## Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2019 Accomplishment Plan

#### Date: October 15, 2018

Program or Project Title: Minnesota Forests for the Future Phase VII

#### Funds Recommended: \$4,573,000

Manager's Name: Gary Michael Title: Program Forester Organization: MN DNR Forestry Address: 500 Lafayette Road City: St. Paul, MN 55155 Office Number: 651-259-5262 Email: gary.michael@state.mn.us

#### Legislative Citation: ML 2019, Ch. X, Art. 1, Sec. 2, subd, X(x)

#### Appropriation Language:

County Locations: Becker, Crow Wing, Goodhue, Hubbard, Lake, Morrison, Wabasha, and Winona.

#### Eco regions in which work will take place:

- Northern Forest
- Southeast Forest

#### Activity types:

- Enhance
- Protect in Easement
- Protect in Fee

#### Priority resources addressed by activity:

• Forest

#### Abstract:

The Minnesota Forests for the Future Program will use \$4.573 million in the Northern and Southeast Forest sections to protect and enhance habitat. In the Northern Forest, we propose to protect 1,500 acres with permanent conservation easements and 400 acres in fee title acquisition, and to enhance 100 acres. In the Southeast Forest, we propose to add 100 acres to the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Hardwood State Forest and enhance 300 acres of existing state forest land. Phase 7 builds on past land protection work and focuses on permanently protecting working forests threatened by conversion to non-forest uses.

#### Design and scope of work:

The work proposed in Minnesota Forests for the Future Phase VII targets three forested regions of the State, defined by ecological subsection: the North Shore Highlands, the Blufflands, and the Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains. Large blocks of forest in these subsections are threatened by conversion and parcelization. Projects in these areas will protect critical forests from conversion and maintain private working forests. These projects will achieve multiple benefits in protecting large blocks of forest such as conserving critical habitat and maintaining forested land cover that is essential for water quality and quantity.

The North Shore Highlands Subsection consists of a mosaic of forest habitat, with gently rolling hills to steep cliffs. Much of this landscape is aspen-birch and opportunities exist to increase the amount of white and red pine on the landscape. This subsection has high development pressure, and is a hot spot for tourism. The Rajala Woods Moose Creek Project, located in the North Shore



Highlands, will protect over 1,200 acres of northern forest with a permanent working forest conservation easement that includes public access. A conservation easement on the Moose Creek tract will permanently protect the forests of the headwaters of the Manitou River, which is vital to maintaining water quality and temperature, as the source of water for trout streams on the north shore is surface runoff. The Rajala Woods Moose Creek Project also includes reestablishing long-lived conifers (enhancing 100 acres of northern forest habitat).

The Blufflands Subsection is a unique landscape of hardwood forests, rock outcrops, bluff prairies, and deep valleys. This landscape hosts the largest number of Species in Greatest Conservation Need in our state. The Blufflands provide a critical migratory corridor for forest songbirds, raptors, and waterfowl. Enhancing state forest land by promoting hard mast producing trees in the Richard J. Dorer Hardwood Memorial Forest will benefit many species by providing browse, nest and den sites, and, long-term sources of food. The work proposed will especially benefit oak, as acorns are one of the most important food items in the diets of a wide variety of game animals, such as white-tailed deer, squirrels, raccoons, foxes, wild turkeys, and wood ducks (300 acres enhanced). Protecting large blocks of working forest habitat in this landscape is a priority, as forest tracts are being parcelized and developed as rural homesteads (100 acres state forest acquisition).

The Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains Subsection is a landscape of rich habitat with hundreds of lakes, sections of the Mississippi River, and kettle lakes and wetlands that are common on the outwash plains. This landscape is popular for tourism, much of it focused on forest or lake-based activities. The number of year-round residents is increasing, as well as the number of second homes. This has created significant pressure on state and county managed forest lands, as private forest is parcelized or developed. The acquisition of state forest inholdings will ensure large blocks of forest stay intact (400 acres state forest acquisition).

# How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:

Targeted habitats of our proposal include: upland conifer forests, upland hardwood forests, non-forested (shrub) wetlands, open peatlands, and stream and lake shoreline. Protection of these forest lands and associated habitats will provide critical habitat for game species including upland birds (turkey, woodcock, ruffed grouse), white-tail deer, moose, black bear, and waterfowl, as well as songbirds and other non-game wildlife species.

In the North Shore Highlands, Moose Creek is considered a key river reach for Species in Greatest Conservation Need. In the Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains ecological subsection, wildlife using this habitat include bald eagles, red-shouldered hawks, gray wolves, sandhill cranes, common loons, and eastern hognose snakes. The Badoura State Forest and Two Inlets State Forest are identified as important areas for Species in Greatest Conservation Need in this ecological subsection. In the Blufflands Subsection, the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Hardwood State Forest is a unique forest biome of rolling hills, goat prairies, and river bottom forest. These lands provide critical habitat to as many as 156 Species in Greatest Conservation Need, including timber rattlesnake, red-shouldered hawks, peregrine falcons, and Blanding's turtles.

#### Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:

Minnesota Forests for the Future Program uses GIS analysis to expand protection of large habitat blocks and corridors. The tracts of forest land targeted for protection with this program have some, if not all, the following traits: are currently unprotected inholdings; large tracts that have been identified by the Minnesota County Biological Survey (including identified sites of high quality biodiversity significance); corridors and habitat identified by the State Wildlife Action Plan as significant; and presence of rare species and Species in Conservation Need or the habitats that support those species; adjacent to protected land in order to build complexes and improve management. In addition, we consult with local and regional watershed and landscape planning efforts such as The Nature Conservancy's Multiple Benefit Analysis, Camp Ripley Area Landscape Stewardship Plan, the Sentinel Landscape Plan, the Southeast Minnesota Landscape Plan, the Northeast Forest Landscape Resources Plan.

## Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this program:

- LU8 Protect large blocks of forest land
- LU10 Support and expand sustainable practices on working forested lands

#### Which other plans are addressed in this program:

- Minnesota's Wildlife Action Plan 2015-2025
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

#### Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program:

#### Northern Forest:

• Provide access to manage habitat on landlocked public properties or protect forest land from parcelization and fragmentation through fee acquisition, conservation or access easement

#### Southeast Forest:

• Protect, enhance, and restore habitat for fish, game, and nongame wildlife in rivers, cold-water streams, and associated upland habitat

#### Relationship to other funds:

• Not Listed

#### Does this program include leverage in funds:

#### Yes

The Nature Conservancy has provided matching funds for Minnesota Forests for the Future protection work in the Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains ecological subsection due to it's high vulnerability to fragmentation and development.

Per MS 97A.056, Subd. 24, Any state agency or organization requesting a direct appropriation from the OHF must inform the LSOHC at the time of the request for funding is made, whether the request is supplanting or is a substitution for any previous funding that was not from a legacy fund and was used for the same purpose:

This request would fund new projects that would not be implemented but for the appropriation.

#### Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:

Appropriation Year	Source	Amount
2012	The Nature Conservancy	\$1,437,900
2012	Reinvest in Minnesota	\$229,100
2012	State Bonding - Minnesota Forests for the Future	\$231,551
2012	State Bonding - Reforestation	\$80,320
2013	State Bonding - Reforestation	\$187,687
2014	State Bonding - Reforestation	\$64,827
2014	Wild Turkey Federation, Minnesota Deer Hunters	\$10,000
2015	Wild Turkey Federation, Minnesota Deer Hunters	\$10,000
2016	Wild Turkey Federation, Minnesota Deer Hunters	\$10,000

#### How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

All land protected through permanent conservation easements will be sustained through set guidelines for conservation easement stewardship that have been developed and implemented for more than 10 years through the Minnesota Forests for the Future Program. Easement stewardship incorporates annual landowner meetings, annual on-site monitoring of all properties, records management, responding to landowner inquiries, tracking ownership changes, and addressing and resolving easement violations. Funding for easement stewardship is included in this proposal.

Fee lands acquired will become part of the Minnesota State Forest system. In-holdings of state forest and county land have been targeted for fee acquisition. Acquisition of these lands will increase management efficiency, habitat management, and public recreation opportunities though consolidation. Funding for state forest management comes from the Forest Management Investment Account.

Forested tracts that have supplemental planting will be monitored with regular regeneration surveys at year 1, 5 and 10. Additional treatments may be included to ensure mast bearing trees are successful and include crop tree release, direct seeding, or other silvicultural treatments as needed. Routine forest management including access trail maintenance, forest inventory, and regeneration checks are funded through the Natural Resource Fund.

#### Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2023-on going	Natural Resource Fund (FMIA)		Monitor public use and address issues that may arise	
annually/perpetually	OHF - Easement Stewardship Account	contact and on-site		

#### **Activity Details:**

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - Yes

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - No

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition - No

Local government approval is not currently required for such acquisitions, however it is the policy of the DNR to notify county boards of all acquisitions prior to closing for their information and to solicit comments and concerns. In the case of the Hubbard County parcels included in our proposal, the county board was supportive of these parcels becoming managed State Forest land or working forest conservation easement.

Is the land you plan to acquire (fee title) free of any other permanent protection - Yes

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing - No

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion - Yes

All fee land acquisitions will be managed by the Division of Forestry consistent with State Forest policies and will be open for hunting and fishing following completion. The Rajala Woods Moose Creek Project will be a working forest conservation easement with public access, including access to the state designated trout streams and tributaries: Moose Creek, Manitou River, and Nine Mile Creek. Other applicants to the Minnesota Forests for the Future Program are private forest landowners seeking to protect their land with working forest conservation easements and are not interested in providing public access.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

#### State of MN

Land acquired in fee will be designated as a:

#### **State Forest**

What is the anticipated number of closed acquisitions (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

#### 5-10

Will the eased land be open for public use - Yes

The Rajala Woods Moose Creek Project will be a working forest conservation easement with public access, including access to the state designated trout streams Moose Creek, Manitou River, and Nine Mile Creek. Other applicants to the Minnesota Forests for the Future Program are private forest landowners seeking to protect their land with working forest conservation easements and are not interested in providing public access.

Is the land you plan to acquire (easement) free of any other permanent protection - Yes

Who will manage the easement?

#### Minnesota DNR, Division of Forestry

Who will be the easement holder?

Minnesota DNR, Division of Forestry

What is the anticipated number of easements (range is fine) you plan to accomplish with this appropriation?

4-8

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the acquisitions on the parcel list - Yes

Describe the types of trails or roads and the allowable uses:

Forest access roads and trails have been developed to aid in forest management practices. These roads will be maintained to provide ongoing access for forestry, fisheries, and wildlife management activities on the properties. On fee lands, roads and trails will provide both public recreational access to state forest lands and access for management purposes.

Will the trails or roads remain and uses continue to be allowed after OHF acquisition - Yes

How will maintenance and monitoring be accomplished:

Maintenance of forest access roads and trails will be the responsibility of the landowner, either private landowners or the State Forest administrator. Monitoring of roads and trails will be conducted as part of the annual easement monitoring of the eased properties. The DNR has a monitoring and reporting plan in place the involves the Divisions of Forestry, Parks and Trails, and Enforcement. This includes annual spring (and other wet season) closures, reporting issues, and enforcement actions when necessary.

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition - No

Will the acquired parcels be restored or enhanced within this appropriation? - Yes

Rajala Woods Easement will be enhanced.

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program - Yes

Is the activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 - Yes (State Forests, Minnesota Forests for the Future conservation easement land)

#### Accomplishment Timeline:

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Acquire working forest conservation easements	June 30, 2022
Develop conservation easement monitoring plans, forest stewardship plans, dedicate easement stewardship	June 30, 2022
Acquire new state forest land	June 30, 2022
Enhance sites to increase benefits to game and non-game species including promoting long-lived conifers and mast producing hardwoods	June 30, 2022

#### Date of Final Report Submission: 11/1/2022

#### **Federal Funding:**

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - No

#### **Outcomes:**

#### Programs in the northern forest region:

• Forestlands are protected from development and fragmentation This project will permanently protect 1,900 acres (1500 through easement, 400 fee title) of forest and riparian areas including 0.75 miles of Little Toad Lake lakeshore (Becker Co.), trout stream including over 2 miles of Moose Creek (Lake Co) and over 1 mile of Toad Creek. All forest parcels included in this proposal are at high risk from conversion from working forests. 400 acres of State Forest will be added, so that large blocks of working forests are maintained and in-holdings are reduced, creating public access opportunities and improving the ability to implement landscape level forest management.

#### Programs in southeast forest region:

• Healthier populations of endangered, threatened, and special concern species as well as more common species Southeast forests will be enhanced to provide biologically diverse wildlife habitat for desirable game species and endangered, threatened, special concern species, and species of greatest conservation need. This will provide multiple conservation benefits in the face of climate change, invasive species, and other major stressors. Approximately 100 acres will be added to the Richard J. Dorer Memorial Hardwood Forest. Reforestation enhancement activities will be assessed. Forest composition will be inventoried. Wildlife populations will be monitored.

## **Budget Spreadsheet**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

## How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recoomendation from the original proposed requested amount

The fee title in southeast Minnesota will be reduced by 700 acres, easements in the northern portion of the state will be reduces by 50% and 200 acres of enhancement work in the southeast will be eliminated.

#### Total Amount of Request: \$4573000

#### Budget and Cash Leverage

BudgetName	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$150,000	\$0		\$150,000
Contracts	\$400,000	\$0		\$400,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$1,145,400	\$80,000	The Nature Conservancy	\$1,225,400
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$2,497,000	\$225,000	The Nature Conservancy	\$2,722,000
Easement Stewardship	\$150,000	\$0		\$150,000
Travel	\$13,000	\$0		\$13,000
Pro fessio nal Services	\$180,000	\$0		\$180,000
Direct Support Services	\$36,600	\$0		\$36,600
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$1,000	\$0		\$1,000
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total	\$4,573,000	\$305,000		\$4,878,000

#### Personnel

Position	FTE	Over#ofyears	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	T o tal
Forest Legacy Coordinator	0.25	3.00	\$75,000	\$0		\$75,000
Forest Legacy-Outreach Field Staff	0.25	3.00	\$75,000	\$0		\$75,000
Total	0.50	6.00	\$150,000	\$0		\$150,000

Amount of Request:	\$4,573,000
Amount of Leverage:	\$305,000
Leverage as a percent of the Request:	6.67%
DSS + Personnel:	\$186,600
As a % of the total request:	4.08%

How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program:

DNR Direct and Necessary Cost Calculator - subtractive model

#### What is included in the contacts line?

The total amount is for enhancement work.

#### Does the amount in the travel line include equipment/vehicle rental? - No

#### Explain the amount in the travel line outside of traditional travel costs of mileage, food, and lodging:

N/A

#### Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:

The Nature Conservancy has provided matching funding of up to 10% of the appropriated amount for land protection work in the Pine Moraines and Outwash Plains ecological subsection due to it's high vulnerability to fragmentation and development. DNR and TNC have

a finalized grant agreement.

#### What is the cost per easement for stewardship and explain how that amount is calculated?

DNR easement stewardship calculator with and average of \$20,000 - \$25,000 per easement and 4-8 easements expected.

## **Output Tables**

#### Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	500	0	500
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	1,500	0	1,500
Enhance	0	0	400	0	400
Total	0	0	2,400	0	2,400

#### Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	T o ta l
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$1,250,000	\$0	\$1,250,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$2,913,000	\$0	\$2,913,000
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$410,000	\$0	\$410,000
Total	\$0	\$0	\$4,573,000	\$0	\$4,573,000

#### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	100	0	400	500
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	1,500	1,500
Enhance	0	0	300	0	100	400
Total	0	0	400	0	2,000	2,400

#### Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	<b>SE Forest</b>	Prairie	N Forest	T o ta l
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$0	\$900,000	\$1,250,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2,913,000	\$2,913,000
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$310,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$410,000
Total	\$0	\$0	\$660,000	\$0	\$3,913,000	\$4,573,000

#### Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$2500	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$1942	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$1025	\$0

#### Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section

Туре	Metro /Urban	Forest/Prairie	SEForest	Prairie	Northern Forest
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$3500	\$0	\$2250
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1942
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$1033	\$0	\$1000

Automatic system calculation / not entered by managers

#### Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

0

## **Parcel List**

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

#### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

#### Goodhue

Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
RJD State Forest Hay Creek	11215212	100	\$0	Yes
Lake		· · · · ·		•
Name	TRDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
Rajala Woods Moose Creek	05806203	100	\$0	Yes
Wabasha				
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
RJD State Forest Trout Valley	10809204	75	\$0	Yes
RJD State Forest Zumbro Bottoms	11011215	75	\$0	Yes
Winona		•		- -
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?
RJD State Forest Bronk Tract	10708213	100	\$0	Yes

#### Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

Becker						
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Little Toad Lake CE 1	13939225	220	\$0	No	No	No
Little Toad Lake CE 2	13939225	420	\$0	No	No	No
Two Inlets State Forest	14136227	35	\$0	No	Full	Full
Crow Wing						
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
South Long Lake CE	04430224	200	\$0	No	No	No
Hubbard						
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Badoura State Forest 1	14032225	160	\$0	No	Full	Not Applicable
Badoura State Forest 2	14032224	200	\$0	No	Full	Not Applicable
Lake	-			•		
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Rajala Woods Moose Creek	05806203	1,292	\$0	No	Full	Full
Morrison	-					
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Morrison CE 1	13331233	360	\$0	No	No	No
Morrison CE 2	04230232	400	\$0	No	No	No
Morrison CE 3	04230232	150	\$0	No	No	No
Morrison CE 4	04230232	150	\$0	No	No	No
Morrison CE 5	04230232	70	\$0	No	No	No
Winona						
Name	T RDS	Acres	EstCost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
RJD State Forest 1	10506231	800	\$0	No	Full	Not Applicable

#### Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

## Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

## **Parcel Map**



Data Generated From Parcel List

## Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Comparison Report

**Program Title:** 2019 - Minnesota Forests for the Future Phase VII **Organization:** MN DNR Forestry **Manager:** Gary Michael

## **Budget**

Requested Amount: \$10,000,000 Appropriated Amount: \$4,573,000 Percentage: 45.73%

	T o ta	Requested	T o tal Appropriated		Percentage of Request	
BudgetItem	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Appropriated Amount	Anticipated Leverage	Percentage of Request	Percentage of Leverage
Personnel	\$320,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	46.88%	-
Contracts	\$600,000	\$0	\$400,000	\$0	66.67%	-
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$3,500,000	\$200,000	\$1,145,400	\$80,000	32.73%	40.00%
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Easement Acquisition	\$4,481,000	\$550,000	\$2,497,000	\$225,000	55.72%	40.91%
Easement Stewardship	\$720,000	\$0	\$150,000	\$0	20.83%	-
Travel	\$20,000	\$0	\$13,000	\$0	65.00%	-
Professional Services	\$320,000	\$0	\$180,000	\$0	56.25%	-
Direct Support Services	\$35,000	\$0	\$36,600	\$0	104.57%	-
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Supplies/Materials	\$4,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$0	25.00%	-
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Total	\$10,000,000	\$750,000	\$4,573,000	\$305,000	45.73%	40.67%

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

The fee title in southeast Minnesota will be reduced by 700 acres, easements in the northern portion of the state will be reduces by 50% and 200 acres of enhancement work in the southeast will be eliminated.

## Output

#### Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	T o tal Proposed	T o tal in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	1,200	500	41.67%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	3,000	1,500	50.00%
Enhance	600	400	66.67%

#### Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type

Туре	T o tal Pro po sed	T o tal in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	3,800,000	1,250,000	32.89%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	5,600,000	2,913,000	52.02%
Enhance	600,000	410,000	68.33%

#### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	T o tal Proposed	T o tal in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	1,200	500	41.67%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	3,000	1,500	50.00%
Enhance	600	400	66.67%

#### Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	T o tal Proposed	T o tal in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	3,800,000	1,250,000	32.89%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	5,600,000	2,913,000	52.02%
Enhance	600,000	410,000	68.33%