Main Request for Funding Form

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fiscal Year 2013

	i iscai i e					
Program or Project Title	Green Corridor Lega	icy Program – Phase	IV			
Funds Requested:	\$6,306,000					
Fiscal Manager: Pat Dingels Program Manager: Bradley H. Cobb Applicant Organization: Redwood Area Development Corporation (RADC) Street Address: 200 S. Mill St. PO Box 481 City Redwood Falls State MN Zip: 56283 Telephone: RADC: 507-637-4004 Program Manager: 320-493-4695 E-Mail: RADC: @redwoodfalls. Program Manager: @charter. Organization Web Site: .tatankabluffs.						
County Location:						
Ecological Planning Reg	ions:					
Northern Forest	Forest/Prairie	Transition	Southeast Forest			
Prairie	Metro/Urban					
Activity Type:						
Protect - Fee	Protect - Ease	ement 🗌 Prot	ect - Other			
Restore Enhance	e					
Priority Resources addre	essed by activity:					
Wetlands	orests 🛛	Prairie	☐ Habitat			
Project Abstract						

Project Abstract

This program proposal will help create a legacy of habitat connectivity, public access, and economic vitality based on increased outdoor recreational opportunities in the mid-Minnesota River Watershed.

Project Narrative

The Green Corridor Legacy Program – Phase IV would be a continuation of previous Lessard-Sam's Outdoor Heritage Council (LSOHC) appropriations from the Outdoor Heritage Fund (OHF). This regional initiative focuses on the concept of protection and restoration of high quality fish, game, and wildlife habitats using best practices and will target unique landscapes along the mid-Minnesota River watershed that have historical value to fish and game by helping to create new and/or expansions of Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) and/or Aquatic Management Areas (AMA) complexes or "corridors". This program proposal is in alignment with the DNR Region 4 Focus Area priorities.

Design and scope of work

The Glacial River Warren created the Minnesota River Valley as it drained Lake Agassiz 10,000+ years ago. The bluff to bluff wall of water carved out one of Minnesota's most scenic landscapes, creating unique geological features, and unique plant communities along the valley and its primary tributaries.

This program incorporates the basic concepts of sustainability and ecosystem management. Minnesota has lost 99% of the original prairie and has seen dramatic declines in grassland dependent wildlife. Examples of the species showing the greatest conservation need in the Program area; the American Badger, Grasshopper Sparrow, and Bobolink to name just a few. Traditional game species are also affected by the decline in habitat such as pheasants, deer, wild turkey, and a variety of dabbling ducks which are associated to prairie wetland complexes.

Efforts to restore, protect, and enhance our wetlands, prairies, forests, and habitat for fish, game, and wildlife are timely as escalating development pressures threaten remaining natural lands and water resources on both public and private lands. The Green Corridor Legacy Program approach will be based on sound science concepts of plan development, setting conservation priorities, developing short and long term strategies to achieve conservation priorities, implement the strategies, and then continue monitoring the outcomes. All of these concepts and practices will follow existing state wildlife and conservation plans and natural resource management practices in conjunction with agency partners.

Publicly owned natural resource infrastructures (WMA, AMA, SNA, parks/trails, waterways) invigorates local and regional economies by providing outdoor recreation and tourism opportunities supported by hunting, fishing, wildlife observation areas, and other forms of outdoor recreation. New business concepts are likely to arise as a direct result of the recreational and tourism opportunities that will be advanced by this Green Corridor Legacy Program. Because of this concept there is wide support from many stakeholders who will assist the Program activities to achieve the desired outcomes.

The proposed fee title acquisitions will be considered from a priority list of properties based on several key factors of which show the most urgent need and/or opportunities from willing and supportive landowners. All selected projects will have the support and cooperation of the DNR for acceptance into the WMA/AMA inventory system. All proposed projects will provide county notifications and will seek their approval.

The primary work area of the Green Corridor Legacy Program will be Redwood, Renville, Yellow Medicine, Chippewa, Brown, Nicollet, Murray, Lyon, and Cottonwood Counties with an emphasis along the Minnesota River, its tributaries, associated watersheds, and creating/expanding corridor or complexes of habitat. Connecting existing fragments of habitat along and near the Minnesota River and tributaries in the Program area will generate an ecological synergism that will allow game, wildlife, and aquatic species to flourish, while creating multiple outdoor recreational opportunities and improving public access through a series (phases) of funded activities within the nine (9) county Program area.

This Program is directly consistent with the uses of the Outdoor Heritage Fund, as specified in Article XI of the Minnesota Constitution and Minnesota Statute 97A.056: to restore, protect, and enhance wetlands, prairies, forests, and habitat for fish, game, and wildlife. Furthermore, it will produce multiple conservation benefits across a large targeted and planned geographic area.

Planning

The Green Corridor Legacy Program in consultation with area DNR managers will ensure program activities conform to the various state conservation and resources plan objectives and outcomes. This program also conforms to the FY13 Outdoor Heritage Fund (OHF) eleven (11) proposal requirements and the statewide priority criteria for the Prairie Section and Outcomes specifically towards the Minnesota River, associated tributaries, and watersheds.

Some of those state and/or regional plans are:

<u>Outdoor Heritage Fund: A 25- Year Framework:</u> Minnesota's conservation estate, historic conservation investments and future opportunities dated December 15, 2010. <u>Conservation in the Middle Minnesota Valley: A Blueprint and Action Plan</u> This was a LCCMR funded conservation plan awarded to the Green Corridor Initiative and specific to the mid - section of the Minnesota River Valley.

<u>Native Plant Communities and Rare Species of The Minnesota River Valley Counties</u> This document was created by DNR Ecological Resources and will be another document used to evaluate and/or prioritize potential acquisition for consideration. This document is in conjunction with the Minnesota County Biological Survey (MCBS).

<u>Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan</u> identifies habitat loss and degradation as the number one driver of change for wildlife in Minnesota and further states that the prairie regions have experienced the greatest amount of habitat loss of any region.

<u>Minnesota's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy</u> for species in greatest conservation need has identified significant loss and degradation of habitat as the number one management challenge and one of the primary strategies is to provide protection through selective acquisition of key habitats in the prairie regions.

Minnesota's Long Range Duck Recovery Plan lists the objective of restoring a breeding population of one (1) million ducks by 2056. The primary strategy to reach this objective is the protection and restoration of two (2) million acres of habitat of which 70% will be grassland habitat in the prairie eco-region.

Minnesota's Long Range Plan for the Ring-neck Pheasant lists the objective of increasing pheasant populations to 1.8 million birds. Numerous strategies where identified to accomplish this objective; one of which calls for an additional 74,000 acres of grasslands to be protected through acquisitions of WMA's in the primary pheasant range.

Citizens report <u>Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition – The Next 50 Years</u> recommends acquisition goals of an additional 494,000 in the prairie and transitional region over the next 50 years.

The US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) – Strategic Habitat Conservation (SHC) model outlines several key elements; Planning, Implementation, and Evaluation which the Green Corridor Legacy Program has been using as a basis for program development. The Green Corridor Legacy Program will continue to use existing state-wide wildlife conservation and strategic plans in partnership with our local and regional natural resource managers and conservation professionals to best achieve desired outcomes. These plans were developed through the most currently available science and the professional expertise of a broad range of wildlife professionals. These plans, along with use of GIS technology, will be used to identify potential projects based on existing and potential habitat

resources in the program area. This will allow for selection of acquisition tracts that maximize population responses for both game and nongame wildlife.

Finally, this Green Corridor Legacy Program proposal will address many of the visions and priority action items established by the LSOHC for the Prairie Section. The continued emphasis will be on the Minnesota River, associated tributaries, and watersheds within the program area and will protect and restore these unique landscapes that have historical value to fish, game, and wildlife

Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds

The Green Corridor Legacy Program focuses on preserving and restoring natural resources infrastructures while emphasizing habitat connectivity (corridors), viability, and public access to these habitats. Besides funding requests to the Environmental & Natural Resource Trust Fund (ENRTF) by Green Corridor Legacy Program; several SWCD's in the Green Corridor Program area are planning future Clean Water Legacy Fund program proposals. The Green Corridor Legacy Program will assist with submissions to the Outdoor Heritage Fund – Conservation Legacy Program (small grants) with our conservation and wildlife group partners like the Minnesota Deer Hunters Association, Minnesota Waterfowl Association, National Wild Turkey Federation, Ducks Unlimited, and Pheasants Forever. Many other regional initiatives are currently being planned that are in line with Parks & Trails and Arts & Cultural Legacy Funds funding strategies

Relationship to Current Organizational Budget

This program proposal will supplement other traditional forms of funding (past, current, and future) that the Green Corridor Legacy Program has received such as the Environment and Natural Resource Trust Fund and/or our pending federal North American Wetland Conservation Act (NAWCA) grant.

Source of Funding	Amount	Status
ENRTF FY2008	\$1,000,000	Completed 2010
OHF FY2010	\$1,617,000	Completed 2011
OHF FY2011	\$1,651,000	On going-near completion
OHF FY2012	\$1,771,000	Pending Legislative approval
ENRTF FY2012	\$2,000,000	Pending Legislative approval
NAWCA	\$650,000	Pending federal approval

Sustainability and Maintenance

First, initial site restoration (bare ground with native vegetation) and development (signage, parking lots, fencing) will be accomplished by this appropriation. The DNR Division of Fish & Wildlife will manage these properties into perpetuity. Routine maintenance will be managed and determined by area DNR staff funded by their traditional sources like the Game & Fish Fund. Periodic enhancements or improvements will be funded by special funding requests. The DNR requires management or stewardship plans for each project which identify periodic inspections and continuing management of the property site. These management practices include such things as; prescribed fire, weed control, and invasive tree removal as needed or required. Regional partners/stakeholders in conjunction with the Green Corridor Legacy Program will also support these management activities/practices by applying for state funding/grants for on-going maintenance as needed.

Outcomes

The Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase IV is a continuation and expansion of previous OHF appropriations. With previous OHF appropriations (FY10 & FY11) we have permanently protected through fee title 820 acres along the Minnesota River Valley, associated watersheds, and tributaries as WMA's and AMA's which are open to public use. These newly acquired properties from willing and supportive landowners have protected remnant native prairies, rock outcrops, provided nearly 2-3 miles of river/stream shoreline protection, expanded existing public infrastructures, and increase the outdoor recreational system within the Green Corridor program area.

With the increase of these public infrastructures of WMA's and AMA's the Green Corridor Legacy Program has protected critical wildlife and aquatic habitats for fish, game and wildlife and has increased the opportunity for public enjoyment and use of these newly acquired outdoor recreational systems that are in alignment with many of the state's conservation plans previously mentioned. These new or expanded public outdoor recreational systems will provide greater public access to these natural resources and will help enhance an outdoor recreational economy for this region.

Citizens, landowners, stakeholders, state/federal agencies, and local units of government involvement and support will play a critical role in the Green Corridor Legacy Program continuing development and success.

Activity Type Detail

Yes

Fee Acquisition Projects

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

🛛 No, please explain

not applicable

<u>If no, please explain here</u>: Unless county approvals are required – letters of notification will be used. County Board approvals will always be our primary objective.

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection?

Yes

No, please explain

not applicable

<u>If no, please explain here</u>: Some potential properties may have "embedded" acres of permanent protection (CREP/RIM). If so, attempts will be made to seek partial and/or complete landowner donations of those acres.

Easement Acquisition Projects

Will the eased land be open for public use?

	Yes
--	-----

No, please explain

🖂 r	not	арр	lica	ble
-----	-----	-----	------	-----

If no, please explain here:

Will th	ne conservation ea	sement be permanent?		
	Yes please explain here	No, please explain		not applicable
Rest	oration and Enha	ancement Projects		
Is the	activity on perman	nently protected land and/or public wat	ters?	
	Yes	No, please explain		not applicable
lf no,	please explain here	2:		
		ace on an Aquatic Management Area (A ea (WMA), or State Forests?	AMA), S	Scientific and Natural Area (SNA),
	Yes, which ones	No, please explain		not applicable

If so, please indicate which ones: WMA and AMA.

Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program

ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011		
\$1,617,000	\$1,651,000	\$1,771,000 (pending)		

Accomplishment Timeline

Activity	Milestone	Date
Fee Title Acquisition	Project Selection Process	September 2012
	10 appraisals	November 2012
	10 Purchase agreements	January 2013
	10 Closing/Title Transfers	July 2013
Initial	Restoration contract bids	July 2013
Restoration/Development	Complete restoration	June 2014

Attachments:

A. Budget

B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5

C. Parcel List

Attachment A. **Budget Spreadsheet**

Name of	Proposal:
Date:	

14-Jul-11

Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase IV

Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

\$

Total Amount of Request

6,306,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

Personnel

	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Position breakdown here						
Fiscal Adminstrator	0.2	2	\$ 35,000			\$ 35,000
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
Total	0.2		\$ 35,000	\$-	\$-	\$ 35,000

Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.) Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

		Anticipated Cash			
Budget Item	 LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Sour	ce	Total
Personnel - auto entered from above	\$ 35,000	\$ -	\$-	\$	35,000
Contracts	\$ 100,000			\$	100,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)	\$ 6,000,000	\$ 600,000	NACWA -pending	\$	6,600,000
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)				\$	-
Easement Acquisition				\$	-
Easement Stewardship				\$	-
Travel (in-state)	\$ 3,000			\$	3,000
Professional Services	\$ 43,000			\$	43,000
Direct Support Services				\$	-
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)	\$ 35,000			\$	35,000
Other				\$	90,000
Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)	\$ -	\$ -		\$	-
Other Equipment/Tools				\$	-
Supplies/Materials	\$ 90,000			\$	90,000
	\$ 6,306,000	\$ 600,000	\$-	\$	6,906,000

I

Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above)

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Tetal		
Total	-	-

Name of Proposal: Date: Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase IV 14-Jul-11

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion: Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total	
Restore			200			200
Protect Fee			1,500			1500
Protect Easement						0
Protect Other						0
Enhance						0
Total		0	1700	0	0	
		Total Acre	es (sum of Total col	umn)		1700 These two cells
		Total Acre	S (sum of Total rov	v)		1700 should be the san figure.

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Wetlands		Prair	ies	Forest		Habitats		Total	
Restore			\$	120,000					\$	120,000
Protect Fee									\$	-
Protect Easement									\$	-
Protect Other			\$	6,000,000					\$	6,000,000
Enhance									\$	-
Total	\$	-	\$	6,120,000	\$	-	\$	-		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)	\$ 6,120,000	These two cells
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)	\$ 6,120,000	should be the same
Check to make sure this amount is the same		figure.

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore				200		200
Protect Fee				1,500		1500
Protect Easement						0
Protect Other						0
Enhance						0
Total		0	0	0 1700	C)

Total Acres (sum of Total column) Total Acres (sum of Total row) Total Acres from Table 1. 1700 These three cells 1700 should be the same figure.

Attachment B. Output Tables

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban		Forest/Prairie	SE Forest		Prair	ie	Northern Forest	Total	
Restore									\$	-
Protect Fee						\$	6,000,000		\$	6,000,000
Protect Easement									\$	-
Protect Other									\$	-
Enhance									\$	-
Total	\$	-	\$-	\$	-	\$	6,000,000	\$ -		
			Total Dollars ((-	,			ć <u>c 000 000</u>	Those to	uo colle

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)\$6,000,000These two cellsTotal Dollars (sum of Total row)\$6,000,000should be the sameCharle ta such as the same figure.

Check to make sure these amounts are the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

2

2 # miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

		Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
Acquired in Fee with State PI	LT Liability		1,500			1500
Acquired in Fee w/o State Pl	LT Liability					0
Permanent Easement PILT Liability	NO State					0
		0	1500	0	0	

Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

						FYI: SHOUID
						match total in
						budget table
						that is auto
	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	entered below
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability		\$ 6,000,000			\$ 6,000,000	\$ 6,600,000
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					\$-	\$ -
Permanent Easement NO State PILT Liability					\$-	\$ -
	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000	\$ -	\$ -		

Name of Proposal: Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase IV

Date: July 14, 2011

	County	Township (25-258)	Range (01-51)	Direction most parcels are 2 with the exception of some areas of Cook County which is 1	Section (01 thru 36)	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	Any existing protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
Parcel Name													
Cedar Rock WMA addition	Redwood	114	36	2	4	114360204	392	\$1,568,000	Native prairie & rock outcrops	PF	NA	Yes	Yes
Terri WMA	Brown	108	34	2	15	108340215	152	\$608,000	old gravel pit	PF	NA	Yes	Yes
OTTO Memorial WMA	Redwood	113	35	2	28	113350228	236		Expands existing WMA	PF	NA	No	Yes
Coal Mine WMA	Brown	109	35	2	7	109350207	147	. ,	degraded native prairie	PF	NA	No	Yes
Cold Spring WMA add.	Renville	113	36	2	1	113360201	80	. ,	native prairie & bluffland	PF	NA	No	Yes
Whispering Ridge AMA add	Renville	114	36	2	32	114360232	40	. ,		PF	NA	No	Yes
Hilltop WMA (new)	Renville	112	34	2	3	112340203	104	\$416,000	native prairie, oak woods	PF	NA	Yes	Yes
Beaver Falls WMA	Renville	113	35	2	26	113350226	80	\$320,000	restorable wetlands	PF	NA	No	Yes
Bashaw WMA add.	Brown	108	34	2	16	108340216	220	\$880,000	40 acres native prairie	PF	NA	No	Yes
Pat's Pasture WMA add.	Cottonwood	105	37	2	29	105370229	182	\$728,000	DesMoine River shoreline	PF	NA	No	Yes
Arnolds Lake WMA add.	Cottonwood	106	36	2	10	106360210	22	1 /	Arnolds Lake shoreline	PF	NA	No	Yes
St. Leo WMA complex	Yellow Medicine	115	43	2	8	115430208	7	\$28,000	connecting tract to WMA	PF	NA	No	Yes
St. Leo WMA complex	Yellow Medicine	115	43	2	8	115430208	91	\$364,000	connecting tract to WMA	PF	NA	No	Yes
Beaver Creek WMA	Murray	107	41	2	35	107410235	40	\$160,000	old Mud Lake headwater	PF	NA	No	Yes
Devil's Run WMA	Murray	106	39	2	6	106390206	150	\$600,000	wetland CRP expires 2012	PF	NA	No	Yes
Devil's Run WMA	Murray	107	39	2	32	107390232	75	1 /	DesMoine River, CRP expires 2013	PF	NA	No	Yes
Irruption WMA	Murray	106	39	2	20	106390220	80	. ,	DesMoine River, CRP expires 2012	PF	NA	No	Yes
TOTALS							2,098	\$8,392,000					