be restored and/or enhanced to as high quality as practicable, with the knowledge that quality and comprehensive restorations utilizing native species result in lower management costs. In addition, our local PF chapter members and volunteers maintain a high interest in seeing the habitat and productivity of acquired parcels are at high-quality levels. PF and partners including the DNR and USFWS will develop an ecological

restoration and management plan for each parcel. Grant and partner dollars will also be used for the initial site development and restoration/enhancement work.

**Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Transfer to MNDNR	MN DNR - Game and Fish Funds	Monitoring	Maintenance	Management

**Activity Details:**

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - **Yes**

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - **Yes**

Explain

The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife. This proposal may include initial development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In these restorations, PF's policy is to use non neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. There are no immediate plans to use farming for winter food on any of the parcels in this proposal.

Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j) - **No**

At a minimum PF and/or MN DNR will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the state and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection - **No**

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances, and is still deemed a high priority by the partnership, we will follow guidance established by the Outdoor Heritage Fund to proceed, or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing - **No**

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion - **Yes**

No variation from State of Minnesota regulations.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

**State of MN**

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

**WMA**

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

**We anticipate closing on five to seven tracts through this appropriation.**

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the acquisitions on the parcel list - **No**

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition - **No**

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation? - **Yes**

Yes. We may also seek additional leverage (i.e. PF chapters, federal, etc.) to supplement the restoration budget contained within this proposal.

## Accomplishment Timeline:

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Identify priority acquisitions	07/01/2020
Contract appraisals ordered	09/01/2020
Purchase agreements	02/01/2021
Re-evaluate tract priority	02/14/2021
Contract appraisals ordered	04/01/2021
Purchase agreements	09/01/2021
Close on tracts	01/01/2023
Restorations completed	06/30/2025

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/1/2025

## Federal Funding:

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - **Yes**

Are the funds confirmed - **No**

What is the approximate date you anticipate receiving confirmation of the federal funds - **07/01/2020**

## Outcomes:

### Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".*

### Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- Protected habitats will hold wetlands and shallow lakes open to public recreation and hunting *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".*

### Programs in prairie region:

- Key core parcels are protected for fish, game and other wildlife *Strategic parcels that increase the functionality of existing habitat will be acquired and restored to functioning wetlands with diverse upland prairie to serve as habitat for pollinators, resident and migratory game and non-game species. Lands will be transferred to the state as a WMA to provide accelerated wildlife habitat and public access, monitored by Minnesota DNR. Protected and restored acres will be measured against goals outlined in the "Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition - The Next 50 Years" and "the Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN".*

# Budget Spreadsheet

*Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan*

**How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount**

We have reduced accomplishments/costs proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation. As a result of the reduction, we will be able to protect fewer acres. As in past appropriations, we will focus on the most strategic, highest priority tracts.

**Total Amount of Request: \$ 3876000**

**Budget and Cash Leverage**

Budget Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$29,500	\$0		\$29,500
Contracts	\$459,400	\$0		\$459,400
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$3,177,500	\$227,000	PF, Federal Private	\$3,404,500
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	\$1,800	\$0		\$1,800
Professional Services	\$68,900	\$0		\$68,900
Direct Support Services	\$10,500	\$0		\$10,500
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$63,500	\$0		\$63,500
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	\$64,900	\$0		\$64,900
Total	\$3,876,000	\$227,000		\$4,103,000

**Personnel**

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
State Coordinator	0.02	3.00	\$4,500	\$0		\$4,500
Field Staff	0.05	3.00	\$12,500	\$0		\$12,500
Grant Staff	0.05	3.00	\$12,500	\$0		\$12,500
Total	0.12	9.00	\$29,500	\$0		\$29,500

Amount of Request: \$3,876,000

Amount of Leverage: \$227,000

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 5.86%

DSS + Personnel: \$40,000

As a % of the total request: 1.03%

**How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program:**

PF utilizes the Total Modified Direct Cost method. This methodology is annually approved by the U.S. Department of Interior's National Business Center as the basis for the organization's Indirect Cost Rate agreement. PF's allowable direct support services cost is 4.12%. In this proposal, PF has discounted its rate to 2.0% of the sum of personnel, contracts, professional services, and travel. We are donating the difference-in-kind.

**What is included in the contacts line?**

We anticipate that all of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected acres. This could include but is not limited to wetland/grassland restoration, tree removal, prescribed fire, building removal, posts, signs, and other development activities.

**Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental? - No**

**Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging:**

n/a

**Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:**

Leverage is expected from multiple sources including but not limited to federal sources, land value donations, contractor donations and PF. Not every source is 100% confirmed at this point. However, PF has an exemplary track record of delivery and over-achievement of match commitments that further stretch OHF funding.

## Output Tables

**Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	108	527	0	0	635
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	108	527	0	0	635

**Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?**

Type	Native Prairie
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	0

**Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$651,600	\$3,224,400	\$0	\$0	\$3,876,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$651,600	\$3,224,400	\$0	\$0	\$3,876,000

**Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	22	159	0	454	0	635
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	22	159	0	454	0	635

**Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$137,200	\$960,200	\$0	\$2,778,600	\$0	\$3,876,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$137,200	\$960,200	\$0	\$2,778,600	\$0	\$3,876,000



**Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6033	\$6118	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6236	\$6039	\$0	\$6120	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

*Automatic system calculation / not entered by managers*

**Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles**

0

## Parcel List

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type restore or enhance.

### Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

#### Brown

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Terri WMA Addition	10834215	152	\$160,000	Yes	Full	Not Applicable
Vogel WMA Addition	10835203	156	\$600,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Chippewa

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Lac Qui Parle WMA Addition	11942220	35	\$75,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Cottonwood

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Farhagen WMA Addition Tr. 2	10536214	120	\$900,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Rock Ridge WMA Addition	10735214	59	\$125,000	Yes	Full	Not Applicable

#### Dakota

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Chub Lake WMA Addition	11320234	80	\$650,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Jackson

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Petersburg WMA Addition	10134226	116	\$650,000	Yes	Full	Not Applicable

#### Kandiyohi

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Regal Meadows WMA Addition	12234201	100	\$500,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Lyon

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Amiret WMA Addition	11040205	143	\$715,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Brawner Lake WMA Addition	11042217	101	\$300,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Grandview WMA Addition	11242219	160	\$1,136,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Martin

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Caron WMA Addition	10333222	140	\$940,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Meeker

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Kingston WMA Addition	12129221	40	\$180,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Kingston WMA Addition	12129227	40	\$160,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Powers Lake WMA	12230236	6	\$40,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Murray

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Haberman WMA Addition	10539218	80	\$450,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Nobles

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Lambert Prairie WMA Addition	10241208	75	\$650,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Otter Tail

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Inman WMA Addition	13337232	40	\$80,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Redwood

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Lamberton WMA Addition	10936217	160	\$800,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Rock

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
TBD WMA	10145211	40	\$480,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
TBD WMA	10145211	80	\$960,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Stearns

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Alice Hamm WMA Addition	12229233	33	\$120,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Mel Roehrl WMA Addition Tr. 3	12435204	160	\$600,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Mel Roehrl WMA Addition Tr. 4	12435205	120	\$500,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Swift

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Monson WMA Addition	12237235	15	\$60,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Watowan

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Younger Brothers WMA Addition	10731222	40	\$200,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
Younger Brothers WMA Addition	10731226	70	\$325,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Yellow Medicine

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Upper Antelope Valley WMA Addition	11444209	34	\$51,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

## Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

### Douglas


Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	# Bldgs?	Bldg Improve Desc	Value of Bldg	Disposition of Improvements
Roy Thompson WMA	12740204	240	\$800,000	1	cabin	\$0	

## Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

# Parcel Map

## Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase XII



Data Generated From Parcel List