Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

# **Main Request for Funding Form**

# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fiscal Year 2013

Program or Project Title:	Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative							
Funds Requested:	\$ 6,566,151							
Manager's Name: Mark Jorganization: Minnesota Street Address: 460 Per City Grand Rapids State Telephone: 218-327-110 E-Mail: mark@mndeerhu Organization Web Site:	a Deer Hunters Association terson Road , <b>MN Zip:</b> 55744 03 nters.com							
County Location: St. Louis	s, Lake, and Cook							
<b>Ecological Planning Reg</b>	ions:							
X Northern Forest	☐ Forest/Prairie Transition ☐ Southeast Forest							
☐ Prairie	☐ Metro/Urban							
Activity Type:								
Protect - Fee	☐ Protect - Easement ☐ Protect - Other							
Restore X Enhance								
Priority Resources addressed by activity:								
☐ Wetlands <b>X</b> Fore	ests Prairie <b>X</b> Habitat							

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

# **Project Abstract**

The Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative enhances up to 18,141 acres of moose habitat in northern forests within Cook, Lake and St. Louis counties on county, state, and tribal lands.

**Project Narrative** 

Design and Scope of Work

# 1. Problems to be addressed:

Between the late 1980s and the early 2000s, the estimated moose (*Alces alces*) population in NW Minnesota declined dramatically from over 4,000 to fewer than 100 animals. Moose population estimates, hunter success rates and the anecdotal observations of local residents indicate the population of NE MN moose has been in a slow decline for a number of years. MN Department of Natural Resources (DNR) reports the NE moose population estimate has declined from 8,000 in 2005 to 4,900 in 2011. Of special concern is that mortality rates of radio collared moose in NE MN are comparable to mortality rates observed during the beginning of the decline in the NW MN moose population.

Moose have iconic status in Minnesota and are a critical component of the cultural identity, hunting heritage, and economy of northern Minnesota. Recognizing this significance, and the prospective loss of the species from MN, the State Legislature passed legislation in 2008 directed the Minnesota DNR to develop a Management and Research Plan for Moose in Minnesota. In turn, the DNR created a Moose Advisory Committee (MAC) consisting of 18 individuals with varied perspectives and expertise on the status of Minnesota's moose population.

The MAC provided recommendations for suggested components of the Moose Management Plan to the DNR that covered socioeconomics, research, harvest, deer management, funding and habitat. Specifically, the MAC noted that management for high quality moose habitat will be increasingly important for maintaining a moose population in the state.

The goals and recommendations made by the MAC are consistent with maintaining healthy, viable forests over the long-term. This proposal represents a synergy of managing for a variety of forest conditions and species, while paying special tribute to moose as an emblematic species. The restoration and enhancement actions described in this proposal reflect the intersection of managing for healthy northern forests with an emphasis on moose habitat using techniques such as prescribed fire, brush removal, selective restoration planting, and timber harvest such that the natural variability of northern forests is restored over time. MAC-recommended benefits include providing

refugia through restoration and maintenance of high quality cover, restoring habitat complexity, and creating additional high quality browse areas.

# 2. Scope of work:

NE Minnesota provides an opportunity for large scale collaborative habitat management because over 82% of this region is in some form of public ownership. Primary moose range in NE Minnesota currently covers approximately 5,500 square miles (about 3.5 million acres). This grant application includes proposed habitat management prescriptions for projects on approximately 18,141 acres on county, state, federal, and tribal lands in the heart of Minnesota moose range.

# 3. How priorities were set:

Members of the MN Moose Habitat Collaborative established an internal habitat subcommittee, composed of scientists and resource management to vet and rank proposed habitat management projects submitted by the various public land management agencies. This committee evaluated proposed projects based on locations within moose range, degree to which activities support the goals of other statewide conservation plans, existing moose densities, initial habitat research results, and the likelihood of the proposed projects for enhancing moose forage and cover.

## 4. Urgency and opportunity:

The substantial cultural and ecological significance of moose to Minnesota merits a prompt effort and long-term commitment to focus forest restoration and enhancement activities within moose range. Over the course of just two decades, the moose population in NW Minnesota effectively "disappeared." As noted earlier in this proposal, it appears that the moose population in NE Minnesota may well be in the midst of a similar decline. At this point in time, we have a unique opportunity to use lessons learned from the moose decline in NW Minnesota. Utilizing the best available science from NE Minnesota, we propose to take advantage of this robust collaborative formed around the moose issue and take early and aggressive steps to enhance habitat suitable for sustaining the NE Minnesota moose population.

Prior to the harvest and management of forests in this region, fire was the most important disturbance that resulted in foraging habitat. In this project, moose foraging habitat will be restored by brush shearing, prescribed burning, selective planting, and by timber harvest on up to 18,141 acres. Each of these methods is designed to mimic natural disturbance, an approach that is consistent with multiple habitat and ecological objectives, and will set forest habitat back on project sites to a younger seedling-sapling stage providing nutritious moose browse.

This project will enhance forest landscape objectives by increasing stand complexity, promoting shrub production and diversity, and maintaining thermal cover components with variable thinning and planting. Intermediate and partial harvests will be designed to

mimic disturbance patterns caused by stand decline due to age, fire, wind-throw and insect and disease outbreaks. Regeneration techniques will encourage mixed stands similar in composition, age, and size to those existing under the range of natural variation and discourage the establishment of stands uniformly dominated by a single species. In other words, this project will provide immediate benefits for moose forage through the creation of early succession habitat and ultimately result in a heterogeneous habit matrix similar to what existed when fire was the main disturbance agent and increase the long term benefit to moose for both cover and forage.

The project selection committee chose project sites with forest stands that were partially harvested, decadent, poorly stocked with trees, or provided such poor browse condition that they were of little or no benefit to moose. Site preparation of the project sites will include a variety of treatments such as shearing, rock raking and disc trenching, over story tree harvest, tree planting, and burning. This will result in vigorous new shrub and tree sprouting. In addition, selective planting of low density conifers on some units will eventually lead to more cover interspersed with browse.

Moose are a top-level herbivore in NE Minnesota and wider ranging than many of the mammal species that will use this restored habitat. This project will result in immediate, large scale benefits by creating moose foraging habitat. Browse will be available on sites that currently have little or no value to moose or other species. In the longer-term, establishment of conifers will provide thermal and escape covers. Ultimately, this project will encourage a heterogeneous habitat matrix that will result in a healthy landscape that is more resilient providing for an ecologically diverse and balanced landscape condition with greater benefit to moose and a number of Species of Greatest Conservation Need.

Many of the prescriptions proposed in this project involve regeneration of brush in old cuts, planting sites, and mature forest gaps of various size; and most include conifer planting. In the short-term, these habitat enhancement prescriptions will result in a multitude of regional forestry job opportunities which will include a management staff member for site preparations, contract work oversight and ground-truthing as well as numerous contracting agencies from local communities. In the long-term, this project will result in improved forest habitat, forest productivity, and product diversity.

# 5. Stakeholder involvement and/or opposition:

The Minnesota Moose Habitat Management Collaborative includes representatives from county, state, federal, tribal agencies, private organizations, and academia. Although each partner organization has a unique mission, this proposal represents a common commitment to restoration and enhancement of northern forests within moose range, collaborative forest management, and a concern for sustaining Minnesota's rare and declining wildlife species. We have not encountered stakeholder opposition to this proposal, which is designed to be inclusive of numerous partners:

Organizations: Minnesota Deer Hunters Association (MDHA), The Nature

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

Conservancy (TNC)

Counties: Cook, Lake, and St. Louis

<u>Tribal Agencies</u>: 1854 Treaty Authority, Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior

Chippewa, Grand Portage Band of Lake Superior Chippewa

<u>Federal</u>: Superior National Forest (SNF)

<u>University</u>: University of Minnesota Duluth (UMD)

State Agencies: MN Department of Natural Resources (DNR)

NE MN has a track record of successful landscape collaboratives. A subset of the Moose Habitat Collaborative participants including the DNR, The Nature Conservancy, Minnesota Forest Resources Council, USFS, St. Louis County, and Lake County, have been working effectively together for over a decade to implement cross-boundary restoration and reforestation projects under the auspices of the Minnesota Forest Resource's Northeast Landscape Plan.

Ultimately, "stakeholders" include the general populace of Minnesota, but NE MN especially. From a hunting perspective, moose hunting licenses generate revenue used to fund DNR moose research and management. Each year Minnesota's "once in a lifetime" moose hunt garners tremendous amounts of publicity, public interest and enthusiasm regarding this largest of Minnesota's prey species. Tribal members are allowed to hunt moose annually and moose meat is a very important staple of their subsistence. Even more important is the moose's contribution to tribal hunting heritage.

Many communities and entrepreneurs use moose as trademark and advertising tools to promote or sell their area or business. Much the same as moose hunting, they are directly impacted by the abundance or scarcity of moose in the NE. Economically, sociologically and ecologically, moose are intimately beneficial to Minnesota.

# **Planning**

The project will make significant, on-the-ground progress toward achieving multiple components of the LSOHC's "Northern Forest Section Vision," The work emphasizes restoration and forest-based management on public lands within moose range given recent population declines, economic importance, and cherished status of this iconic species. The project will also benefit a suite of wildlife Species of Greatest Conservation Need (SGCN) identified in Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare (2006) and are listed below. In particular, this proposal directly emphasizes implementation of actions 3 & 4 under the "Priority Actions for the Northern Forest Section:"

This project relates to several science-based, statewide conservation and habitat plans described below.

1. <u>Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan (2008)</u>: Of the four primary areas of recommendation proposed by the 2008 plan, our project will implement key aspects of the Land Use and Habitat categories. The specific

recommendations the project addresses fall under the Strategic Areas of; II) Land and Water Restoration and Protection; and III) Sustainable Practice (p. 32). In particular, the proposed work will implement the following recommendations for forest land actions (pp. 131-133) paraphrased here - Support and expand sustainable practices on forested lands such as: 10c) Promote collective/cooperative management of forests to increase multiple benefits; 10e) Develop management practices that improve ecosystem resilience; 10f) Support use of fire to increase forest health and biodiversity.

- 2. MDNR's Strategic Conservation Agenda (2009-2013): The Minnesota DNR in its Strategic Conservation Agenda explicitly identifies restoration and enhancement of degraded habitats through conservation partnerships as goals.
- 3. Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare: an Action Plan for Minnesota Wildlife (2006): The proposed restoration and enhancement work will improve habitat for several Species of Greatest Conservation Need addressed in the 2006 plan 1) early-successional enhancements (moose foraging habitat) golden winged warbler, black-backed woodpecker, heather vole and American woodcock; 2) Conifer plantings (moose cover) gray wolf, lynx, northern goshawk, winter wren, boreal chickadee, boreal owl, Canada warbler, and Connecticut warbler. The management activities (pp. 124-195) implement priority conservation actions called for across multiple ecological subsections with an emphasis on incorporating Species of Greatest Conservation Need habitat concerns in forest management and planning within mixed conifer forest and aquatic habitats.
- 4. Moose Advisory Committee Report to the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (2009): The proposed project will implement several of the Habitat Management Recommendations within the Northeast moose range (pp. 34-35): Increase stand complexity, promote regeneration techniques that encourage mixed stands (e.g., range of natural variability), promote browse production in proximity to winter/summer thermal cover and aquatic feeding areas, promote more regular use of prescribed fire.
- Minnesota Forest Resources Council (MFRC) Northeast Minnesota Landscape Plan (2003): The landscape plan's desired future conditions focus on moving towards the natural range of variability in forest conditions in order to provide a diverse range of habitat conditions that can maintain viable plant and animal populations. While proposed treatments focus on moose habitat, the long-term focus on restoring mixed-conifer and hardwood forests is very consistent with the MFRC's Northeast Minnesota Landscape Plan objectives. Prescribed burns and other treatments will improve the quality of existing early succession habitat while the planting of long-lived conifers and some hardwoods will increase species diversity and help meet long-term landscape plan objectives.
- 6. The Nature Conservancy's Superior Mixed Forest Ecoregional Plan (2002): identified a suite of conservation areas that best represent the ecosystems and species to serve as a blueprint for conservation action in this ecoregion. This plan identified a number of key threats to ecosystems and species, including moose. This proposal addresses two of those key threats; altered fire regimes and forests outside the range of natural variation. The fire treatments, in addition

- to improving moose habitat, will create mineral soil seedbeds for conifers and reduce hazardous fuel loads. The conifer planting, along with creating cover and future mixed forest habitat will also help move forests towards natural variability conditions.
- 7. Superior National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan (2004): This 10-15 year duration plan, built with extensive public, Tribal, and other public agency input, has a goal of managing high quality and well-distributed forest habitat to support populations of wildlife, including moose, for their many cultural, subsistence, wildlife watching, hunting, recreational and other values now and into the future (p2-27, 2-36). Specifically, the plan's forest habitat objectives include increasing habitats that benefit moose: young forest to provide high quality browse; older forests with gaps in the canopy for browse; older conifer forest to provide cover from heat and predators (pp 2-22 to 2-24; 2-61 to 2-78).
- 8. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Strategic Habitat Conservation model (SHC) (2008): This grant proposal is based on science-based strategic planning and evaluation, similar to the SHC. Determining the cause, identifying population objectives, implementing management actions, results verification and utilizing partnerships are SHC objectives (p11-17). In agreement with SHC guidelines, this grant's treatment proposal implements several types of management treatments to create and restore moose foraging habitat through implementation methods that set back forest succession to an earlier seedling-sapling stage on treatment sites.
- 9. Fond du Lac Resource Management Division Integrated Resource Management Plan (2008): Moose are identified as an important species for the Fond du Lac Band of Lake Superior Chippewa and the Band's Resource Management Division should seek to maintain and enhance moose numbers and moose habitat (pp. 53-57).
- 10. Grand Portage Forest Resource Management Plan (1987): The scope of this plan addresses six wildlife entities which the Band has identified as being of particular importance; 1) Moose, 2) Whitetail Deer, 3) Ruffed Grouse, 4) Furbearers, 5) Waterfowl, 6) Black Bear. The plan states a desire "to manage for optimum moose habitat."

# Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds

The Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative will coordinate with other conservation organizations receiving constitutional funding to ensure projects are compatible and complementary; do not have duplicated efforts; and together address the Council's statewide and section priorities.

Of the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, Clean Water Fund, Parks and Trails Fund, and Outdoor Heritage Fund, this project is best suited to apply for funds from the latter because it meets the OHF's objective of restoring and enhancing wildlife habitat. This project will have multiple natural resource, economic, and social benefits, but its greatest benefit is in the enhanced habitat it will provide for a unique mammal,

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

the entire suite of plants and wildlife that utilize the same habitat, and citizens who benefit from the continued existence of moose in the State of Minnesota.

# Relationship to Current Organizational Budget

Outside of this grant proposal to LSOHC, Minnesota Deer Hunters Association does not have an existing budget for restoration of Moose Habitat in NE Minnesota. Therefore, this funding is essential and will not substitute for traditional funding sources. Additionally, the project site treatments outlined within this grant are above and beyond the normal scope of the collaborators. Chosen sites are of sub-commercial grade timber, are inaccessible, are decadent, or are otherwise predisposed to be disadvantageous for timber harvest. As such, other than through this grant, funding would not be readily available to accomplish the moose habitat enhancement prescribed.

# Sustainability and Maintenance

The Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative project will serve as a platform for developing an even larger scale, decade or longer, vision for management of northern forests within the in NE Minnesota moose range. Implementation of management activities included in this project proposal will coincide with an update of the Minnesota Forest Resources Council's Northeast Landscape Plan. Through the process of updating this plan, Minnesota Forest Resources Council's Northeast Landscape Committee support and advice will be sought as a connection with existing resource management collaboratives already operating in northern Minnesota. County, state, tribal, federal and university wildlife and forestry professionals will also be consulted to review result verifications and pursue further collaboration toward advancement and expansion of moose habitat enhancement and maintenance.

The Moose Habitat Collaborative intends to pursue other long-term funding for moose habitat and other related forest restoration projects from federal, private and tribal sources. Also, at the end of the term of this grant, the Collaborative will re-apply to LSOHC for additional state funds. This second phase of funding will be complemented by a mix of federal, tribal and private funding proposals, and will support ongoing stewardship of lands treated with funding from this request, along with management activities on new sites identified for treatment by the Moose Habitat Collaborative.

#### **Outcomes**

#### Short and Medium-term

- Implementation of restoration and enhancement management actions across approximately 18,141 acres of public lands within the northeast Minnesota moose range
- Demonstration of the potential of collaborative forest management to leverage habitat results for a range of declining and rare species and forest conditions

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

- Increase in quality and quantity of browse available for moose using primarily shearing and prescribed fire
- Increase stand complexity and maintain thermal cover components using a variety of silvicultural techniques

#### Long-term

- Promotion of regeneration of long-lived conifers through the use of mechanical treatments, chemical spot treatments, hand release and prescribed fire
- Increased public awareness of the need for moose habitat enhancement across NE Minnesota
- Increased use of managed areas by moose and Species of Greatest Conservation Need

# **Activity Type Detail**

**Fee Acquisition Projects** 

# Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition? Yes No, please explain X not applicable If no, please explain here: Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection? Yes No, please explain X not applicable If no, please explain here:

# Will the eased land be open for public use?

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Will the conservation easement be permanent?

**Easement Acquisition Projects** 

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative								
If no, please explain here:								
Restoration and Enhancemen	t Projects							
Is the activity on permanently prot	ected land and/or public waters?							
X Yes  No, p	X Yes							
If no, please explain here:								
Does the activity take place on an Wildlife Management Area (WMA)		, Scientific and Natural Area (SNA),						
X Yes, which ones	No, please explain	not applicable						
If so, please indicate which ones: 119 acres of MN DNR project sites in Lake County is MN State Forest ownership. TNC will be the site administrator for this treatment.  Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program								
ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011						
\$0	\$0	\$0						
		1						

# **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Milestone	Date
Funding Available	Let Bids for Project Sites	July 2012
Habitat Enhancements	Initial Site Development	September 2012
	Complete	
Habitat Enhancements	Firebreaks cleared, winter	March 2013
Underway	mechanical brushland	
	treatments and timber work	
	completed	
Enhancements Underway	Prescribed burns completed	June 2013
Enhancements Underway	Summer mechanical	September 2013
	brushland treatments, timber	
	work and planting completed	
Enhancements Underway	Firebreaks cleared, winter	March 2014
	mechanical brushland	
	treatments and timber work	
	completed	
Enhancements Underway	Prescribed burns completed	June 2014

Project Title: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

Enhancements Underway	Summer mechanical brushland treatments, timber work and planting completed	September 2014
Enhancements Underway	Firebreaks cleared, winter mechanical brushland treatments and timber work completed in remaining areas	March 2015
Enhancements Underway	Prescribed burns completed in remaining areas	June 2015
Enhancements Underway	Summer mechanical brushland treatments, timber work and planting completed in remaining areas	September 2015

# **Attachments:**

- A. Budget
- **B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5**
- C. Parcel List

#### Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of	Proposal:
---------	-----------

Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative	
7/15/2011	

#### Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

**Total Amount of Request** \$ 6,566,151 From page 1 on the funding form.

#### Personnel

Date:

		Over # of		Anticipated Cash		l
	FTE	years	LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Position breakdown here						
Grant Coordinator	1	4	\$ 223,475			\$ 223,475
Forest Manager - TNC	0.5	4	\$ 114,310	\$ 27,716	covered Indirect Costs	\$ 142,026
Lake County Forester 1	0.17	4	\$ 42,432			\$ 42,432
Lake County Forester 2	0.17	4	\$ 42,432			\$ 42,432
Lake County Forester 3	0.17	4	\$ 42,432			\$ 42,432
Lake County Forester 4	0.17	4	\$ 42,432			\$ 42,432
Lake County GIS & Admin	0.22	4	\$ 79,872			\$ 79,872
Total	2.4		\$ 587,385	\$ 27,716	\$ -	\$ 615,101

#### Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)

Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

#### **Budget Item**

Personnel - auto entered from above

**Contracts** 

Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)

Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)

**Easement Acquisition** 

**Easement Stewardship** 

Travel (in-state)

**Professional Services** 

**Direct Support Services** 

DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)

Other

Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)

Other Equipment/Tools

Supplies/Materials

	<b>Anticipated Cash</b>			
 LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	е	Total
\$ 587,385	\$ 27,716	\$ -	\$	615,101
\$ 5,492,481	\$ 97,332	FDL - USFS	\$	5,589,813
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
\$ 17,083	\$ 9,989	FDL - MDHA- USFS	\$	27,072
\$ 4,440			\$	4,440
\$ 13,232	\$ 55,680	MDHA	\$	68,912
			\$	-
			\$	451,530
\$ -	\$ -		\$	-
			\$	-
\$ 451,530			\$	451,530
\$ 6,566,151	\$ 190,718	\$ -	\$	6,756,868

#### Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above )

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Item 1 enter here		
Item 2 enter here		
Item 3 enter here		
Item 4 enter here		
Item 5 enter here		
Item 6 enter here		
Item 7 enter here		
Item 8 enter here		
Total	-	-

#### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

Name of Proposal:

Date:

Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative 7/15/2011

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

#### Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore					0
Protect Fee					0
<b>Protect Easement</b>					0
<b>Protect Other</b>					0
Enhance				18141	18141
Total	0	0	0	18141	

Total Acres (sum of Total column) Total Acres (sum of Total row)

18141 These two cells 18141 should be the same figure.

#### **Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type**

	Wetlands		Prairies		Forest		Habi	tats	Total	
Restore									\$	-
Protect Fee									\$	-
<b>Protect Easement</b>									\$	-
<b>Protect Other</b>									\$	-
Enhance							\$	6,566,151	\$	6,566,151
Total	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,566,151		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column) Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

6,566,151 These two cells

6,566,151 should be the same figure.

Check to make sure this amount is the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

#### **Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore						0
Protect Fee						0
<b>Protect Easement</b>						0
Protect Other						0
Enhance					18141	18141
Total		0 (	)	0 (	18141	

Total Acres (sum of Total column) Total Acres (sum of Total row) Total Acres from Table 1.

18141 These three cells 18141 should be the same 18141 *figure*.

#### **Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section**

	Metro/Urban		Forest/Prairie	SE Fo	rest	Prairie		North	ern Forest	Total	
Restore										\$	-
Protect Fee										\$	-
<b>Protect Easement</b>										\$	-
<b>Protect Other</b>										\$	-
Enhance								\$	6,566,151	\$	6,566,151
Total	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	6,566,151		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column) Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

6,566,151 These two cells 6,566,151 should be the same figure.

Check to make sure these amounts are the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

#### Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

#### Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability **Permanent Easement NO State PILT Liability** 

•		•			
Wetlan	ds	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
					0
					0
					0
	0	0	0	0	

#### Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

14/-----

r y i : sriouia match total in budget table that is auto

antarad balau

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability **Permanent Easement NO State PILT Liability** 

w	etianas	Prair	ies	FO	rests	нав	itats	otai	emered	i below
								\$ -	\$	-
								\$ -	\$	-
								\$ -	\$	-
\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-			

Name of Proposal: Date: Minnesota Moose Habitat Collaborative

7/15/2011

Parcel Name	County To	ownship	Range Directi	on Se	ection 1	ΓRDS	# of acres		Budgetary Estimate	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	Any existing protection?	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
LC1	Lake County	54	11	2	14	5411214	. 20	20 \$	\$2,721.63	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC2	Lake County	54	11	2	15	5411215	36	36 Ş	\$4,838.28	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC3	Lake County	55	10	2	30	5510230		3	\$446.91	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC4	Lake County	55	11	2	19	5511219	22	22 \$	\$2,945.56	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC5	Lake County	56	07	2	03	5607203	17	17 \$	\$2,202.53	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC6	Lake County	56	09	2	03	5609203	12	.2 \$	\$1,564.34	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC7	Lake County	57	06	2	30	5706230	· :	7	\$941.71	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC8	Lake County	57	07	2	03	5707203	2	27 \$	\$3,601.09	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC9	Lake County	57	07	2	22	5707222	18	.8 \$	\$2,327.68	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC10	Lake County	57	08	2	33	5708233	17	17 \$	\$2,316.32	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC11	Lake County	57	10	2	16	5710216	48	18 \$	\$6,317.73	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with

ı	LC12	Lake County	58	06	2	14	5806214	50	\$6,670.76	decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC13	Lake County	58	07	2	28	5807228	15	\$1,971.85	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
I	LC14	Lake County	58	08	2	12	5808212	13	\$1,687.67	These are small openings in mature conifer stands filled with decadent brush. The brush would be cut and a mix of tree species planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
I	LC15	Lake County	55	09	2	01	5509201	8	\$4,308.89	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
1	LC16	Lake County	55	10	2	01	5510201	11	\$5,640.78	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ĺ	LC17	Lake County	55	10	2	17	5510217	24	\$12,545.68	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
I	LC18	Lake County	55	10	2	19	5510219	19	\$10,076.09	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
1	LC19	Lake County	55	11	2	18	5511218	47	\$24,911.79	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC20	Lake County	55	11	2	19	5511219	30	\$15,722.40	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
1	LC21	Lake County	56	07	2	03	5607203	41	\$21,684.82	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
I	LC22	Lake County	56	08	2	01	5608201	7	\$3,619.79	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

LC23	Lake County	56	08	2	03	5608203	33	\$17,450.60	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC24	Lake County	56	08	2	15	5608215	86	\$45,958.88	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC25	Lake County	56	08	2	22	5608222	27	\$14,507.64	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC26	Lake County	56	09	2	04	5609204	22	\$11,884.82	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC27	Lake County	57	06	2	18	5706218	27	\$14,430.65	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC28	Lake County	57	06	2	19	5706219	9	\$5,007.16	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC29	Lake County	57	06	2	19	5706219	16	\$8,693.38	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC30	Lake County	57	06	2	30	5706230	13	\$7,100.02	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC31	Lake County	57	07	2	03	5707203	26	\$14,033.58	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC32	Lake County	57	07	2	04	5707204	12	\$6,217.44	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

L	LC33	Lake County	57	07	2	06	5707206	38	\$20,235.78	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC34	Lake County	57	07	2	09	5707209	10	\$5,364.02	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC35	Lake County	57	07	2	10	5707210	92	\$49,053.80	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC36	Lake County	57	07	2	14	5707214	4	\$2,060.28	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC37	Lake County	57	07	2	15	5707215	117	\$62,089.38	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC38	Lake County	57	07	2	23	5707223	4	\$2,199.69	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC39	Lake County	57	07	2	26	5707226	7	\$3,622.33	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC40	Lake County	57	08	2	08	5708208	33	\$17,361.71	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC41	Lake County	57	08	2	12	5708212	10	\$5,250.39	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
L	LC42	Lake County	57	08	2	21	5708221	38	\$20,372.27	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

LC43	Lake County	57	08	2	22	5708222	13	\$7,085.60	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC44	Lake County	57	08	2	28	5708228	79	\$41,793.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC45	Lake County	57	08	2	28	5708228	12	\$6,498.89	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC46	Lake County	57	08	2	31	5708231	4	\$1,865.50	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC47	Lake County	57	08	2	36	5708236	5	\$2,465.77	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC48	Lake County	57	09	2	06	5709206	58	\$31,096.53	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC49	Lake County	57	09	2	21	5709221	80	\$42,630.99	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC50	Lake County	57	09	2	26	5709226	40	\$21,354.37	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC51	Lake County	57	09	2	27	5709227	113	\$60,374.76	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC52	Lake County	57	09	2	36	5709236	42	\$22,371.24	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

ı	LC53	Lake County	57	11	2	04	5711204	64	\$33,830.75	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC54	Lake County	58	06	2	08	5806208	26	\$14,012.40	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
l	LC55	Lake County	58	06	2	09	5806209	24	\$12,918.27	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC56	Lake County	58	06	2	11	5806211	29	\$15,670.12	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC57	Lake County	58	06	2	13	5806213	15	\$7,763.02	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC58	Lake County	58	06	2	15	5806215	13	\$6,707.52	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC59	Lake County	58	06	2	19	5806219	97	\$51,486.87	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC60	Lake County	58	06	2	23	5806223	19	\$9,919.76	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC61	Lake County	58	06	2	24	5806224	2	\$1,155.65	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
ı	LC62	Lake County	58	06	2	26	5806226	18	\$9,787.22	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

LC63	Lake County	58	06	2	30	5806230	4	\$2,306.12	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC64	Lake County	58	06	2	31	5806231	72	\$38,147.38	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC65	Lake County	58	06	2	35	5806235	12	\$6,189.44	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC66	Lake County	58	07	2	02	5807202	6	\$3,381.29	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC67	Lake County	58	07	2	22	5807222	15	\$8,239.41	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC68	Lake County	58	07	2	25	5807225	28	\$15,019.02	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC69	Lake County	55	11	2	02	5511202	96	\$50,993.64	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC70	Lake County	57	09	2	27	5709227	78	\$41,274.47	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC71	Lake County	58	07	2	08	5807208	38	\$20,414.19	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC72	Lake County	58	08	2	25	5808225	132	\$70,192.48	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC73	Lake County	58	07	2	26	5807226	9	\$966.16	These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut to improve tree growth.	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes

These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with

									mese stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC74	Lake County	58	07	2	33	5807233	24	\$2,624.14	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC75	Lake County	58	07	2	34	5807234	13	\$1,422.08	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC76	Lake County	58	08	2	29	5808229	124	\$13,590.23	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC77	Lake County	58	08	2	30	5808230	17	\$1,816.88	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC78	Lake County	58	09	2	28	5809228	26	\$2,869.54	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC79	Lake County	58	09	2	33	5809233	32	\$3,565.16	to improve tree growth.				
									These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	_	NI/A	Vas	Voc
LC80	Lake County	59	06	2	13	5906213	45	\$4,920.13	to improve tree growth.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
2000	Lake County	33	00	_	13	3300213	43	ψ <del>4</del> ,520.15	These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC81	Lake County	59	08	2	01	5908201	45	\$4,980.67	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC82	Lake County	59	08	2	02	5908202	8	\$874.49	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC83	Lake County	59	10	2	12	5910212	14	\$1,550.99	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC84	Lake County	59	10	2	23	5910223	11	\$1,198.10	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC85	Lake County	59	10	2	26	5910226	8	\$934.65	to improve tree growth.				
									These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	_	NI/A	Vas	Voc
LC86	Lake County	60	07	2	36	6007236	34	\$3,698.00	to improve tree growth.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
2000	Lake County	00	07	-	30	0007230	34	<b>43,030.00</b>	These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC87	Lake County	63	10	2	18	6310218	13	\$1,424.06	to improve tree growth.				
									These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
1.000		60	40	_	40	6240240	_	6540.47	decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC88	Lake County	63	10	2	19	6310219	5	\$510.47	to improve tree growth. These stands are generally adequately stocked, but filled with				
									decadent brush retarding the tree growth. The brush would be cut	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC89	Lake County	57	09	2	34	5709234	43	\$4,768.91	to improve tree growth. These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush	_	.4/		. 65
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white				
LC90	Lake County	54	11	2	02	5411202	41	\$4,548.04	pine/cedar				

These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush

									These stands have a low density of confirers and a fleavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	NA	103	163
LC91	Lake County	54	11	2	04	5411204	84	\$9,194.06	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									•	11/1		.,
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
				_				4	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC92	Lake County	54	11	2	10	5411210	68	\$7,487.63	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC93	Lake County	54	11	2	11	5411211	23	\$2,563.83	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	103	163
LC94	Lake County	54	11	2	19	5411219	42	\$4,633.50	pine/cedar			
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC95	Lake County	54	11	2	21	5411221	13	\$1,463.94	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC96	Lake County	54	11	2	23	5411223	35	\$3,823.20	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	,	. 65	. 65
LC97	Lake County	55	09	2	07	5509207	37	\$4,071.43	pine/cedar			
2037	zane county	55	03	-	0,	5505207	٥,	ψ .,σ. 1s	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC98	Lake County	55	09	2	18	5509218	39	\$4,309.61	pine/cedar			
2033	zane county	55	03	-		5505215	33	ψ 1,505101	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	,	. 65	. 65
LC99	Lake County	55	10	2	03	5510203	27	\$2,930.02	pine/cedar			
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
				_			_	40	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC100	Lake County	55	10	2	04	5510204	8	\$876.06	pine/cedar			

									These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC101	Lake County	55	10	2	08	5510208	37	\$4,070.60	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC102	Lake County	55	10	2	14	5510214	32	\$3,504.23	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC103	Lake County	55	10	2	17	5510217	33	\$3,593.02	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC104	Lake County	55	10	2	19	5510219	9	\$970.63	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC105	Lake County	55	10	2	27	5510227	13	\$1,380.84	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC106	Lake County	55	10	2	30	5510230	14	\$1,550.20	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	, N/A	Yes	Yes
LC107	Lake County	55	10	2	33	5510233	110	\$12,116.98	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	·		
LC108	Lake County	55	11	2	07	5511207	9	\$977.54	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC109	Lake County	55	11	2	11	5511211	36	\$4,006.49	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth go firch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC110	Lake County	55	11	2	14	5511214	44	\$4,808.49	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	N/A	Yes	Yes

These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush

									These stands have a low density of confliers and a fleavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC111	Lake County	55	11	2	23	5511223	11	\$1,162.59	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	.,,		
LC112	Lake County	55	11	2	24	5511224	24	\$2,593.70	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	14//	165	163
LC113	Lake County	55	11	2	25	5511225	6	\$678.54	pine/cedar			
20113	Luke County	33		-		3311 <b>22</b> 3	Ū	Ç070.54	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC114	Lake County	55	11	2	26	5511226	34	\$3,767.73	pine/cedar			
	,							. ,	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC115	Lake County	55	11	2	35	5511235	20	\$2,197.15	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	,		
LC116	Lake County	56	10	2	30	5610230	7	\$757.98	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	14/75	163	103
LC117	Lake County	57	07	2	14	5707214	4	\$460.22	pine/cedar			
								*	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	,		
LC118	Lake County	57	07	2	15	5707215	21	\$2,326.90	pine/cedar			
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC119	Lake County	57	07	2	23	5707223	19	\$2,140.64	pine/cedar			
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush			
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the			
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white			
LC120	Lake County	57	08	2	12	5708212	59	\$6,515.54	pine/cedar			

									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth go birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC121	Lake County	57	09	2	33	5709233	19	\$2,058.31	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC122	Lake County	58	06	2	07	5806207	36	\$3,931.74	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC123	Lake County	58	06	2	11	5806211	64	\$7,019.31	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the	1976	163	163
LC124	Lake County	58	06	2	18	5806218	42	\$4,578.54	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC125	Lake County	58	06	2	25	5806225	35	\$3,799.26	component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC126	Lake County	58	06	2	34	5806234	38	\$4,197.22	component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	N/A	Yes	Yes
10120	Lake County	36	00	2	34	3600234	36	34,157.22	These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC127	Lake County	58	07	2	04	5807204	68	\$7,488.69	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC128	Lake County	58	07	2	19	5807219	19	\$2,047.09	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the	,		
LC129	Lake County	58	08	2	12	5808212	39	\$4,334.31	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC130	Lake County	58	08	2	24	5808224	29	\$3,153.85	component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	N/A	Yes	Yes

									These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC131	Lake County	58	08	2	25	5808225	93	\$10,189.44	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC132	Lake County	59 (	06	2	13	5906213	15	\$1,604.37	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
LC133	Lake County	59	06	2	23	5906223	20	\$2,254.59	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar		N/A	Yes	Yes
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	<u>:</u>	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC134	Lake County	59	08	2	15	5908215	30	\$3,311.86	of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of coniters and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC135	Lake County	60	06	2	30	6006230	46	\$5,074.33	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
LC136	Lake County	63	11	2	22	6311222	4	\$394.22	brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth E of birch and aspen. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
									These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	1	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC137	Lake County	58 (	07	2	05	5807205	126	\$66,768.86	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site		N/A	Yes	Yes
LC138	Lake County	58	07	2	06	5807206	45	\$24,111.59	preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush		.,,,	res	163
LC139	Lake County	58	07	2	07	5807207	103	\$54,779.59	component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
									These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC140	Lake County	58	07	2	08	5807208	108	\$57,444.26	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	:	N/A	Yes	Yes
LC141	Lake County	58	07	2	17	5807217	33	\$17,467.97	preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush		4		. 20
LC142	Lake County	58	11	2	20	5811220	35	\$18,875.27	component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	:	N/A	Yes	Yes

									Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduce	٠۵			
USFS - Crab Lake Burn	St. Louis County	63	14	2	14	6314214	1791	\$268,650.00	the intensity of any future wildfires.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
	,							7=00,000	Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduce	ce _	N1/A	Vac	Vac
USFS - Morgan Lake 1	Cook County	64	1	2	21	6401221	455.0	\$68,251.54	the intensity of any future wildfires.	C	N/A	Yes	Yes
LISES Margan Lake 2	Cook County	C 4	1	2	20	C401220	409.3	¢61 204 42	Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduct	ce E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Morgan Lake 2	Cook County	64	1	2	29	6401229	409.3	\$61,394.42	the intensity of any future wildfires.  Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduction to reduction to reduction.	ce			
USFS - Morgan Lake 3	Cook County	64	1	2	28	6401228	227.2	\$34,085.75	the intensity of any future wildfires.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduce	ce F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Morgan Lake 4	Cook County	64	1	2	28	6401228	465.0	\$69,750.00	the intensity of any future wildfires.	-	,		. 65
USFS - Morgan Lake 5	Cook County	63	1	2	4	6301204	333.0	\$49,954.70	Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduce the intensity of any future wildfires.	E E	N/A	Yes	Yes
oo. oo. gan zane s	cook county	03	-	_		0501201	555.6	ψ 15/55 H7 0	Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduce	ce _	N1/A	Vac	Vac
USFS - Morgan Lake 6	Cook County	63	1	2	9	6301209	889.5	\$133,419.67	the intensity of any future wildfires.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LICES Margan Lake 7	Cook County	63	1	2	17	C201217	222.7	¢22.207.85	Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduct the intensity of any future wildfire.	ce E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Morgan Lake 7	Cook County	63	1	2	17	6301217	222.7	\$33,397.85	the intensity of any future wildfires. Prescribed fire in the BWCA. Primary goal is fuel reduction to reduc	ce			
USFS - Duncan Lake Burn	Cook County	65	1	2	27	6501227	2800	\$420,000.00	the intensity of any future wildfires.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch 1	St. Louis County	61	12	2	23	6112223	18.3	\$3,050.58	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	_	14//	103	163
USFS - Birch 2	St. Louis County	61	12	2	22	6112222	10.2	\$1,705.67	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.  Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
53.5 5 2	5t. 25a.5 55a.1t,	-		_		011222	10.2	ψ1,, σσισ,	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	F	N1/A	Vac	Vac
USFS - Birch 3	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	11.5	\$1,909.87	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
LICEC Direct 4	Ch. Lavia Cavahy	<b>C1</b>	13	2	15	C11221F	0.0	ć1 222 01	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch 4	St. Louis County	61	12	2	15	6112215	8.0	\$1,332.81	Primary goal is brush regeneration.  Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.				
USFS - Birch 5	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	9.4	\$1,562.46	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch 6	Lake County	61	11	2	18	6111218	24.6	\$4,099.97	Primary goal is brush regeneration.  Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	_	.,,		
USFS - Birch 7	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	24.0	\$4,003.82	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
				_		*		+ ·/	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	E	N/A	Voc	Voc
USFS - Birch 8	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	28.1	\$4,685.53	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	C	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch 9	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	9.5	\$1,584.06	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.  Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
03F3 - BIICH <del>9</del>	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	0112214	3.3	\$1,364.00	Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	_			
USFS - Birch 10	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	7.2	\$1,197.26	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
				_					Prescribed burning to maintain openings outside the BWCA.	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch 11	Lake County	61	11	2	18	6111218	300.0	\$50,001.00	Primary goal is brush regeneration.	-	•		
USFS - Birch Brush 2	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	11.9	\$1,191.02	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t r	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch Brush 2	Lake County	61	11	2	18	6111218	27.4	\$2,744.41	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch Brush 3	St. Louis County	61	12	2	14	6112214	36.7	\$3,667.24	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	E .	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch Brush 4	Lake County	61	11	2	7	6111207	4.8	\$483.54	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t .	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Birch Brush 5	Lake County	61	11	2	7	6111207	69.0	\$6,900.00	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	E -	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 1	St. Louis County	57	13	2	13	5713213	32.9	\$6,587.03	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	E -	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 2	St. Louis County	57	12	2	21	5712221	43.9	\$8,778.29	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t .	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 3	Lake County	56	11	2	3	5611203	5.8	\$1,168.69	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t .	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 4	Lake County	56	11	2	3	5611203	62.0	\$12,403.98	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t -	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 5	Lake County	56	11	2	9	5611209	24.2	\$4,849.65	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t -	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 6	Lake County	57	9	2	31	5709231	92.3	\$18,458.04	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	t -	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 7	Lake County	58	9	2	18	5809218	78.3	\$15,663.28	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

USFS - Laurentian brush 8	Lake County	56	10	2	7	5610207	17.4	\$3,486.25	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Laurentian brush 9	St. Louis County	57	13	2	23	5713223	34.2	\$6,847.63	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush.	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
OSFS - Laurentian brush 9	3t. Louis County	37	13	2	23	3713223	34.2	30,647.03	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush followed by conifer planting	-	11/15	103	103
USFS - Laurentian shear and plant 1	St. Louis County	59	12	2	18	5912218	30.2	\$12,067.65	in clumps.	' E	N/A	Yes	Yes
03/3 Edurentian shear and plant 1	St. Louis County	33	12	_	10	3312210	30.2	712,007.03	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush followed by conifer planting	Ţ.			
USFS - Laurentian shear and plant 2	Lake County	57	10	2	33	5710233	53.6	\$21,444.63	in clumps.	' E	N/A	Yes	Yes
osis Edurentian shear and plant 2	Lake County	3,	10	-	33	3710233	33.0	γ <b>21</b> , 111.03	Mechanical shearing of decadent brush followed by conifer planting	Ţ.			
USFS - Laurentian shear and plant 3	Lake County	56	11	2	22	5611222	40.6	\$16,238.95	in clumps.	' E	N/A	Yes	Yes
	,							, .,	Mowing of exisiting upland brush and enroaching trees followed by	_			
USFS - Cross River 1	Cook County	59	5	2	9	5905209	87.0	\$28,710.00	2 Rx burns to maintain brush component.	Ł	N/A	Yes	Yes
									Mowing of exisiting upland brush and enroaching trees followed by	_	NI/A	Vac	Vas
USFS - Cross River 2	Cook County	59	5	2	9	5905209	13.3	\$4,394.87	2 Rx burns to maintain brush component.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 1	Lake County	57	9	2	18	5709218	45.5	\$6,822.92	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	_	14/15	103	103
									The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 2	Lake County	57	9	2	18	5709218	14.8	\$2,226.70	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	_	,	. 65	
				_				40000	The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 3	Lake County	57	9	2	18	5709218	4.2	\$626.85	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.		•		
UCCC Lillian 4	Laka Carretir		9	2	20	F700330	74.2	¢11 122 4C	The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 4	Lake County	57	9	2	30	5709230	74.2	\$11,132.46	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.  The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial				
USFS - Lillian 5	Lake County	57	9	2	20	5709220	21.6	\$3,234.29	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
OSI S Elinari S	Lake county	37	3	_	20	3703220	21.0	73,234.23	The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial				
USFS - Lillian 6	Lake County	57	10	2	24	5710224	14.4	\$2,158.34	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
				_				7-,	The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	l _			
USFS - Lillian 7	Lake County	57	9	2	19	5709219	12.0	\$1,799.87	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
	,								The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	_	N1 / A	V	V
USFS - Lillian 8	Lake County	57	9	2	30	5709230	43.7	\$6,551.40	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	Ė	N/A	Yes	Yes
									The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 9	Lake County	57	9	2	30	5709230	16.5	\$2,471.50	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.	_	14//1	163	103
			_	_					The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Lillian 10	Lake County	57	9	2	18	5709218	27.1	\$4,071.25	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir.		•		
USFS - Lillian 11	Laka County	57	9	2	17	5709217	140	\$2,232.31	The timber in these units is hard to access and has little commercial	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
OSFS - LIIIIdii 11	Lake County	57	9	2	17	5709217	14.9	\$2,232.31	value. This will be a thinning of spruce/fir. I nis is a saivage saie as the timber in these units nas little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and				
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	1			
USFS - Toohey 1	Cook County	61	5	2	1	6105201	18.2	\$8,111.55	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preparation following harvest followed by planting	c	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	L	IN/A	162	162
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	1			
USFS - Toohey 2	Cook County	61	5	2	12	6105212	36.8	\$16,408.28	in the future stands.	•			
22.2 . 30	coon county		•	-		-100111	33.0	+ 10, .00. <b>2</b> 0					

Inis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little

USFS - Toohey 3	Cook County	61	4	2	17	6104217	31.8	\$14,166.26	of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 4	Lake County	60	6	2	2	6006202	82.7	\$36,843.04	in the future stands. Inis is a saivage saie as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 5	Cook County	61	4	2	14	6104214	18.0	\$8,019.72	subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting		N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 6	Cook County	61	4	2	14	6104214	41.0	\$18,260.57	of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have	L	N/A	ies	163
USFS - Toohey 7	Lake County	61	6	2	23	6106223	39.2	\$17,467.29		E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 8	Lake County	61	6	2	23	6106223	47.7	\$21,259.61	natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 9	Lake County	61	6	2	26	6106226	31.1	\$13,843.71	commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

Inis is a salvage sale as the timper in these units has little

									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and		,		
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 10	Cook County	61	5	2	28	6105228	17.4	\$7,763.69	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	_	14//	163	163
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 11	Lake County	60	6	2	12	6006212	75.8	\$33,793.64	in the future stands.				
,	,							,,	inis is a saivage sale as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	_	21/2	V	V
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	Ė	N/A	Yes	Yes
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 12	Lake County	60	6	2	10	6006210	36.0	\$16,038.83	in the future stands.				
03F3 - 100Hey 12	Lake County	00	U	2	10	0000210	30.0	\$10,036.63	inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and				
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 13	Cook County	61	5	2	7	6105207	55.5	\$24,730.23	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	_	.,		
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 14	Lake County	61	6	2	13	6106213	48.2	\$21,497.70	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and		,		
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 15	Cook County	61	5	2	17	6105217	251.3	\$112,013.19	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and		•	-	
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 16	Cook County	61	5	2	17	6105217	90.4	\$40,284.67	in the future stands.				

Inis is a salvage sale as the timper in these units has little

USFS - Toohey 17	Cook County	61	5	2	17	6105217	42.2	\$18,802.95	commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
U050 7 1 40		64	_		47	5405047	10.5	40.757.66	commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 18	Cook County	61	5	2	17	6105217	19.6	\$8,757.66	in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage saie as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 19	Lake County	61	6	2	25	6106225	27.3	\$12,161.59	in the future stands. Inis is a salvage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 20	Cook County	61	5	2	31	6105231	44.3	\$19,731.85	subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands. Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 21	Cook County	61	5	2	34	6105234	17.0	\$7,593.74	of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 22	Cook County	60	5	2	5	6005205	18.9	\$8,406.98	of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting				
USFS - Toohey 23	Lake County	60	6	2	1	6006201	52.7	\$23,501.66	of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.	L	N/A	Yes	Yes

Inis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little

									This is a salvage sale as the timber in these aims has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	F	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	_	,		
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 24	Lake County	60	6	2	1	6006201	95.3	\$42,458.88	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and		,		
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 25	Cook County	60	5	2	6	6005206	64.5	\$28,732.93	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as tne timper in tnese units nas little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and				
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 26	Cook County	60	5	2	5	6005205	22.4	\$9,980.96	in the future stands. I his is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have	_		.,	.,
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	Ł	N/A	Yes	Yes
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 27	Lake County	60	6	2	12	6006212	10.9	\$4,844.81	in the future stands.				
									I nis is a salvage sale as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and				
USFS - Toohey 28	Cook County	60	5	2	7	6005207	10.8	\$4,800.51	subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.				
03F3 - 100Hey 28	COOK County	00	3	2	,	0003207	10.6	\$4,600.31	inis is a salvage sale as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and				
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 29	Cook County	60	5	2	18	6005218	41.3	\$18,398.94	in the future stands. I nis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little				
									commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
									mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting	_	NI/A	Voc	Voc
									of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
									subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen				
USFS - Toohey 30	Lake County	60	6	2	14	6006214	31.4	\$13,995.52	in the future stands.				
23.3 1001104 30	Lake County	50	J	-	17	3000217	51.7	713,333.32	c.e racare stands				

Inis is a saivage saie as the timper in these units has little

UCCC Tankon 24	Coal County	60	_	2	10	5005240	44.7	Ć5 240 67	commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 31	Cook County	60	5	2	18	6005218	11.7	\$5,218.67	in the future stands.  Inis is a sarvage saie as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 32	Lake County	60	7	2	35	6007235	16.0	\$7,122.72	subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.  Inis is a saivage sale as the timber in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with				
									natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Toohey 33	Lake County	60	7	2	35	6007235	22.2	\$9,900.28	in the future stands. Inis is a saivage sale as the timper in these units has little commercial value. 360 acres will be clearcut with reserves with natural regeneration of birch and aspen. 1127 acres will have				
USFS - Toohey 34	Lake County	60	7	2	35	6007235	20.4	\$9,097.57	mechanical site preperation following harvest followed by planting of white pine at about 400 trees/acre. The moderate stocking and subsequent hand release will allow a component of birch and aspen in the future stands.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
	zane sount,		•	-	33	0007200	20	ψ3,037.137	The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres)	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Twins 1	Cook County	63	1	2	24	6301224	82.7	\$14,654.77	and seeding of birch and jack pine. The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be				
USFS - Twins 2	Cook County	63	1	2	25	6301225	41.7	\$7,389.80	burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres) and seeding of birch and jack pine.  The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be		N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Twins 3	Cook County	63	1	2	24	6301224	13.2	\$2,346.48	burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres) and seeding of birch and jack pine.  The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be	Ł	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Twins 4	Cook County	63	1	2	25	6301225	17.4	\$3,074.16	burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres) and seeding of birch and jack pine.  The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Twins 5	Cook County	63	1	2	25	6301225	42.4	\$7,504.87	burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres) and seeding of birch and jack pine. The remaining timber has no commercial value. The site will be	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Twins 6	Cook County	63	1	2	25	6301225	41.2	\$7,302.82	burned first, followed by a mix of natural regeneration (most acres) and seeding of birch and jack pine. The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 1	Cook County	60	4	2	5	6004205	43.9	\$21,943.05	commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and yellow birch The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 2	Cook County	60	4	2	9	6004209	29.4	\$14,706.69	commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preparations would be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and yellow birch	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
OSI S IVIIU-LEITIPETATICE Z	COOK COUITLY	00	4	4	J	0004203	43.4	714,700.09	y Chow bit Cl				

The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little

									The stands in this area have low timber volumes and netic				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
UCEC Mid to any angle 2	Carl Carret	60	-	2	42	6005343	44.4	¢22 224 26	be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	_	.,,		
USFS - Mid-temperance 3	Cook County	60	5	2	12	6005212	44.4	\$22,221.26	yellow birch The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	-	N1 / A	V	V
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 4	Cook County	60	5	2	14	6005214	47.0	\$23,509.13	yellow birch				
									The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would				
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 5	Cook County	60	5	2	13	6005213	25.2	\$12,604.35	yellow birch				
									The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 6	Cook County	60	5	2	14	6005214	25.1	\$12,550.13	be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and yellow birch		•		
03F3 - Mid-temperance 0	COOK COUNTY	00	3	2	14	0003214	23.1	\$12,330.13	The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	-	21/2	V	V
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	t	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 7	Cook County	60	5	2	14	6005214	34.5	\$17,230.40	yellow birch The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would				
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 8	Cook County	60	5	2	13	6005213	27.3	\$13,663.18	yellow birch				
									The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 9	Cook County	60	5	2	29	6005229	38.2	\$19,083.35	be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and yellow birch				
osi s ivila temperance s	COOK County	00	3	_	23	0003223	30.2	Ç15,005.55	The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preperations would	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	L	N/A	165	res
USFS - Mid-temperance 10	Cook County	60	5	2	32	6005232	30.5	\$15,268.00	yellow birch The stands in this area have low timber volumes and little				
									commercial value. A variety of mechanical site preparations would				
									be used to prepare seedbeds for plantings of conifers, paper and	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
USFS - Mid-temperance 11	Cook County	60	5	2	34	6005234	132.6	\$66,306.46	yellow birch				
									Small openings cut in mature aspen-birch with brush saws followed	_			
SLC1	St. Louis County	59	12	2	30	5912230	37	\$15,614.00	by mixed species planting. No timber harvest. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SECT	3t. Louis County	39	12	2	30	3912230	37	\$13,014.00	Small openings cut in mature aspen-birch with brush saws followed				
									by mixed species planting. No timber harvest. Deer browse	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC2	St. Louis County	59	12	2	30	5912230	16	\$6,752.00	protection applied to any white pine/cedar				
									Small openings cut in mature aspen-birch with brush saws followed				
SLC3	St. Louis County	59	12	2	35	5912235	49	¢20 679 00	by mixed species planting. No timber harvest. Deer browse	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
31C3	St. Louis County	39	12	2	33	5912255	49	\$20,678.00	protection applied to any white pine/cedar Small openings cut in mature aspen-birch with brush saws followed				
									by mixed species planting. No timber harvest. Deer browse	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC4	St. Louis County	59	12	2	31	5912231	50	\$21,100.00	protection applied to any white pine/cedar		•		
									Small openings cut in mature aspen-birch with brush saws followed	_			
SLCE	St. Louis County	FO	12	2	25	E01222E	65	¢27 420 00	by mixed species planting. No timber harvest. Deer browse	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC5	St. Louis County	58	13	2	35	5813235	65	\$27,430.00	protection applied to any white pine/cedar				

SLC6	St. Louis County	59	12	2	31	5912231	127	\$53,594.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC7	St. Louis County	58	13	2	25	5813225	31	\$13,082.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC8	St. Louis County	58	12	2	8	5812208	74	\$31,228.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC9	St. Louis County	58	13	2	24	5813224	74	\$31,228.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC10	St. Louis County	59	12	2	22	5912222	149	\$62,878.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC11	St. Louis County	59	12	2	27	5912227	19	\$8,018.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC12	St. Louis County	59	12	2	31	5912231	43	\$18,146.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC13	St. Louis County	59	12	2	33	5912233	72	\$30,384.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC14	St. Louis County	58	12	2	7	5812207	29	\$12,238.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC15	St. Louis County	58	12	2	7	5812207	199	\$83,978.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

SLC16	St. Louis County	58	12	2	8	581228	45	\$18,990.00	brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC17	St. Louis County	57	13	2	2	5713202	29	\$12,238.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC18	St. Louis County	57	13	2	2	5713202	50	\$21,100.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC19	St. Louis County	57	13	2	2	5713202	33	\$13,926.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC20	St. Louis County	57	13	2	3	5713203	11	\$4,642.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC21	St. Louis County	57	12	2	14	5712214	9	\$3,798.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC22	St. Louis County	57	12	2	28	5712228	9	\$3,798.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC23	St. Louis County	57	12	2	34	5712234	70	\$29,540.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC24	St. Louis County	58	12	2	1	5812201	12	\$5,064.00	These are poorly stocked units with a large component of decadent brush. A variety of mechanical treatments would be used to prepare units for planting. A mix of species would be planted. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar. These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC25	St. Louis County	58	13	2	34	5813234	53	\$28,196.00	component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC26	St. Louis County	57	12	2	18	5712218	17	\$9,044.00	preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection applied to any white pine/cedar	E	N/A	Yes	Yes

These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush

									component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	L	14//	163	165
SLC27	St. Louis County	57	12	2	27	5712227	114	\$60,648.00	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush				
									component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	_	NI/A	Voc	Voc
									preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC28	St. Louis County	57	12	2	27	5712227	76	\$40,432.00	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush				
									component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	_	NI/A	Vaa	Voc
									preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC29	St. Louis County	57	12	2	28	5712228	29	\$15,428.00	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush				
									component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	-		.,	.,
									preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC30	St. Louis County	57	12	2	2	5712202	27	\$14,364.00	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have some mature timber and a heavy brush				
									component. Timber harvest would be followed by mechanical site	_	NI/A	Vaa	Voc
									preparation and mixed species planting. Deer browse protection	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
SLC31	St. Louis County	58	12	2	27	5812227	156	\$82,992.00	applied to any white pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white				
TNC - DNR1	Lake County	59	07	2	16	5907216	26	\$7,020.00	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white				
TNC - DNR2	Lake County	58	08	2	15	5808215	8	\$2,160.00	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white				
TNC - DNR3	Lake County	58	08	2	22	5808222	11	\$2,970.00	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white				
TNC - DNR4	Lake County	58	80	2	23	5808223	24	\$6,480.00	pine/cedar These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush				
									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	Е	N/A	Yes	Yes
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white	L	N/A	163	163
TNC - DNR5	Lake County	57	08	2	14	5708214	19	\$5,130.00	pine/cedar				
									These stands have a low density of conifers and a heavy brush component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the				
									brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth	г	NI/A	Vos	Voc
									of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
TNC - DNR6	Lake County	57	08	2	23	5708223	12	\$3,240.00	pine/cedar				
THE DIVINO	Lake County	31	00	4	23	3100223	14	73,240.00	pinerocadi				

									component. The conifers would be released by hand cutting of the brush. Hand release would also protect and encourage the growth of aspen and birch. Deer browse protection applied to any white		N/A	Yes	Yes
TNC - DNR7	Lake County	57	08	2	07	5708207	19	\$5,130.00	pine/cedar				
									The stands will be a mix of salavge harvest, prescribed fire and	-	N/A	Voc	Yes
GP - Parcel 1	Cook County	63	5	2	19	6305219	60	\$75,000.00	mechanical brush shearing as appropriate.	E.	IN/A	Yes	res
									The stands will be a mix of salavge harvest, prescribed fire and	_	21/2		.,
GP - Parcel 2	Cook County	63	5	2	30	6305230	59	\$75,000.00	mechanical brush shearing as appropriate.	E	N/A	Yes	Yes
							Total Acres 18141	<b>Total \$</b> \$4,952,481.45					