Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2019 Accomplishment Plan

Date: October 16, 2018

Program or Project Title: Contract Management 2019

Funds Recommended: \$ 210,000

Manager's Name: Katherine Sherman-Hoehn

Title: OMBS Grants Manager Organization: MN DNR Address: 500 Lafayette Road City: Saint Paul, MN 55155 Office Number: 6512595533

Email: katherine.sherman-hoehn@state.mn.us

Legislative Citation: ML 2019, Ch. X, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd, X(x)

Appropriation Language:

County Locations: Not Listed

Eco regions in which work will take place:

• Metro / Urban

Activity types:

• Contract Management

Priority resources addressed by activity:

Not Listed

Abstract:

Continue and enhance contract management and customer service to OHF pass-through appropriation recipients for approximately 145 open grants. Ensure funds are expended in compliance with appropriation law, state statute, grants policies, and approved accomplishment plans.

Design and scope of work:

This appropriation will be used to continue and enhance contract management services to pass-through recipients of Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations to the Commissioner of Natural Resources. The goal of contract management is to ensure that grantees are properly reimbursed and that organizations operate in compliance with OHF pass-through appropriation procedures, policies from the Department of Administration's Grants Management, OHF statute, and the recommendations of the Legislative Auditor. Contract management includes: grant agreements and amendments, training, technical assistance, reporting, fiscal monitoring, reimbursement request processing, and close-out of grants.

The DNR is currently the administrative agent for this program. The DNR's Office of Management and Budget (OMBS) Grants Unit is applying to continue to provide contract management services to pass-through grant recipients. The OMBS Grants Unit's goal is to provide pass-through recipients with the contract management, technical assistance, and grant monitoring they need to successfully complete their conservation work. The Grants Unit provides grantees with one consistent point of contact for their agreements and delivers timely, responsive, customer service.

Contract management services are billed using a professional services rate. In FY19, 3.53 FTE will be dedicated to contract management.



The professional services hourly rate includes salary and fringe for grants management staff, supervisory time, travel costs, supplies, and allocated administrative costs including rent and printing as well as other related costs necessary to carry out the pass-through grant management program. Multiple staff with a variety of grants, financial or other responsibilities provide contract management services to OHF as well as the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund (ENRTF). The Grants Unit consults with Lands and Minerals and Fish and Wildlife staff as necessary on technical issues. Cost coding is used to record and differentiate time spent on ENRTF and OHF pass-through grant management. Services not received or provided will not be billed. The rate for FY19 is \$64.00/hr and is re-calculated annually. If the rate changes, LSOHC staff will be informed immediately.

How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:

N/A

Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:

N/A

Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this program:

Not Listed

Which other plans are addressed in this program:

Not Listed

Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program:

Metro / Urban:

Not Listed

Relationship to other funds:

Environmental and Natural Resource Trust Fund

Describe the relationship of the funds:

The OMBS Grants Unit also provides contract management services for pass-through recipients of ENRTF dollars appropriated to the Commissioner of Natural Resources.

Does this program include leverage in funds:

No

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Any state agency or organization requesting a direct appropriation from the OHF must inform the LSOHC at the time of the request for funding is made, whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose:

This request is for work related to Outdoor Heritage Fund appropriations. It would not be implemented but for the appropriation.

Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:

Not Listed

How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:

Not Listed

Activity Details:

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - Yes

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - No

Contract Management

Contract management services provided include:

- 1. Contract Management
- a. Prepare grant agreements and amendments.
- b. Develop and maintain policies and procedures related to contract management.
- c. Identify and implement process improvements to improve efficiency and ease for grantees while ensuring fiscal integrity.
- d. Maintain contract management documentation, including file management.
- 2. Training and Communications
- a. Communicate regularly, informally and formally, with LSOHC staff and grant recipients (including website updates and newsletter).
- b. Train recipients on state grant requirements, including reporting procedures, proper documentation of expenses, and the Department of Administration's grants management policies, to ensure grantees follow state law and grants management policies set forth by the state.
- c. Work with recipients to ensure grantees understand the state's reimbursement procedures and requirements.
- d. Provide ongoing technical assistance/guidance to recipients.
- e. Participate in grants governance committees and meetings.
- f. Consult with DNR division staff who are subject matter experts on land acquisition and technical areas as needed to ensure appropriate reimbursement and compliance with policies and procedures.
- 3. Reimbursement
- a. Review reimbursement requests to ensure payment requests include sufficient documentation and comply with state and session laws, the LSOHC approved accomplishment plan, and the Office of Grants Management (OGM) grants policies.
- b. Consult with grantees on documentation submitted.
- c. Arrange for prompt payment once grantee has submitted a completed reimbursement request and expenses have been determined eligible for reimbursement.
- 4. Fiscal and Close-out
- a. Encumber/Un-encumber Funds.
- b. Provide detailed accounting by pass-through appropriation for each grant recipient.
- c. Electronically transfer funds for land acquisition.
- d. Execute Use of Funds Agreements.
- e. Financial reconciliation.
- f. Financial reporting.
- g. Contract management reporting (fund balance/expenditures).
- h. Work with recipients to successfully close out grants.
- i. Work closely with and respond to requests from the Office of the Legislative Auditor.
- j. Monitor grants in compliance with OGM policy and relevant statutes.
- k. Provide/confirm information to assist with grantee audits.
- I. Process returned grant funds.
- m. Analyze and report on individual grantee and overall contract progress as appropriate.

Accomplishment Timeline:

| Activity | Approximate Date Completed |
|---|----------------------------|
| Pass-through grant agreements prepared and provided to recipients | July 2019 |
| Contract management for Pass-through grant recipients | June 2021 |
| Submit first biannual status report | January 2020 |
| submit second biannual status report | August 2020 |
| submit third biannual status report | January 2021 |
| submit final report | August 2021 |

Date of Final Report Submission: 8/31/2021

Federal Funding:

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - \mathbf{No}

Outcomes:

Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

• OHF funds will be spent appropriately and reimbursed expediently so that project work continues.

Budget Spreadsheet

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recoomendation from the original proposed requested amount

N/A

Total Amount of Request: \$ 210000

Budget and Cash Leverage

| Budget Name | LSOHC Request | Anticipated Leverage | Leverage Source | Total |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| Personnel | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Contracts | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Fee Acquisition w/ PILT | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Fee Acquisition w/o PILT | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Easement Acquisition | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Easement Stewardship | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Pro fessio nal Services | \$210,000 | \$0 | | \$210,000 |
| Direct Support Services | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| DNR Land Acquisition Costs | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Capital Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Other Equipment/Tools | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Supplies/Materials | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| DNR IDP | \$0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Total | \$210,000 | \$0 | | \$210,000 |

Amount of Request: \$210,000

Amount of Leverage: \$0

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 0.00%

DSS + Personnel: \$0

As a % of the total request: 0.00%

Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

Not Listed

Output Tables

Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

| Туре | Wetlands | Prairies | Forest | Habitats | Total |
|---|----------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protect in Easement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type

| Туре | Wetlands | Prairies | Forest | Habitats | Total |
|---|----------|----------|--------|----------|-------|
| Restore | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Easement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Enhance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

| Туре | Metro Urban | Fo rest Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N Forest | Total |
|---|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pro tect in Easement | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Tot | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section

| Туре | Metro Urban | Fo rest Prairie | SE Forest | Prairie | N Forest | Total |
|--|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|----------|-------|
| Restore | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pro tect in Easement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Enhance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type

| Туре | Wetlands | Prairies | Forest | Habitats |
|--|----------|----------|--------|----------|
| Restore | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Pro tect in Easement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Enhance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section

| Туре | Metro/Urban | Forest/Prairie | SEForest | Prairie | Northern Forest |
|--|-------------|----------------|----------|---------|-----------------|
| Restore | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Protect in Easement | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Enhance | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Automatic system calculation / not entered by managers

Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

0

Parcel List

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type restore or enhance.

Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type protect.


Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

Parcel Map



Page 9 of 9

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Comparison Report

Program Title: 2019 - Contract Management 2019

Organization: MN DNR

Manager: Katherine Sherman-Hoehn

Budget

Requested Amount: \$210,000 Appropriated Amount: \$210,000

Percentage: 100.00%

| | Total | Requested | Total App | ro priate d | Percentage | e of Request |
|----------------------------|---------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Budget Item | LSOHC Request | Anticipated Leverage | Appro priated Amo unt | Anticipated Leverage | Percentage of Request | Percentage of Leverage |
| Personnel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | - |
| Contracts | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Fee Acquisition w/ PILT | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | - |
| Fee Acquisition w/o PILT | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Easement Acquisition | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | - |
| Easement Stewardship | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Travel | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Pro fessional Services | \$210,000 | \$0 | \$210,000 | \$0 | 100.00% | |
| Direct Support Services | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| DNR Land Acquisition Costs | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Capital Equipment | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Other Equipment/Tools | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Supplies/Materials | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| DNR IDP | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | - | |
| Total | \$210,000 | \$0 | \$210,000 | \$0 | 100.00% | |

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

N/A

Output

Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

| Туре | Total Proposed | T o tal in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|---|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Easement | 0 | 0 | - |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | - |

Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type

| Туре | T o tal Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|---|------------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | |
| Pro tect in Easement | 0 | 0 | |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | |

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

| Туре | Total Proposed | T o tal in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|---|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Easement | 0 | 0 | - |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | - |

Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section

| Туре | Total Proposed | Total in AP | Percentage of Proposed |
|--|----------------|-------------|------------------------|
| Restore | 0 | 0 | - |
| Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability | 0 | 0 | - |
| Pro tect in Easement | 0 | 0 | - |
| Enhance | 0 | 0 | |