# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Laws of Minnesota 2013 Accomplishment Plan

Date: October 16, 2012

Program or Project Title: Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife and Water-III

Funds Recommended: \$3,520,000

Manager's Name: Tabor Hoek
Title: Priavate Lands Coordinator

Organization: BWSR & Pheasants Forever

Street Address: 1400 E. Lyon St.

**City:** Marshall, MN 56258 **Telephone:** 507-537-7260

**E-Mail:** tabor.hoek@state.mn.us

Organization Web Site: www.bwsr.state.mn.us

**Legislative Citation:** (to be completed when signed by Governor)

County Locations: ,

#### **Ecological Planning Regions:**

Prairie

## **Activity Type:**

Protect in Easement

## **Priority Resources Addressed by Activity:**

• Prairie

#### **Abstract:**

This project is the third request of LSOHC to target riparian buffers for clean water and wildlife using the Reinvest In Minnesota easement program. The Clean Water Fund and OHF will be used in cooperation to secure priority buffers permanently.

# **Activity Detail**

# **Design and Scope of Work:**

Hundreds of thousands of acres of CRP are set to expire in Minnesota in the next couple of years. Some estimates show that on October 1, 2012, Minnesota will lose

150,000 acres of its CRP. This exodus is being driven by high land and commodity prices, increased pressure for alternative uses and federal budgets for conservation programs. Minnesota's primary strategy to mitigate the loss of CRP is to target expiring contracts for enrollment into continuous CRP practices (like buffers) and permanenet easements for the most beneificial practices (e.g. weltand restoration, grasslands, and buffers). This program is one strategy to provide landowners with a sound option to keep targeted conservation on the land when economic incentives are tilted towards row crop production.

This partnership program establishes permanent buffers that provide critical water quality improvements and improved nesting habitat. For example, a buffer of 100' generally serves to protect waer quality, while the addition of an additional 100' for wildlife greatly enhances nesting opportunities for wildlife. Establishing at least 200' on each side of a stream for a minimum total of 400' plus the natural corridor that already exists creates a block of habitat for nesting birds and a critical link between othe permanently protect habitats.

Criteria used to evaluate and prioritize buffers funded under this program include: does buffer build upon a Clean Water Fund Buffer, proximity to other permanently protected habitat, buffers within a designated shallow lake watershed, proximity to lands open to public hunting, plant diversity, overall size, and type of water resource being bufferred. An open RIM Buffers application process for landowners will commence upon grant approval. This effort builds upon the momentum established for RIM Buffer enrollments supported by the Outdoor Heritage Fund and Clean Water Fund in the previous two funding cycles. In future years, it is hoped that demand for this program increases and that funders consider a broader buffer initiative for our impaired waters and fragmented habitat. Simply put, wider buffers mean better habitat. Further, buffers that are put in proximity to other grasslands also function at a higher level within the landscape for grassland nesting birds and other wildlife.

RIM Buffers Program delivery will be supported by Minnesota's Farm Bill Assistance Partnership which includes Minnesota BWSR, USDA - Natural Resources Conservation Service, Minnesota DNR, Soil and Water Conservation Districts, Prairie Pothole Joint Venture, Pheasants Forever, and numerous local partners. Additionally, the RIM program will be delivered through SWCD's and administered by Minnesota BWSR.

## **Planning**

#### MN State-wide Conservation Plan Priorities:

- H2 Protect critical shoreland of streams and lakes
- H4 Restore and protect shallow lakes
- H5 Restore land, wetlands and wetland-associated watersheds
- H7 Keep water on the landscape
- LU6 Reduce Upland and gully erosion through soil conservation practices

#### Plans Addressed:

- A Vision for Wildlife and Its Use -- Goals and Outcomes 2006-2012
- Ducks Unlimited Living Lakes Initiative
- Grassland Bird Conservation Area
- Long Range Duck Recovery Plan
- Long Range Plan for the Ring-Necked Pheasant in MN
- Managing Minnesota's Shallow Lakes for Waterfowl and Wildlife
- Minnesota Prairie Conservation Plan
- North American Waterfowl Management Plan
- Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25 Year Framework
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Strategic Habitat Conservation Model
- U.S. Prairie Pothole Joint Venture Plan

#### **LSOHC Statewide Priorities:**

- Are ongoing, successful, transparent and accountable programs addressing actions and targets of one or more of the ecological sections
- Produce multiple enduring conservation benefits
- Are able to leverage effort and/or other funds to supplement any OHF appropriation
- Use a science-based strategic planning and evaluation model to guide protection, restoration and enhancement, similar to the United States Fish and Wildlife Service's Strategic Habitat Conservation model
- Ensures activities for "protecting, restoring and enhancing" are coordinated among agencies, non profits and others while doing this important work
- Target unique Minnesota landscapes that have historical value to fish and wildlife

#### **LSOHC Prairie Section Priorities:**

- Protect, enhance, or restore existing wetland/upland complexes, or convert agricultural lands to new wetland/upland habitat complexes
- Protect, restore, and enhance shallow lakes
- Protect expiring CRP lands

# **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds:**

Clean Water Fund

This project brings two of the major components of the Legacy Amendment together by matching an equal amount of Clean Water Fund for the RIM buffers program. This project will also build upon expiring CRP contracts that have funding associated with them from the USDA CRP contract that is in place. Where possible, partners would leverage the Outdoor Heritage Funds within a larger buffer initiative within Minnesota using other programs like CRP, NAWCA and other non-state funding sources to permananently protect buffers within landscapes that add value for grassland wildlife.

# **Accelerates or Supplements Current Efforts:**

Expring CRP is a major concern in the prairie landscape of Minnesota. We are targeting wetlands and riparian areas for permanent protection that provide water quality benefits and wildlife benefits. The plan is to retain the most critical buffers in perpetuity that provide significant benefits for water and wildlife. Our focus is on protecting expiring CRP, yet collectively, we are working to retain as many acres as possible wtihin new CCRP contracts where sufficient funding is not available to make them permanent. This proposal provides a real mechanism for the clean water fund and outdoor heritage fund to work in concert to provide Minnesota lanownsers an opportunity to permanently protect and filter our water in a way that also provides habitat for ground nesting birds (e.g. ring-necked pheasants), native pollinators, and other associated grassland wildlife.

# **Sustainability and Maintenance:**

All easements secured under this project will be permanent. Under the terms of the Reinvest In Minnesota (RIM) easement program, landowners are required to maintain compliance with the easement and manage the conservation cover. A conservation plan is developed with the landowner and maintained as part of each easement. Initial costs to establish conservaiton cover are to be covered under this project with additional assistance from Clean Water Fund and the USDA CRP program. The easements secured under this project will be managed as part of the MN Board of Water and Soil Resources (BWSR) RIM Reserve program that has over 5,000 easements currently in place. Easements are monitored annually for each of the first 5 years and then every 3rd year after that. BWSR in cooperation with Sol and Water Conservation Districts (SWCD) implement a stewardship process to track, monitor quality and assure compliance with easement terms. Stewardship costs are part of this project and are caclulated at \$2400/easement. It is estimated that this project will result in 100 easements for a total cost of \$240,000. This cost would be split equally between Clean Water Fund and Outdoor Heritage Fund.

Will the eased land be open for public use? - No

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection? - Yes

# **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Approximate Date Completed
Obtain applications from eligible landowners	April 1, 2014
Provide easement parcel list to LSOHC	August 31, 2014
Easement Development	June 30, 2015

## **Outcomes**

# Programs in prairie region:

- Expiring CRP lands are permanently protected
- Increased participation of private landowners in habitat projects
- Protected, restored, and enhanced habitat for waterfowl, upland birds, and species of greatest conservation need
- Protected, restored, and enhanced shallow lakes and wetlands
- Water is kept on the land

# **Budget Spreadsheet**

Budget reallocations up to 10% do not require an amendment to the Accomplishment Plan

Total Amount of Request: \$3,520,000

# Budget and Cash Leverage

Budget Name	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Personnel	\$176,600	\$176,600		\$353,200
Contracts	\$100,000	\$100,000	Clean Water Fund	\$200,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT	\$0	\$0		\$0
Easement Acquisition	\$3,123,400	\$3,123,400	Clean Water Fund	\$6,246,800
Easement Stewardship	\$120,000	\$120,000	Clean Water Fund	\$240,000
Travel (in-state)	\$0	\$0		\$0
Professional Services	\$0	\$0		\$0
Direct Support Services	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR Land Acquisition Costs	\$0	\$0		\$0
Capital Equipment	\$0	\$0		\$0
Other Equipment/Tools	\$0	\$0		\$0
Supplies/Materials	\$0	\$0		\$0
DNR IDP	\$0	\$0		\$0
Total	\$3,520,000	\$3,520,000	-	\$7,040,000

# Personnel

Position	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Program Management	0.13	3.00	\$45,000	\$45,000	Clean Water Fund	\$90,000
Easement Data Base	0.35	3.00	\$67,800	\$67,800	Clean Water Fund	\$135,600
Eco Services	0.09	3.00	\$26,300	\$26,300	Clean Water Fund	\$52,600
Project Manager	0.13	3.00	\$37,500	44/500	Clean Water fund	\$75,000
Total	0.70	12.00	\$176,600	\$176,600	-	\$353,200

# **Output Tables**

Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	<b>Prairies</b>	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	700	0	0	700
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	700	0	0	700

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

Туре	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$3,520,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,520,000
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$3,520,000	\$0	\$0	\$3,520,000

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	0	0	0	0	0	0
Protect in Easement	0	0	0	700	0	700
Enhance	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	700	0	700

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

Туре	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee with State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Fee W/O State PILT Liability	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Protect in Easement	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,520,000	\$0	\$3,520,000
Enhance	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Total	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,520,000	\$0	\$3,520,000

Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

30 miles

### **Parcel List**

For restoration and enhancement programs ONLY: Managers may add, delete, and substitute projects on this parcel list based upon need, readiness, cost, opportunity, and/or urgency so long as the substitute parcel/project forwards the constitutional objectives of this program in the Project Scope table of this accomplishment plan. The final accomplishment plan report will include the final parcel list.

### Section 1 - Restore / Enhance Parcel List

No parcels with an activity type restore or enhance.

#### **Section 2 - Protect Parcel List**

No parcels with an activity type protect.

## **Section 2a - Protect Parcel with Bldgs**

No parcels with an activity type protect and has buildings.

# **Section 3 - Other Parcel Activity**

No parcels with an other activity type.

# **RIM Buffers for Wildlife & Water Scoring Form**

Landowner/Project Name:	County (Field Office):	Prepared By:	Date:
			10/25/2012

**Instructions:** For each enrollment requesting a Wildlife Habitat Buffer Expansion, complete the highlighted sections of this score sheet. For question 1-7 select the response from the dropdown that best answers the question. Print and include it with your signup materials. A minimum score of 50 points is required to be eligible.

#### Offer must meet these minimum criteria to be eligible:

- Offer is within the prairie section identified on the LSOHC map.
- Offer has maximum enrollment (200 feet average).
- Offer is built upon a Clean Water Fund buffer.
- Offer scores a minimum of 50 points on the following factors.

1. Will this offer preserve an existing CRP contract?		Score
		0
2. Is the offer within the watershed of an identified shallow lake?		
		0
3. Proximity to an existing protected habitat complex that is larger than 1	60 acres?	
		0
4. Proximity to public land that is open for public hunting?		
		0
5. Type of water resource being buffered		
		0
6. Type Vegetative Diversity on proposed site?		
		0
7. Combined Easement Size		
		0
	Total Score	0
	i otal stole	U