ID#	Program Title	Julie Blackburn	Rep. Dan Fabian	David Hartwell	Jane Kingston	Denny McNamara	Barry Tilley
D.4.01		Should the 2002 WMA Acquisition Citizens's Committee Report be updated at some point?	prefer enhancement over acquistion	parking area development? 1000 acres is maximum given price minimum is \$5000/acre. What is realisitic acreage goal? What is the breakout of contracts and professional services - ie - what are services and what is overhead? Where does RIM match go? Native prairie is just 13% of the goal? personnel not scaleable even if work declines? % of acquisition work on native prairie?			
P A 01	DNR WMA and SNA Acquisition - Phase X		no local approval being sought - prefer	Why Big Woods as part of this proposal? Parking lots are not "wildlife habitat."			
P A 02	Accelerating the Wildlife Management Area Program - Phase X		enhancement over acquistion. Predator control?	Metro planning regions? % of acquisition work on native prairie?			
D.1.00			No local pproval being sought - prefer enhancement over mnquistion. Turned over to Feds.	Work in 27 counties for 1000 acres of protectoin or enhancement/restoration? Does not seem very focused. Why not either hold or transfer strategy for entire project? % of acquisition work on native prairie? Why is Big Woods part of this proposal? How will you sustain this work if future grants from LSOHC are not granted or when the Legacy amendment expires? And why would you need this if you are getting 20% outside donations and putting it into a dedicated stewardship account that "guarentees" your ability to maintain your properties? Seems like 2 proposals. one acquisition and one enhancemnt/restoration.		If SNA should follow management plan of WMA	
P A 03	MN Prairie Recovery Project - Phase VIII Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge - Phase		No local approval.	Does USFW not have staff to do thi sproject without TNC added staff cost? Why big woods forests in this proposal? This proposal to protect land is at a lower cost per acre than other proposals - why?			
P A 04	Normeri Tangrass Prairie National Wildine Refuge - Phase IX				All parcels repeaters from last 2 years' appropriations		A listed 11'
P A 05	Cannon River Watershed Habitat Complex - Phase VIII		no local approval	, , ,	except 7.		Acres listed on parcel list exceed 370.
11103	Camon Rec Waterstee Faterial Complex Transe vin			Personal costs seem a bit high. How many transactions - or how many average acres per transaction? What % of professional services is restoration?	Why 4-year term?		
P A 06	Accelerated Native Prairie Bank Protection - Phase VII						
				Tiling under easements? What is the total paid (CRP term easements & RIM permanent easements) in % of the fair market value of the property (fee ownership)? Is the federal match new dollars or old CRP dollars (expiring CRP)? Bonding dollars? Or is the entire \$150m the state committed to susposed to come from CWF/OHC funding? Cash Match with federal fund of \$33M???? Did the ETF not fund the SWDC part of CREP? if so, why is that in this budget? if USDA committed \$350M and the State \$150M, then a 4:1 or 5:1 match does not make sense.	368 easements accomplished in what time frame (3 years? 5 years?)		
P A 07	RIM Buffers for Wildlife and Water - Phase VIII Prairie Chicken Habitat Partnership of the Southern Red		No local approval. Predator control?	Since the goal of this proposal is 320 acre minimum of solid grasslands to support Prairie Chickens, how can it also then support crops and do what is intended (WMA food plots)? Budget for contracted services includes parking lots which are not wildlife habitat.			
P A 08	River Valley - Phase IV		Work with Reps of local gov't? No local		High \$/ac. Parcels not prioritized, parcel costs not		
P A 09	Martin County DNR WMA Acquisition - Phase II	Educational programming should not be	approval.		provided.		
P A 10	Protect and Restore MN IBAs within the Tallgrass Aspen Parklands	funded by LSOHFunds		COI			
		Seems like a large project area. Might it be more effective to focus on a smaller geographic area?	like "working lands easement" idea.	Why limited food plots on grassland easements? Seems like a high price for an easement on marginal farmland. Cost per acre for easement compared to FMV as a %? What protection will there be from overgrazing?	"Northern Forest" ?		
P A 11	Grassland Conservation Partnership - Phase III		like "working lands conservation easement" -	what keeps grazing out of wetlands? Cost per acre for easement compared to FMV			
			predator control?	as a %? Explain Federal Easement Payments that are referanced in the proposal. What protection will there be from overgrazing? OHF dollars should not be used to pay for property with protection already in place - explain how that will not happen. is federal match solid or scaleable if less than full funding is received? confusion on who is holding the easements in the long term and the role of USFWS. No stewardship funds are included but it appears that DU will hold some easements - please explain how that works?			
P A 12	Accelerating the USFWS Habitat Conservation Easement Program - Phase I						

ID#	Program Title	Julie Blackburn	Rep. Dan Fabian	David Hartwell	Jane Kingston	Denny McNamara	Barry Tilley
			deer habitat?	5 years of funding from one year of spending seems out of balance. It would be very helpful to see a history of funding for roving crews and the years they cover. No mention of the time for the funding for USFWS burning. Not sure how increases in tiling on private land relate to the proposal to manage state lands as a justification for urgency. Direct support services seems verey high at \$600K - a calculator might			
				work for a small project but actual calculation would be more accurate for this type of project. what is more effective per acre - roving crews or contracted services.			
P RE 01	DNR Grassland Enhancement - Phase X			\$3M for supplies - that is one whale of a lot of seed when the primary project is managing woody vegitation. Not sure where the USFWS comes to play in the budget.			
P RE 02	Enhanced Public Land – Grasslands - Phase III			How is this different than the DNR proposal to do the same thing? Is 5 years funding really needed - all from one grant cycle?			
	Laurentian Forest - St. Louis County Habitat Project - Phase		TCF definition of "local gov't approval sought	" I assume St. Louis County will sell timber from these parcels. Where will those timber sale dollars go and how will they further conservation? New trails for ATV use is not protecting wildlife habitat.	What new trails? Non-severed minerals rights ONLY and not with mineral potential. Will equal acreage be sold?		Why new trails/roads?
FA02	П			Since this is a proposal to buffer Camp Ripley, what assurance do we have of the camp's permanance or if it is repurposed, that it will not be sold for development rendering the investment in buffer not of value? Drining water from acquifers - how is that a wildlife habitat issue? Do we need to revisit the defination of roads and trails since this proposal clearly states that without that, they can offer no assureance of the protection of wildlife habitat from roads or trails. DSS fro TCF of \$19K on salary of \$30k seems high. Budget shows \$412K of leverage but leverage description says no leverage.	\$\$\$ and NO access		Why do easment acres cost more than aquistion acres?
F A 03	Camp Ripley Sentinel Landscape ACUB Protection Program - Phase VII						
		What is the weighting of the individual ranking criteria?	no local approval	Eased or fee open to hunting and fishing after completion? Explain the MLT contract costs which seem high - what % is restoration?.	Mammalian & avian indicators not provided. Are contracts with TPL only?		Why no permanate protection for Morrison Co. lands?
F A 04	Southeast Minnesota Protection and Restoration - Phase VI		no local approval		Provide ranking/scoring process worksheet. Annual		
F A 05	Minnesota Forests for the Future Phase - VI				monitoring? Are all parcels new, not carryover from last year?		
F A 06	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands in Cass County - Phase VIII			provide access to existing properties does not meet my defination of protect for wildlife habitat - preventing fragmentation does.	Why 8-year Land Commissioner term? Provide classification process and mgmt of tax-forfeit lands.		
F A 07	State Forest Acquisition, Richard J. Dorer Memorial Forest - Phase V		no local approval		EXCLUDE initial boundary surveys & signage, well/septic closure, building & dump disposal - NOT ELIGIBLE.		
					High \$/ac. Parcel costs missing. ALL but 3 parcels are repeaters from past appropriations. Evaluation/ranking prioritization not provided. Any severed minerals or mineral potential?		
FA 08	Critical Shoreland Habitat Program - Phase V Improving Forest Wildlife Habitat and Forest Health through			How does jack pine management help avoid forest converstion to agriculture? If Mixed hardwood results in younger forests, why are all the oaks old? Is this proposal advocating cutting all the ash now and replanting oak so deal ash forests are not converted to agriculture? I am not connecting the dots on management and avoiding fragmentation.	EXCLUDE EAB control. What is expected term of effectiveness?		
F RE 01	Increased Species and Structural Diversity			Does moose use lead to more survivability? Or, will this make a difference? Or, is			Very low admin costs.
				habitat loss the cause of the moose population decline? Seems like the results are somewhat speculative in nature.			very low admini costs.
F RE 02	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative - Phase III			Seems like the same as the Moose project. Direct Support Services at \$639K and personnel at \$799 vs Moose Project of \$0 DSS and \$65 of personnel. 14,000 Acres vs Moose project of 30,000 acres for the same money.	Explain administrative costs & science-based prioritization process.		Very high admin costs.
F RE 03	Northern Minnesota Forest Recovery Project		no local control. Predator control?				Number of acres left out of
W A 01	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program - Phase X			Confused by DU purchasing and helding this arranged 1 of 1 of 1 of 1 of 1			outcomes.
			No local approval. Predator control?	Confused by DU purchasing and holding this property but also transferring to DNR. Discussion needed on acquiring land with easements already in place. July 2020 is a long time to wait for notification of matching funds.			
W A 02	Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection & Restoration Program Phase VII	1					

ID#	Program Title	Julie Blackburn	Rep. Dan Fabian	David Hartwell	Jane Kingston	Denny McNamara	Barry Tilley
				does this need to be over 5 years? What is the total paid (CRP term easements & RIM permanent easements) in % of the fair market value of the property (fee ownership)? Is the federal match new dollars or old CRP dollars (expiring CRP)? Bonding dollars? Or is the entire \$150m the state committed to susposed to come from CWF/OHC funding? Cash Match with federal fund of \$33M???? Did the ETF not fund the SWDC part of CREP? if so, why is that in this budget?	Which counties?		Why will there be new roads/trails?
W A 03	RIM Wetlands - Phase IX						
W A 04		What is the weighting of the individual ranking criteria?		who will actually do the restoration work? What level of restorartion will it be to?			High admin.
				can we get a schedule of roving crews, year funded and for how long? Why the need for 4 years of roving crews from one year of funding? What would small wetland mgt be that is different than shallow lakes and why would specialists be needed for them alone? what is the amount of acres to be worked by roving crews vs addressing wetland infrastructure?	contracting? Shakopee Fish Barrier where?		What are datamloggers (page 2)? High admin.
W RE 01		Please explain the capital equipment purchase of the portable diesel pump for		What work to restore 100 acres of prairie wetlands?			
W RE 02		\$120K					
H A 01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Restoration and Enhancement			When does funding for existing staff run out? What is the \$750K in enhancment work? If it burning and invasive species control, is it to be done on permanently protected lands? How do mussels move upstream? Seems heavy on personnel and perhaps supplanting.	Dozens of repeat parcels from prior appropriation		High admin. High per acre cost.
IIAUI	•	What is the weighting of the individual			Exclude PARKS. Carver County MVT parcels covered in		
H A 02	Metro Big Rivers - Phase VIII	ranking criteria?			previous phases.		
H A 03		Why does habitat value have so few points on the ranking form?		administration)personnel) must be an error - 3 years of 1 FTE does not equel \$20,000 (at least in the US). How many easements will be processed over the 3 years and will it require a .3 person for the entire time?	All but 4 identical parcels from previous appropriations. What is the cost per transaction?		High per acre acquistion cost.
HAUS			No local approval	No FTE for MLT staff but budget of \$162k?	All parcels included in previous appropriation except Hubbard County. Provide prioritization/selection process worksheet. No acres indicated, or parcel costs. Leech Lake much too expensive.		
H A 04	Fisheries Habitat Protection on Strategic North Central Minnesota Lakes - Phase IIII						
H A 05	DNR Trout Stream Conservation Easements			What does this easement program protect that is beyond what is protected by statute? Explain professional services item.	Any overlap with TU proposal? Why 0 Personnel cost?		Very low admin. High easement cost.
	Metro Wildlife Management Areas			How would these acquisitions beneift the local tax base and why would that be of interest to the OHF?			Low admin.
				"self-funded highest quality natural areas" - if they are self funded, why are you seeing OHC restoration dollars? If partical funding, what would the match be?	Exclude PARKS. This should be Parks & Trails proposal. Cannon River already has program in place - overlap?		Park land?
H A 07	Dakota County Habitat ProtectionRestoration - Phase VI Hennepin County Habitat Conservation Program - Phase I				What is ownership of 6000 acres? Are they included in MN County Biological Survey?		
	Minnesota Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat			timeline does not seem to be accurate.	Prioritization/selection worksheet?		High per acre enhancement cost.
	Enhancement and Restoration - Phase X Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership (Phase IV)- Upper Pool 9 Backwater Enhancement and Floodplain Forest Restoration			If it is a priority for the Army Corps, why are they not paying to do it? What happens to the material deposited on top of the reed canary grass when there is a flood?	Very high \$/ac and very small footprint. Why 0 Personnel cost? Unless upstream land use improves, this is temporary, not permanent. What is expected term of effectiveness?		
							High per acre restoration cost.
H RE 03		Monitoring costs should not come from LSOHFunds		Proposed fiscal agent does not appear to have any experience in this arena. Can proposed fiscal agent work with reimbursed dollars? \$360K to supervise		Who do work? What is the competitive bid process?	
H RE 04	Knife River Habitat Rehabilitation - Phase III			conttractors seems high. So does the \$360 DSS.	Personnel \$\$\$ excessive.		
H RE 05	Shell Rock River Watershed Habitat Restoration Program - Phase VII				How many years of requests/proposals remain?		Why no hunting and fishing on one parcel?

ID#	Program Title	Julie Blackburn	Rep. Dan Fabian	David Hartwell	Jane Kingston	Denny McNamara	Barry Tilley
					CofE DAM project, PARKS & Trails, & Clean Water	Possible CPL project	
					project, NOT habitat. What is cost of fish barrier only?		
					How will it limit zebra mussel spread? Also CPL eligible. No work OTG.		
					No work OTG.		
H RE 06	Lake George Dam and Rum River Erosion						
		What will be the average buffer width on the		What about the water quality going into the proposed restored area. Will it support	What is total program cost, duration, phases, &		Why do so many parcels not have
		restored channel?		wildlife and fish? Will this create the block of 320 acres needed by Prairie	breakdown? Why not Clean Water proposal? Low wildlife		protection?
				Chickens? Funds would not be available until July 2018 if this were funded to the	value.		
				completion dates are wrong.			
H RE 07	Buffalo River Watershed Stream Habitat Program – Phase I						
	Two Rivers Fish Passage Restoration and Habitat						Why no protection on parcels?
H RE 08	Enhancement						
		Aeration is not a permanent activity; it's an		seems to be control vs eradication of carp and once funding ends, would carp return			
		annual practice		Is leverage of staff positions real?	mitigated first. Clean Water project. Where would fish		
					barriers be located? Restoration would be preferable.		
H RE 09	Six Mile Creek-Halsted Bay Habitat Restoration - Phase I						
				is work to be done on permanently protected land?	Unconstitutional - INELIGIBLE. Not permanent, not	Proposal lacks specifics, need to hear more	
					protection, not restoration/enhancement, not on		
					permanently protected land. OHF not for monitoring,		
					outreach, education, training.		
H RE 10	Slow the Spread of the Emerald Ash Borer						
	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program - Phase X:			Criteria does not fit all that well for a regrant program.			
CPL	Statewide and Metro Habitat						
O1	Contract Management 2018			Fund			
O2	Restoration Evaluations			Fund			