#### Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2015 Accomplishment Plan

Date: October 14, 2014

Program or Project Title: Enhanced Public Land - Grasslands - Phase II

#### Funds Recommended: \$ 1,120,000

Manager's Name: Eran Sandquist Title: MN Regional Representative Organization: Pheasants Forever, Inc. Address: 410 Lincoln Ave S Address 2: Box 91 City: South Haven, MN 55382 Office Number: 763-242-1273 Mobile Number: 763-242-1273 Fax Number: 320-236-7755 Email: esandquist@pheasantsforever.org Website: www.pheasantsforever.org

#### Legislative Citation:

#### Appropriation Language:

County Locations: Not Listed

#### Regions in which work will take place:

- Metro / Urban
- Prairie

#### Activity types:

- Enhance
- Restore

#### Priority resources addressed by activity:

- Prairie
- Wetlands

#### **Abstract:**

This project will utilize a combination of wetland restoration, invasive tree removal, seeding, and prescribed fire, to improve habitat quality, diversity, and productivity on Wildlife Management Areas and Waterfowl Production Areas within the prairie and metro regions of Minnesota.

#### Design and scope of work:

Greater than 95% of Minnesota's prairies have been lost to the plow and development. Many of the remaining acres of native and restored grasslands have been degraded from lack of fire and the spread of invasive trees. Many of these acres have low plant diversity and aren't reaching their potential for wildlife production.

Research from across the tallgrass prairie region has shown that trees are almost always detrimental to prairie/grassland wildlife, for several reasons. Tree branches provide perches for hawks, owls, crows, and magpies, while the bases of the trees provide dens for foxes, raccoons, skunks, all of which can prey on eggs or nesting hens.





Wetlands will be restored or enhanced by constructing low, earthen dams (some with water control structures) or removing drainage tiles.

Many public hunting areas were purchased as brome fields or were restored using low diversity seed mixes, often only grasses. At these sites, we will use a mix of cultivation, herbicide and prescribed fire to prep the site and plant with a high diversity native grasses and forbs.

Prescribed burning is the primary management tool for managing grassland habitat for waterfowl, gamebirds, and songbirds. This project will increase capabilities of DNR and USFWS to conduct prescribed fires on public lands, including DNR Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) and USFWS Waterfowl Production Areas (WPA).

Each year woody vegetation and invasive species increase on our public hunting lands. Every year we delay management action, the problem becomes larger and the cost increases. The duration of the benefits of this program is ongoing. The goal of this program is to work towards having all WPAs and WMAs into a state where we no longer need mechanical or chemical treatments and high quality habitat can be sustained with maintenance burns.

We have developed an RFP process to solicit enhancement projects for lands open to public hunting owned and managed by the DNR and USFWS. PF board members, along with staff from these agencies will review and prioritize the projects. Priority will be given to any projects that are within a prairie plan core area.

Based on previous projects conducted on DNR and USFWS lands, we anticipate costs as below. The exact acreage and ratios of dollars spent on each category each year will vary depending on proposals. Wetland Restoration - \$1000/acre Prescribed Fire - \$3500/burn Invasive Tree Removal -\$1200/acre Diversity Seeding/Brome Conversion - \$400/acre

#### Crops:

Will there be planting of corn or any crop on OHF land purchased or restored in this program - No

# How does the request address MN habitats that have: historical value to fish and wildlife, wildlife species of greatest conservation need, MN County Biological Survey data, and/or rare, threatened and endangered species inventories:

Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan- This project directly achieves 2 of the priorities of the plan: 1. Grassland/wetland restoration activities 2. Enhancement of prairies and grasslands via prescribed fire and invasive species control.

Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare – This plan lists prairie chickens as well as at least twenty-six other bird, invertebrate, and plant species as SGCN. This project will enhance the habitat quality for all these species.

Partners in Flight – This project will improve habitat for all nine of the grassland obligate species in the Northern Tallgrass Prairie Plan (Physiographic Area 40)

Grassland Bird Conservation Areas (GBCA) - This project will improve the habitat quality for all grassland species within these areas.

Ecological Section Vision and Priorities – The project will improve condition of habitats on public lands and restore and enhance upland habitat (priority #1), increase wildlife productivity (#4), and restore and enhance habitat for migratory and unique Minnesota species (#7). We will accomplish this by using multiple tools to enhance grassland habitats increasing the quality, diversity, and productivity of our public land grasslands.

Prairie Pothole Joint Venture Plan - This project will increase habitat quality for at least seventeen species in Bird Conservation Region

### What is the nature of urgency and why it is necessary to spend public money for this work as soon as possible:

Grasslands naturally degrade over time. The longer grasslands are allowed to degrade the more expensive and difficult they are to correct. This project aims to enhance public lands to produce at maximum capacity for the benefit of the public and as such is worthy and necessary for public money.

#### Describe the science based planning and evaluation model used:

The science and strategy of habitat enhancement in this part of Minnesota is to build functional complexes of habitat where it once existed. Projects will be developed in conjunction MNDNR and USFWS Staff. We will blend the their knowledge with identifying opportunities to improve complexes for maximum wildlife production.

### Which sections of the Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan are applicable to this project:

- H3 Improve connectivity and access to recreation
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds

#### Which other plans are addressed in this proposal:

- Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework

#### Which LSOHC section priorities are addressed in this proposal:

Metro / Urban:

• Protect, enhance, and restore remnant native prairie, Big Woods forests, and oak savanna with an emphasis on areas with high biological diversity

#### Prairie:

• Restore or enhance habitat on public lands

#### Relationship to other funds:

• Not Listed

#### How does this proposal accelerate or supplement your current efforts in this area:

This proposal is the second phase of an effort to enhance public lands for the benefit of wildlife and public recreation. All funding allocated in the first phase has been contracted and work is being completed as weather allows. Although we have accomplished a significant amount of quality work in the first phase, it was evident there is a significant amount of work remaining and an interest from agency managers to better our public lands. Pheasants Forever, USFWS, MN DNR and other partners are focused on managing grassland habitat for gamebirds, waterfowl, and all other species of grassland wildlife.

#### Describe the source and amount of non-OHF money spent for this work in the past:

Appropriation Year	Source	Amount
2002-2010	Heritage Enahancement Grants	\$145,000 HE / \$14,500 PF

#### How will you sustain and/or maintain this work after the Outdoor Heritage Funds are expended:

This project is part of an ongoing effort to keep our public lands viable and productive. PF is only part of this effort, but will continue to work with partners to manage our public lands through state, federal and foundation support as well as private fundraising efforts.

#### Explain the things you will do in the future to maintain project outcomes:

Year	Source of Funds	Step 1	Step 2	Step 3
Post Project Completion - WMA	MN DNR - Game and Fish Funds	Monitoring	Maintenance	
Post Project Completion - WPA	USFWS - Federal	Monitoring	Maintenance	

#### **Activity Details:**

If funded, this proposal will meet all applicable criteria set forth in MS 97A.056 - Yes

Will restoration and enhancement work follow best management practices including MS 84.973 Pollinator Habitat Program - Yes

Is the activity on permanently protected land per 97A.056, subd 13(f), tribal lands, and/or public waters per MS 103G.005, Subd. 15 - Yes (WMA, WPA)

#### **Accomplishment Timeline:**

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Distribute Project Request for Proposals to Area Land Managers	Fall 2015
Review Project RFPs with project selection committee	Winter 2015-16
Select Projects for completion and hire contractors to complete habitat work	Winter 2015-16
Enhancement / Restoration work begins	Spring 2016
Enhancement / Restoration work completed	Fall 2019

#### Date of Final Report Submission: 12/30/2019

#### **Federal Funding:**

Do you anticipate federal funds as a match for this program - No

#### **Outcomes:**

#### Programs in metropolitan urbanizing region:

• Improved condition of habitat on public lands. Outcomes will be measured by resource professionals and evaluated by using the best science available to land managers.

#### Programs in prairie region:

• Improved condition of habitat on public lands Outcomes will be measured by resource professionals and evaluated by using the best science available to land managers.

#### **Budget Spreadsheet**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

How will this program accommodate the reduced appropriation recoomendation from the original proposed requested amount

We have reduced accomplishments proportionately across the overall program to accommodate the reduced appropriation.

#### Total Amount of Request: \$ 1120000

#### Budget and Cash Leverage

BudgetName	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	T o tal
Personnel	\$89,200	\$0		\$89,200
Contracts	\$1,013,000	\$0		\$1,013,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Stewardship	\$0	\$0		\$0
Travel	\$0	\$0		\$0
Pro fessional Services	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	\$17,800	\$0		\$17,800
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total	\$1,120,000	\$0		\$1,120,000

#### Personnel

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Leverage	Leverage Source	T o tal
MN State Coordinator	0.05	3.00	\$10,400	\$0		\$10,400
PF Regional Staff	0.20	3.00	\$47,300	\$0		\$47,300
PF Grants Staff	0.10	3.00	\$31,500	\$0		\$31,500
Total	0.35	9.00	\$89,200	\$0		\$89,200

Amount of Request:\$1,120,000Amount of Leverage:\$0Leverage as a percent of the Request:0.00%

#### **Output Tables**

#### Table 1a. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	45	0	0	0	45
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	0	7,800	0	0	7,800
Total	45	7,800	0	0	7,845

#### Table 1b. How many of these Prairie acres are Native Prairie?

Туре	Native Prairie
Restore	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0
Protect in Easement	0
Enhance	0
Total	0

#### Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$112,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$112,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$1,008,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,008,000
Total	\$112,000	\$1,008,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,120,000

#### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SEForest	Prairie	N Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	0	45	0	45
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enhance	150	0	0	7,650	0	7,800
Total	150	0	0	7,695	0	7,845

#### Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro Urban	ForestPrairie	SEForest	Prairie	N Forest	T o tal
Restore	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	\$112,000	\$0	\$187,000
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$933,000	\$0	\$933,000
Total	\$75,000	\$0	\$0	\$1,045,000	\$0	\$1,120,000

#### Table 5. Average Cost per Acre by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats
Restore	\$2489	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$129	\$0	\$0

#### Table 6. Average Cost per Acre by Ecological Section

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$2489	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$122	\$0

#### Target Lake/Stream/River Feet or Miles

#### **Parcel List**

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

#### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type restore or enhance.

#### **Section 2 - Protect Parcel List**

No parcels with an activity type protect.


#### Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

#### **Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity**

No parcels with an other activity type.

#### **Parcel Map**



Data Generated From Parcel List

## DRAFT!

<b>Enhanced Public Lands - Grasslands</b>									
WMA/	WMA/WPA Project Name: County: Township/Range/Section				Township/Range/Section:	Date:			
Type of Project									
	□ Tree Removal □ Prescribed Fire □ Diversity Seeding □ Wetland Restoration								
<ul> <li>Project must meet these minimum criteria to be eligible:</li> <li>A. Project is located within the focus areas identified on map.</li> <li>B. Project will occur on existing Wildlife Management Area or Waterfowl Production Area.</li> <li>C. Project is approved by appropriate public land manager.</li> <li>D. Project is open to public hunting.</li> <li>E. Without these funds, project would not be completed.</li> <li>F. Project can be completed by private contractor.</li> </ul>									
Fac	tors					Possible	e Points	Score	
1		Will this project b	enefit pheasants or	prairie ch	ickens?	0 or 1	0 pts.		
2	<b>2</b> U Will project benefit any T&E or SGCN species? 0 or 10 pts.						0 pts.		
3		How large is exist	ing contiguous pub	lic lands l	nabitat complex?				
		> 240 acres				25 ]			
		81 acres - 240 acres				15	pts.		
		< 80 acres				5 p	ots.		
	_		educe future manag	gement co	osts?	•			
4		Yes				20 ]			
		No	•.			0 p	ots.		
5		Vegetative Diversit > 20 Native species ex				20	pts.		
		11 - 19 Native species	•			10	pts.		
		< 10 species exist or p	-			0 p	ots.		
		Project Type							1
		Tree Removal / Wetla	nd Restoration			15	pts.		
6		Diversity Seeding				10	pts.		
		Prescribed Fire				5 p	ots.		]
	TOTAL0 to 100 pts.								
									1