

# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council

## Laws of Minnesota 2017 Accomplishment Plan



**Date:** October 10, 2016

**Program or Project Title:** Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI

**Funds Recommended:** \$ 5,750,000

**Manager's Name:** Jon Schneider  
**Title:** Manager Minnesota Conservation Program  
**Organization:** Ducks Unlimited  
**Address:** 311 East Lake Geneva Road  
**City:** Alexandria, MN 56308  
**Office Number:** 3207629916  
**Mobile Number:** 3208150327  
**Fax Number:** 3207591567  
**Email:** jschneider@ducks.org  
**Website:** www.ducks.org

**Legislative Citation:** ML 2017, Ch. X, Art. X, Sec. X

**Appropriation Language:**

**County Locations:** Big Stone, Cottonwood, Fairbault, Jackson, Lac qui Parle, Le Sueur, Lincoln, Lyon, Martin, Murray, Nicollet, Redwood, and Sibley.

**Regions in which work will take place:**

- Prairie

**Activity types:**

- Protect in Fee

**Priority resources addressed by activity:**

- Prairie
- Wetlands

### Abstract:

This Phase 6 request for Ducks Unlimited's land protection and restoration program will acquire and restore 600 acres of prairie land on shallow lakes and prairie land with restorable wetlands in the Prairie Pothole Region of SW Minnesota for transfer to the Minnesota DNR for inclusion in the state Wildlife Management Area system. This land acquisition and restoration work will focus on land that buffers shallow lakes and provides breeding habitat for ducks and other prairie wildlife. DU engineers will survey and design wetland restorations, and contract with private sector firms for restoration construction and earth moving work.

### Design and scope of work:

This is Phase 6 of Ducks Unlimited's ongoing prairie land acquisition/protection program in Minnesota, which acquires and restores land with drained wetlands adjacent to existing public lands and shallow lakes for inclusion in the Minnesota DNR's state Wildlife Management Area (WMA) system. DU focuses on the acquisition and restoration of converted prairie and drained wetlands adjacent to existing WMAs to create functioning prairie-wetland habitat complexes and compliment other conservation efforts to protect intact native prairie. Our work addresses the habitat goals identified in Minnesota's Long-range Duck Recovery Plan, Minnesota's Prairie Conservation Plan, and the North American Waterfowl Management Plan. This work is time-sensitive because farmland adjacent to state WMAs is rarely offered for sale for conservation, and tracts are only available for a short time. DU works quickly and efficiently to

augment state acquisition efforts.

DU works in close partnership with the Minnesota DNR Section of Wildlife and coordinates with other national and state NGO partners including Pheasants Forever, The Conservation Fund, and The Nature Conservancy with support from local stakeholders such as Fox Lake Conservation League, Swan Lake Area Wildlife Association, and Cottonwood County Game and Fish League. Although pre-approval resolutions are not requested from county boards for DU land acquisitions, DU routinely shares information with counties to ensure public awareness of our conservation work, and the public benefits thereof, in advance and attends DU county board meetings to discuss any questions. The work proposed represents the amount of land acquisition DU can accomplish in three years, is scalable, and benefits game and non-game wildlife species alike - from mallards to monarch butterflies.

Because 90% of our prairie wetlands have been drained and 99% of our prairie uplands converted in Minnesota, acquisition and restoration of prairie and small wetlands is critical - especially for breeding waterfowl in the Prairie Pothole Region of SW Minnesota where DU focuses our efforts. Furthermore, most remaining wetlands here are in poor ecological condition due massive landscape prairie conversion to cropland and wetland drainage that degrades both wetland condition and habitat function for prairie wildlife. Although many of our remaining prairie wetlands and shallow lakes are contained within state WMAs or federal Waterfowl Production Areas (WPA), these small public land patches rarely provide optimal wildlife habitat due to their fragmented size and juxtaposition. Similarly, most prairie shallow lakes are surrounded by a thin ribbon of uplands that fail to adequately buffer them from surrounding agricultural land runoff. Therefore, acquisition and restoration of drained wetlands and cultivated prairie lands in close proximity to our public lands will improve and buffer our public shallow lakes and wetlands, and help create functioning prairie-wetland complexes of habitat for breeding ducks and other prairie wildlife.

DU professional biological and engineering staff work closely with DNR field staff to plan and implement both robust prairie and wetland restorations, including diverse forb/grass seed plantings and complex wetland restorations that often require extensive drainage system modification and expensive sediment removal to restore functioning wetlands for prairie wildlife.

### **How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:**

This proposal protects and restores prairie lands, which are identified as critical habitats for many "Species of Greatest Conservation Need" listed in Minnesota's "Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild & Rare: An Action Plan for Minnesota Wildlife." Specific species listed in the Action Plan as requiring prairie (page 255) include seven species of butterflies and three bird species that are native prairie specialists: chestnut-collared longspur, Sprague's pipit, and Baird's sparrow. The Prairie Parkland has 139 species listed on the SGCN with 13 of these species being unique to the section.

In addition to these specific wildlife species listed as SGCN examples in the Action Plan, restored prairie in the Prairie Parkland will provide habitat of significant value for other species listed in Appendix B of the Action Plan too. Restored and protected prairie will provide habitat of significant value for other SGCN including bird species: upland sandpiper, bobolink, burrowing owl, le conte's sparrow, grasshopper sparrow, eastern meadowlark, swamp sparrow, sharp-tailed grouse, short-eared owl, northern harrier, dickcissel, Henslow's sparrow, and Nelson's sharp-tailed sparrow. Upland nesting waterfowl will also benefit including waterfowl listed as SGCN; northern pintail and lesser scaup, which have both seen declines in continental populations. Wetland associated birds such as trumpeter swan, black tern, American bittern, Wilson's phalarope, and marbled godwit will benefit from wetlands either restored or buffered in the prairie landscape. Mammals such as northern grasshopper mouse and Richardson's ground squirrels, reptiles such as lined snake and Blanding's turtle, and amphibians such as northern cricket frog and common mudpuppy are listed as SGCN for the Prairie Parkland.

### **Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:**

Ducks Unlimited uses science-based targeting to evaluate land acquisitions, and focuses on tracts adjacent to existing state WMAs with restorable wetlands that build prairie-wetland complexes for ducks. Science-based models such as the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service (USFWS) "Thunderstorm Maps" and "Restorable Wetlands Inventory" help us determine landscape importance to breeding waterfowl. We prioritize parcels with relatively high biological diversity and significance based on the Minnesota DNR County Biological Survey (MCBS). Examples:

Indian Lake WMA Tract 8, 155 acres in Sibley County lies adjacent to Indian Lake, a shallow lake with a high level MCBS biological significance and moderate biodiversity significance, and thus will buffer both Indian Lake and the WMA.

Walnut Lake WMA Tract 20/21 in Faribault County is a 203-acre three-sided inholding in state land containing an 80-acre restorable wetland. Walnut Lake is identified as having a moderate level of significance for both biological value and biodiversity according to the MCBS, and the WMA is estimated to be capable of providing nesting habitat for 31-40 breeding ducks per square mile according to USFWS.

Badger Lakes WMA Christensen Tract is 137 acres adjacent to North Badger Lake in Murray County in a landscape that supports an estimated 21-30 breeding duck pairs per square mile. Badger Lakes are DNR “designated wildlife lakes” and identified by both the MCBS and DNR as having a moderate level of importance.

The 155-acre Goehle Tract on the Tyler/Discors WMA will restore much-needed uplands and small wetlands to help improve a prairie-wetland complex in Lincoln County in an area estimated as capable of supporting 21-30 breeding pairs of waterfowl per square mile.

The 279-acre Steinke Tract on Lac Qui Parle WMA is adjacent to the 25-acre Perry tract previously acquired by DU via OHF along Marsh Lake. It contains 62 acres of native wet prairie, a native plant community of importance identified by the MCBS. Acquisition and restoration will help buffer Marsh Lake, identified as a lake of outstanding biological significance surrounded by areas of high biological significance and importance to breeding waterfowl near a Core Area of Minnesota’s Prairie Conservation Plan.

**Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this program:**

- H4 Restore and protect shallow lakes
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

**Which other plans are addressed in this program:**

- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan

**Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this program:**

**Prairie:**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes

**Relationship to other funds:**

- Not Listed

**Describe the relationship of the funds:**

Not Listed

**How does this program include leverage in funds or other effort to supplement any OHF appropriation:**

DU strives to use all of our non-federal expense to leverage federal NAWCA grant funds to further our conservation mission. However, NAWCA is highly competitive and complex, and proposal success is uncertain. Nonetheless, DU works closely with Minnesota DNR, and NGO partners to offer recent past state OHF acquisitions as non-federal match to leverage federal NAWCA funds to help fund OHF land restoration and also acquire additional lands too. For example, several recent past OHF acquisitions were pledged as match in two current NAWCA proposals likely to be funded later in 2016 that will help pay for restoration costs on those lands. DU fully intends to partner with DNR and other NGOs to pursue NAWCA grant funds in the future to help restore wetlands purchased through this appropriation too.

**Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:**

Appropriation Year	Source	Amount
2009	DU private	\$26,500
2010	DU private	\$10,000
2013	DU private	\$56,600
2015	DU private and federal NAWCA	\$200,000 (ongoing)
2016	DU private and federal NAWCA	\$200,000 (ongoing)

**How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:**

All lands acquired will be transferred to the Minnesota DNR for inclusion in the state's Wildlife Management Area system. Thus, Minnesota DNR Wildlife land managers will sustain and maintain the prairie and wetlands acquired and restored by Ducks Unlimited in perpetuity, and manage them to provide optimal wildlife habitat and for public use.

**Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:**

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
2019	DNR G&F Fund, OHF	Mow restored prairie for weed control	Periodically burn native prairie every 5 years as needed	Assess and manage water levels in larger restored wetlands as vegetation and ecological conditions warrant action

**Activity Details:**

If funded, this program will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - **Yes**

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - **Yes**

Explain

DU purchases land in Minnesota to protect and restore prairie, wetlands, and shallow lake shoreland for ducks and other prairie and wetland-dependent wildlife. Lands acquired will be transferred to the Minnesota DNR for inclusion in state Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) for long-term management and public use. Initial Development and Restoration Plans are developed in partnership with Minnesota DNR and area wildlife manager approval according to WMA management plan goals. The primary purposes of WMAs are to develop and manage habitat for the production of wildlife and for compatible outdoor recreation. To fulfill those goals, the DNR may use limited farming specifically to enhance or benefit the management of state lands for wildlife.

Lands proposed to be acquired for prairie restoration as WMAs may utilize limited farming to prepare previously farmed sites for native plant seeding. This is the standard prairie restoration practice across the Midwest. On a small percentage of WMAs (less than 2.5%), DNR uses farming to provide a winter food source for a variety of wildlife species in agriculture-dominated landscapes largely devoid of winter food sources. DU will work closely with DNR through our cooperative acquisition and restoration planning process to avoid and minimize the placement of food plots on lands acquired by DU whenever and wherever possible, however, as restoration of prairie and wetlands for waterfowl is our focus and mission.

Most lands to be acquired and restored through this program will be farmland with row-crop fields, which DU will restore to prairie and wetland habitat. Very limited short-duration row-cropping of soybeans or other farming activity may be required immediately after acquisition prior to restoration prior to native prairie grass and forb seeding. DU strives to minimize this circumstance by working with private landowners to guide their final year of cropping prior selling, but arranging such is not always possible. DU will strive to use non-GMO treated seed whenever and wherever possible if planting of crops is required.

Are any of the crop types planted GMO treated - **Yes**

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition - **No**

Discussions with local government officials will be held in conjunction with acquiring lands, and timing of notification depends upon the situation. DU strives to have discussions and provide notification prior to land acquisition. Because land acquisition deals are very private and sensitive matters, disclosing details in advance of purchase agreements can jeopardize land deals with private landowners. Because requesting formal local approval requires county board members to vote on private land deals, which invites local politics and makes private landowner intentions public, DU does not seek local government pre-approval of our land acquisitions but always meets with county boards in person to inform and discuss to ensure local government awareness of the public benefits of our land acquisition and restoration work, and changes in tax payments to be made. DU pays taxes in full at the county assessed rate during our hold time, and because state PILT amounts have been higher than previous assessed county tax rates for land acquired by DU in the Prairie Section of SW Minnesota, county boards have been very pleased with DU land acquisitions for the Minnesota DNR thus far.

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection - **Yes**

Is this land currently open for hunting and fishing - **No**

Will the land be open for hunting and fishing after completion - **Yes**

No variations anticipated. All lands to be acquired are in rural areas and will be included in state Wildlife Management Areas, and open to public use as per Minnesota DNR rules.

Who will eventually own the fee title land?

All lands will be transferred to the Minnesota DNR for inclusion in State Wildlife Management Areas, and open to the public.

Are there currently trails or roads on any of the acquisitions on the parcel list - **No**

Will new trails or roads be developed or improved as a result of the OHF acquisition - **No**

**Accomplishment Timeline:**

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Appraise and acquire lands in fee-title.	June 30, 2020
Restore lands acquired and transfer to Minnesota DNR.	June 30, 2022

**Date of Final Report Submission:** 11/1/2022

**Federal Funding:**

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - **Yes**

Are the funds confirmed - **No**

What is the approximate date you anticipate receiving confirmation of the federal funds - **July 2019**

**Outcomes:**

**Programs in prairie region:**

- Protected, restored, and enhanced shallow lakes and wetlands *Land bordering shallow lakes and land containing drained wetlands will be acquired and restored back to functioning wetlands with native grass and forb wildflowers in uplands surrounding them as habitat for pollinators, migratory birds, and resident wildlife. Lands will transferred into the state Wildlife Management Area system to provide additional prairie habitat for migratory species and public use, both of which will be monitored by Minnesota DNR. Restored wetland basins will be monitored by DNR area wildlife field staff, and managed to optimize wetland habitat conditions. Prairie uplands will be managed to minimize trees and encourage native plant species.*

# Budget Spreadsheet

*Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan*

**How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount**

This program was reduced proportionately to the amount of funding recommended.

**Total Amount of Request: \$ 5750000**

## Budget and Cash Leverage

Budget Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$300,000	\$50,000	NAWCA and DU Private	\$350,000
Contracts	\$600,000	\$150,000	NAWCA and DU Private	\$750,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$4,000,000	\$0		\$4,000,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0		\$0
Professional Services	\$70,000	\$0		\$70,000
Direct Support Services	\$30,000	\$0		\$30,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$40,000	\$0		\$40,000
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$10,000	\$0		\$10,000
Supplies/Materials	\$600,000	\$0		\$600,000
DNR IDP	\$100,000	\$0		\$100,000
Total	\$5,750,000	\$200,000		\$5,950,000

## Personnel

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	Total
Conservation Program Manager to coordinate work and administer grant	0.50	4.00	\$50,000	\$0		\$50,000
Biologists and Engineers to buy land and restore habitat	2.00	4.00	\$250,000	\$50,000	NAWCA and DU Private	\$300,000
Total	2.50	8.00	\$300,000	\$50,000		\$350,000

Amount of Request: \$5,750,000

Amount of Leverage: \$200,000

Leverage as a percent of the Request: 3.48%

DSS + Personnel: \$330,000

As a % of the total request: 5.74%

**How did you determine which portions of the Direct Support Services of your shared support services is direct to this program:**

DU DSS costs comprise approximately 10% of our staff personnel costs and are calculated according to accounting methodology previously reviewed and approved by DNR and LSOHC staff.

**Does the amount in the contract line include R/E work?**

Yes, 100% of the contract line and materials/supplies line is for restoration and enhancement of land acquired, mostly for wetland restoration, prairie seed and seeding, and invasive tree removal.

**Describe and explain leverage source and confirmation of funds:**

NAWCA funds will be requested to augment restoration costs of lands acquired.

## Output Tables

**Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	100	500	0	0	600
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	100	500	0	0	600

**Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?**

Type	Native Prairie
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	600
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	600

**Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$1,250,000	\$4,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,750,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$1,250,000	\$4,500,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,750,000

**Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	600	0	600
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	600	0	600

**Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section**

Type	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SE Forest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,750,000	\$0	\$5,750,000
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,750,000	\$0	\$5,750,000

**Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type**

Type	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$12500	\$9000	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section**

Type	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9583	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

**Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles**

0



## Parcel List

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type restore or enhance.

### Section 2 - Protect Parcel List

#### Big Stone

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Lac qui Parle WMA - Tract TB15 Steinke/Hoffman	12044210	279	\$1,465,000	No	Full	Full

#### Cottonwood

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Little Swan Lake WMA - Tract 14 Baerge	10635218	17	\$125,000	No	Full	Full

#### Fairbault

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Walnut Lakes WMA - Tracts 20/21 Prange	10225202	203	\$1,918,000	No	Full	Full

#### Jackson

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Libra WMA - Tract 2	10438221	80	\$590,000	No	Full	Full
Teal Lake WMA - Wardin Tract	10436230	80	\$675,000	No	Full	Full

#### Lac qui Parle

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Sweetwater WMA - Tract 13	11646201	157	\$675,000	Yes	Full	Full

#### Le Sueur

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Sanborn Lake WMA - Tract 2	11223226	50	\$420,000	No	Full	Full
Sanborn Lake WMA - Tract 3 Ordorff	11223226	34	\$300,000	No	Full	Full
Sanborn Lake WMA - Tract 7	11223226	30	\$250,000	No	Full	Full

#### Lincoln

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Tyler/Discoys WMA - Tracts 2/3 Goehle Trust	10944204	146	\$1,220,000	No	Full	Full

#### Lyon

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Altona WMA - Tract 7 Peterson	10946236	40	\$210,000	No	Full	Full
Prairie Marshes WMA - Tract 7 DeMuth	11043201	150	\$945,000	No	Full	Full
Prairie Marshes WMA - Tract 8 Delanghe	11043201	99	\$620,000	No	Full	Full

Martin

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Caron WMA - Tract 13a Sickler	10333226	135	\$990,000	No	Full	Full
Caron WMA - Tract 13b Sickler	10333224	209	\$1,530,000	No	Full	Full
Caron WMA - Tract 15 Crissinger Corp	10333225	238	\$1,875,000	No	Full	Full
Rooney Run WMA - Tract 31 Crissinger	10332228	80	\$560,000	No	Full	Full
Seymour Lake WMA - Krahmer Tract TBD	10232229	80	\$640,000	No	Full	Full

Murray

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Badger Lakes WMA - Tract 2c/2d Christensen	10541202	137	\$1,100,000	No	Full	Full
Dovray WMA - Tract 19	10740214	165	\$1,075,000	No	Full	Full
Peters WMA - Tract 2A Larson	10642209	124	\$910,000	No	Full	Full
Shetek WMA - Tract 5 Richardson	10840222	80	\$500,000	No	Full	Full

Nicollet

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Swan Lake WMA - Tract TBD	11029229	154	\$1,232,000	No	Full	Full

Redwood

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Daubs Lake WMA - Gould Tract	11137211	160	\$1,300,000	No	Full	Full

Sibley

Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	Existing Protection?	Hunting?	Fishing?
Indian Lake WMA - Tract 8a Muchow	11329228	61	\$425,000	No	Full	Full

## Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

Lac qui Parle


Name	TRDS	Acres	Est Cost	# Bldgs?	Bldg Improve Desc	Value of Bldg	Disposition of Improvements
Madrena WMA - Tract 8 Shelstad	11845212	173	\$900,000	1	Steel quonset equipment shed on concrete slab	\$2,000	

## Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity

No parcels with an other activity type.

# Parcel Map

## Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI



Data Generated From Parcel List



# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Comparison Report

**Program Title:** 2017 - Shallow Lake & Wetland Protection Program - Phase VI

**Organization:** Ducks Unlimited

**Manager:** Jon Schneider

## Budget

Requested Amount: \$20,410,000

Appropriated Amount: \$5,750,000

Percentage: 28.17%

Budget Item	Total Requested		Total Appropriated		Percentage of Request	
	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Appropriated Amount	Anticipated Leverage	Percentage of Request	Percentage of Leverage
Personnel	\$750,000	\$80,000	\$300,000	\$50,000	40.00%	62.50%
Contracts	\$2,600,000	\$400,000	\$600,000	\$150,000	23.08%	37.50%
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$15,000,000	\$0	\$4,000,000	\$0	26.67%	-
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Travel	\$90,000	\$10,000	\$0	\$0	0.00%	0.00%
Professional Services	\$300,000	\$0	\$70,000	\$0	23.33%	-
Direct Support Services	\$80,000	\$10,000	\$30,000	\$0	37.50%	0.00%
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$150,000	\$0	\$40,000	\$0	26.67%	-
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	-	-
Other Equipment/Tools	\$30,000	\$0	\$10,000	\$0	33.33%	-
Supplies/Materials	\$1,250,000	\$100,000	\$600,000	\$0	48.00%	0.00%
DNR IDP	\$160,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$0	62.50%	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$20,410,000</b>	<b>\$600,000</b>	<b>\$5,750,000</b>	<b>\$200,000</b>	<b>28.17%</b>	<b>33.33%</b>

## How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recommendation from the original proposed requested amount?

This program was reduced proportionately to the amount of funding recommended.

# Output

**Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type**

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	2,000	600	30.00%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	0	0	-
Enhance	0	0	-

**Table 2. Total Funding by Resource Type**

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	20,410,000	5,750,000	28.17%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	0	0	-
Enhance	0	0	-

**Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	2,000	600	30.00%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	0	0	-
Enhance	0	0	-

**Table 4. Total Funding within each Ecological Section**

Type	Total Proposed	Total in AP	Percentage of Proposed
Restore	0	0	-
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	20,410,000	5,750,000	28.17%
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	-
Protect in Easement	0	0	-
Enhance	0	0	-