

# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

## Laws of Minnesota 2020 Accomplishment Plan



**Date:** December 19, 2019

**Program or Project Title:** Sauk River Watershed Habitat Protection and Restoration, Phase 2

**Funds Recommended:** \$ 4,580,000

**Manager's Name:** Scott Henderson

**Title:** District Administrator

**Organization:** Sauk River Watershed District

**Address:** 524 4th Street South

**City:** Sauk Centre, MN 56378

**Office Number:** 320-352-2231

**Email:** scott@srwdmn.org

**Website:** www.srwdmn.org

**Legislative Citation:** ML 2020, Ch. X, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd XX

**Appropriation Language:**

**County Locations:** Douglas, Meeker, Pope, Stearns, and Todd.

**Eco regions in which work will take place:**

- Forest / Prairie Transition
- Metro / Urban
- Prairie

**Activity types:**

- Enhance
- Protect in Easement
- Protect in Fee
- Restore

**Priority resources addressed by activity:**

- Forest
- Habitat
- Prairie
- Wetlands

### Abstract:

This project will permanently protect, restore and enhance critical habitat within the Sauk River Watershed, which has experienced considerable habitat loss and is at high risk for more land use conversion. Using conservation easements and fee land acquisition, we will protect 807 acres of high priority habitat in Minnesota's Prairie and Forest-Prairie Transition Area and will restore/enhance approximately 300 acres of wetlands and accompanying uplands to create vital habitat for important waterfowl and Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) populations. Properties selected will be strategically targeted using an innovative site prioritization model that maximizes conservation benefit and financial leverage.

### Design and scope of work:

Sauk River Watershed District (SRWD), Minnesota Land Trust (MLT), and Pheasants Forever (PF), along with technical assistance provided by Stearns, Todd, and Douglas County Soil and Water Conservation District (SWCD), Minnesota Department of Natural

Resources (MN DNR), Ducks Unlimited (DU), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), and The Nature Conservancy (TNC), will partner to implement habitat protection and restoration within the Sauk River Watershed (SRW). Site prioritization will focus on protecting and restoring habitat in key locations, such as existing high quality or easily restorable wetland complexes, upland forests, floodplain forests, and prairies. Prioritized sites will be protected to preserve and enhance critical habitat for waterfowl and other important wildlife species.

The SRW is in a rapidly growing region of the state that has also experienced some of the most intense conversion from perennial cover to cropland in the past decade. Furthermore, public access for recreation, including hunting and fishing, is lacking. Landowner interest in conservation land protection and restoration is strong in the SRW. The partnership currently has easement interest from 45 high-quality properties, totaling 2,764 acres, in addition to eight known properties interested in fee simple acquisition. Protecting and restoring these interested properties will far exceed funding available through the Partnership's first OHF grant that comes online July 1, 2019. We anticipate significantly more interested and qualified properties for this project as outreach efforts grow.

#### Conservation Easements:

SRWD, with assistance from local SWCD partners, will conduct outreach to landowners within targeted priority areas identified using TNC's Multiple Benefits Analysis that has been completed for protection prioritization in the SRW. Interested landowners will submit proposals to MLT using a competitive request for proposal (RFP) process. MLT, with project partners, will rank properties based on ecological value and cost, prioritizing projects that provide the best ecological value and acquiring them at the lowest cost to the state. MLT will secure 507 acres of permanent conservation easements and develop restoration and habitat management plans for eased acres.

#### Fee Acquisition:

PF will coordinate with the MN DNR and USFWS on all potential fee simple acquisitions. PF will work with willing sellers to protect 300 acres of strategically identified parcels within the SRW and then donate the parcels to the MN DNR as a WMA or to USFWS as a WPA. Acquired tracts will be managed as wildlife habitat and provide public access in perpetuity within an area of our state where public land for recreational use is lacking.

#### Restoration and Enhancement:

SRWD will restore/enhance 300 acres of wetland, riparian and associated upland habitat in cooperation with county SWCDs, MLT, DU, USFWS, and TNC on permanently protected easement land. Specific activities and scope will vary based on quality of parcel but may include performing hydrologic restoration, invasive species management, and planting vegetation to increase site biodiversity. PF will manage all needed restoration activities on fee simple acquisitions.

## **How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:**

This program will utilize a prioritization framework that uses SGCN and quality habitat as major weighting factors. The SRW region is an important migratory corridor for forest birds and waterfowl. It contains wetlands, upland forests, and shorelands, which are essential habitats to Minnesota's wildlife diversity and health, all of which will be targeted for protection and restoration/enhancement as part of this program.

A variety of SGCN will benefit from this program including Blanding's turtles, bobolinks, veerys, caddisflies, smooth green snakes, Poweshiek skippers, western harvest mice, and jumping spiders (*M. grata*). Other species that will benefit from improved habitat as part of this program include trumpeter swan, sandhill crane, bald eagle, Swainson's hawk, and dickcissel.

## **Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:**

The program will utilize TNC's Multiple Benefits Analysis, a science-based process completed in 2017 for the Upper Mississippi River Basin, which prioritized protection sites for the SRW and other parts of this region. TNC's Multiple Benefits Analysis developed and scored priorities according to specific but multiple cross-cutting needs and looks for the "sweet spot" where multiple benefits overlap. It includes four modules: fish and wildlife habitat, drinking water/source water, flooding and erosion control, and groundwater benefits. Each module contains numerous data layers. Sites are prioritized in each module as well as holistically by combining scores from all modules. The size of parcels and proximity to other protected lands are also considered in this analysis.

97% of the SRW landscape is in private ownership. Therefore, once priority parcels are identified, working with private owners on land protection strategies is key to successful conservation in this region. We will also work closely with partners in the region to identify those habitat complexes where private land protection can make a significant contribution to existing conservation investments. Specific parcels available for acquisition of easements will be further reviewed relative to each other to identify priorities among the pool of applicants. This relative ranking is based on: amount of habitat on the parcel (size), the quality or condition of habitat, the parcel's context relative to other natural habitats and protected areas, and cost. MBS data will be used to evaluate potential

conservation easements and fee simple acquisitions. Field visits to further identify and assess condition of habitats prior to easement acquisition will also occur, as many private lands were not formally assessed through MBS.

The program will also work to build on initial conservation investments in the program area, expanding and buffering the footprint of existing protected areas, such as WMAs, WPAs, and AMAs facilitating the protection of habitat corridors and reducing the potential for fragmentation of existing habitats.

### **Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this program:**

- H1 Protect priority land habitats
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

### **Which other plans are addressed in this program:**

- Minnesota DNR Strategic Conservation Agenda
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

### **Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program:**

#### **Forest / Prairie Transition:**

- Protect, enhance, and restore wild rice wetlands, shallow lakes, wetland/grassland complexes, aspen parklands, and shoreland that provide critical habitat for game and nongame wildlife

#### **Metro / Urban:**

- Not Listed

#### **Prairie:**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

### **Relationship to other funds:**

- Not Listed

### **Does this program include leverage in funds:**

Yes

MLT encourages private landowners to fully or partially donate the appraised value of their conservation easement, thereby receiving less than the appraised value might otherwise allow. This donated value is shown as leveraged funds in the proposal and is expected to be 20% of the acquisition cost, or \$228,500. MLT has a long track record in incentivizing landowners to participate in this fashion.

### **Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Any state agency or organization requesting a direct appropriation from the OHF must inform the LSOHC at the time of the request for funding is made, whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose:**

This proposal does not substitute or supplant previous funding that was not from a Legacy fund.

### **Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:**

Not Listed

### **How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:**

MLT will sustain the land protected through conservation easements using state-of-the-art easement stewardship standards and

practices. MLT is a nationally-accredited and insured land trust with a successful easement stewardship program that conducts annual property monitoring, maintains effective records management, addresses inquiries and interpretations, tracks changes in ownership, investigates potential violations, and defends the easement in case of a true violation. Funding for these easement stewardship activities is included in the project budget. In addition, MLT encourages landowners to undertake active ecological management of their properties, provides them with habitat management plans, and works with them to secure resources (expertise and funding) to undertake these activities over time.

Acquisition projects will abut or be within close proximity to existing protected lands, including state-owned lands and lands under conservation easement. This will allow for the expansion of maintenance and restoration activities currently taking place on those protected lands and adjacent private lands. Habitats cleared of invasive species will be maintained with prescribed fire and other practices.

**Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2025 and in perpetuity	MLT Long-Term Stewardship and Enforcement Fund	Annual monitoring of conservation easements in perpetuity	Enforcement as necessary	
Every 4-6 years	MN DNR, USFWS, Landowners	Prescribed fire, tree control, invasive species control		

**Activity Details:**

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - **Yes**

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - **Yes**

Explain

For fee acquisitions, the primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife. This proposal may include initial development plans or restoration plans to utilize farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is a standard practice across the Midwest to prepare the seedbed for native seed planting. In restorations, non-neonicotinoid treated seed and no herbicides other than glyphosate will be used. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. There are no immediate plans to use farming for winter food on any of the parcels in this proposal.

On conservation easements, MLT may incorporate the short-term use of agricultural crops, which is an accepted best practice in some instances for preparing a site for restoration. For example, short-term use of soybeans could be used for restorations to control weed seedbeds prior to prairie planting. In some cases, this necessitates the use of GMO-treated products to facilitate herbicide use to control weeds present in the seedbank. However, neonicotinoids will not be used.

The purpose of MLT's conservation easements is to protect existing high quality natural habitat and to preserve opportunities for future restoration. As such, we restrict any agricultural lands and use on the properties. In cases where there are agricultural lands associated with the larger property, we will either carve the agricultural area out of the conservation easement, or in some limited cases, we may include a small percentage of agricultural lands if it is not feasible to carve those areas out. In such cases, however, we will not use OHF funds to pay the landowners for that portion of the conservation easement.

Will county board or other local government approval be formally sought prior to acquisition, per 97A.056 subd 13(j) - **No**

At minimum we will notify local government in writing of the intent to acquire and donate lands to the MNDNR/USFWS and follow up with questions prior to acquisition. In cases where there is interest, we will also indicate our willingness to attend or ask to attend county or township meetings to communicate our interest in the projects and seek support.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection - **No**

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances and is still deemed a high priority by our agency partners, we will follow guidance established by the LSOHC to proceed or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing - **No**

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion - **Yes**

Fee-title acquisition land secured as part of this project will be open for hunting and fishing.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

**we anticipate 1-3 acquisitions**

Will the eased land be open for public use - **No**

Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection - **No**

A limited number of the parcels may have a federal or state easement on a portion of the tract which provides permanent protection for wetlands or grasslands. If a parcel has one of these encumbrances and is still deemed a high priority by our agency partners, we will follow guidance established by the LSOHC to proceed or use non-state funding to acquire the residual value of the protected portion of the property.

Who will manage the easement?

**Minnesota Land Trust.**

Who will be the easement holder?

**Minnesota Land Trust.**

What is the anticipated number of easements (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

**4-8 depending on easement size and cost**

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the acquisitions on the parcel list - **Yes**

Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

Most conservation easements are established on private lands, many of which have driveways, field roads, and trails located on them. Often, these established trails and roads are permitted in the terms of the easement and can be maintained for personal use if their use does not significantly impact the conservation values of the property. Creation of new roads/trails or expansion of existing ones is typically not allowed.

Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition - **Yes**

How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished:

Existing trails and roads are identified in the project baseline report and will be monitored annually as part of the MLT's stewardship and enforcement protocols. Maintenance of permitted roads/trails in line with the terms of the easement will be the responsibility of the landowner.

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition - **No**

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation? - **Yes**

Yes. Restoration and enhancement may be completed on some parcels, depending on the need and condition of each parcel. We have incorporated into the accomplishment plan budget funding for approximately 300 acres of restoration.

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program - **Yes**

Is the activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 - **Yes (WMA, WPA, Private lands)**

## Accomplishment Timeline:

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Site prioritization and targeted outreach	December 20 21
Conservation easement and fee-title acquisition completed	June 20 23
Restoration	June 20 25

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 10/31/2025

## Federal Funding:

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - **No**

## Outcomes:

### Programs in forest-prairie transition region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need *Large corridors and complexes of biologically diverse wildlife habitat, providing nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and SGCN will be restored and protected. Partners will work together to identify priority lands using existing data and public plans, and then coordinate protection, restoration and enhancement activities in those priority areas. Success within each priority area will be determined based on the percentage of area protected, restored, and/or enhanced.*

### Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

- Not Listed

### Programs in prairie region:

- Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for migratory and unique Minnesota species *Large corridors and complexes of biologically diverse wildlife habitat, providing nesting and migratory habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and Species in Greatest Conservation Need will be restored and protected. Partners will work together to identify priority lands using existing data and public plans, then coordinate protection, restoration and enhancement activities in those priority areas. Success within each priority area will be determined based on the percentage of area protected, restored and/or enhanced.*

# Budget Spreadsheet

*Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan*

## How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount

The partnership adjusted allocations, for the most part, based on the percentage received of the total request. To ensure that we are still performing quality work, we scaled down the number of projects accordingly. We also plan to leverage other funds sources, such as grants, when we are able to.

**Total Amount of Request: \$ 4580000**

### Budget and Cash Leverage

BudgetName	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$137,100	\$0		\$137,100
Contracts	\$833,000	\$0		\$833,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$1,273,000	\$40,200	PF, Private, Federal	\$1,313,200
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$725,800	\$30,000	PF, Private, Federal	\$755,800
Easement Acquisition	\$1,142,600	\$228,500	Landowner Donation	\$1,371,100
Easement Stewardship	\$192,000	\$0		\$192,000
Travel	\$8,900	\$0		\$8,900
Professional Services	\$165,500	\$0		\$165,500
Direct Support Services	\$35,400	\$0		\$35,400
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$26,800	\$0		\$26,800
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$5,000	\$0		\$5,000
Supplies/Materials	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	\$34,900	\$0		\$34,900
Total	\$4,580,000	\$298,700		\$4,878,700

### Personnel

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
MLT: MLT Protection Staff	0.37	3.00	\$105,000	\$0		\$105,000
PF: Protection Staff	0.05	9.00	\$17,100	\$0		\$17,100
Sauk River Watershed District	0.05	3.00	\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000
Total	0.47	15.00	\$137,100	\$0		\$137,100

### Budget and Cash Leverage by Partnership

BudgetName	Partnership	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$105,000	\$0		\$105,000
Contracts	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$48,000	\$0		\$48,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$1,142,600	\$228,500	Landowner Donation	\$1,371,100
Easement Stewardship	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$192,000	\$0		\$192,000
Travel	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$7,000	\$0		\$7,000
Professional Services	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$133,000	\$0		\$133,000
Direct Support Services	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$28,400	\$0		\$28,400
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$5,000	\$0		\$5,000
Supplies/Materials	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	MLT - Minnesota Land Trust	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total		\$1,661,000	\$228,500		\$1,889,500

**Personnel - MLT - Minnesota Land Trust**

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
MLT: MLT Protection Staff	0.37	3.00	\$105,000	\$0		\$105,000
Total	0.37	3.00	\$105,000	\$0		\$105,000

BudgetName	Partnership	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	Pheasants Forever	\$17,100	\$0		\$17,100
Contracts	Pheasants Forever	\$300,000	\$0		\$300,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	Pheasants Forever	\$1,273,000	\$40,200	PF, Private, Federal	\$1,313,200
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	Pheasants Forever	\$725,800	\$30,000	PF, Private, Federal	\$755,800
Easement Acquisition	Pheasants Forever	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	Pheasants Forever	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	Pheasants Forever	\$1,900	\$0		\$1,900
Professional Services	Pheasants Forever	\$32,500	\$0		\$32,500
Direct Support Services	Pheasants Forever	\$7,000	\$0		\$7,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	Pheasants Forever	\$26,800	\$0		\$26,800
Capital Equipment	Pheasants Forever	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	Pheasants Forever	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	Pheasants Forever	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	Pheasants Forever	\$34,900	\$0		\$34,900
Total		\$2,419,000	\$70,200		\$2,489,200

**Personnel - Pheasants Forever**

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
PF: Protection Staff	0.05	9.00	\$17,100	\$0		\$17,100
Total	0.05	9.00	\$17,100	\$0		\$17,100

BudgetName	Partnership	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	Sauk River Watershed District	\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000
Contracts	Sauk River Watershed District	\$485,000	\$0		\$485,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Professional Services	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	Sauk River Watershed District	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total		\$500,000	\$0		\$500,000

**Personnel - Sauk River Watershed District**

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Sauk River Watershed District	0.05	3.00	\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000
Total	0.05	3.00	\$15,000	\$0		\$15,000

Amount of Request: \$4,580,000

Amount of Leverage: \$298,700

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 6.52%



DSS + Personnel: \$172,500  
As a % of the total request: 3.77%

**How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program:**

In a process that was approved by the DNR on March 17th, 2017, the Minnesota Land Trust determined our direct support services rate to include all of the allowable direct and necessary expenditures

**What is included in the contacts line?**

MLT - Contracts with vendors for writing of habitat management plans.

SRWD - Contracts for R/E services.

PF - All of the contract funding will be used for restoration, enhancement and initial development of the protected acres which may include signs, building removal, wetland/grassland restoration, prescribed fire, etc.

**Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental? - Yes**

**Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging:**

Land Trust staff regularly rent vehicles for grant-related purposes, which is a significant cost savings over use of personal vehicles.

**Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:**

MLT - The Land Trust encourages landowners to donate the value of conservation easements. The leverage portion of easement acquisition line is a conservative estimate of value we expect to see donated to MLT.

PF - Expected from multiple sources such as federal, land value donations, contractor donations and PF.

**What is the cost per easement for stewardship and explain how that amount is calculated?**

The average cost per easement to fund the Minnesota Land Trust's perpetual monitoring and enforcement obligations is \$24,000. This figure is derived from MLT's detailed stewardship funding "cost analysis" which is consistent with Land Trust Accreditation standards. MLT shares periodic updates to this cost analysis with LSOHC staff.

## Output Tables

**Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	0	0	300	300
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	62	188	0	0	250
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	25	110	0	0	135
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	507	507
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	87	298	0	807	1,192

**Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?**

Type	Native Prairie
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	0

**Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$500,000	\$500,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$389,500	\$1,181,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,570,500
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$157,000	\$691,000	\$0	\$0	\$848,000
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,661,500	\$1,661,500
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$546,500	\$1,872,000	\$0	\$2,161,500	\$4,580,000

**Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	150	0	150	0	300
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	100	0	150	0	250
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	135	0	135
Protect in Easement	0	253	0	254	0	507
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	503	0	689	0	1,192

**Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$250,000	\$0	\$500,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$628,200	\$0	\$942,300	\$0	\$1,570,500
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$848,000	\$0	\$848,000
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$830,700	\$0	\$830,800	\$0	\$1,661,500
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$1,708,900	\$0	\$2,871,100	\$0	\$4,580,000

**Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1667
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$6282	\$6282	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$6280	\$6282	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3277
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest
Restore	\$0	\$1667	\$0	\$1667	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$6282	\$0	\$6282	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6281	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$3283	\$0	\$3271	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

*Automatic system calculation / not entered by managers*

**Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles**

0

## Parcel List

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

Douglas

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?
TBDWMA	12836220	150	\$0	No

Meeker

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?
TBDWMA	12131212	2	\$0	No

Pope

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?
TBDWMA	12536203	242	\$0	No

Todd

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?
TBDWMA	12835222	58	\$0	No

### Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

Stearns

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Partners WMA	12232203	40	\$180,000	No	Full	Not Applicable
TBDWMA	12331202	85	\$300,000	No	Full	Full
TBDWMA	12331205	170	\$600,000	No	Full	Full
TBDWMA	12331206	125	\$500,000	No	Full	Full
TBDWMA	12331235	80	\$400,000	No	Full	Full
TBDWMA	12636212	400	\$1,800,000	Yes	Full	Not Applicable
WPA/Zion	12332215	160	\$800,000	No	Full	Not Applicable

### Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

Stearns

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	# Bldgs?	Bldg Improve Desc	Value of Bldg	Disposition of Improvements
TBDWMA	12331219	300	\$1,200,000	13	2 old farm sites	\$40,000	

### Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

