

Main Request for Funding Form

**Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council
Fiscal Year 2013**

Program or Project Title: Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement

Funds Requested: \$ 6,000,000

Manager's Name: Ray Norrgard

Organization: Mn Department of Natural Resources Division of Fish and Wildlife

Street Address: 500 Lafayette Rd.

City St. Paul **State** MN **Zip:** 55155-4020

Telephone: 651 259-5227

E-Mail: ray.norrgard@state.mn.us

Organization Web Site: www.dnr.state.mn.us

County Location: Statewide

Ecological Planning Regions:

Northern Forest Forest/Prairie Transition Southeast Forest

Prairie Metro/Urban

Activity Type:

Protect - Fee Protect - Easement Protect - Other

Restore Enhance

Priority Resources addressed by activity:

Wetlands Forests Prairie Habitat

Project Abstract

This proposal will enhance the habitat quality of more than 20,000 acres of wetlands and shallow lakes by focusing on pre-design, design, construction and intensive management of basins.

Project Narrative

Design and scope of work

Approximately 30 species of waterfowl are regular migrants through Minnesota. More than a dozen breed and nest in Minnesota. While each of these species has its own particular habitat needs the common bond is a dependence on wetland habitat for survival. Meeting the needs of these waterfowl requires a complex of wetland sizes and types ranging from temporary and seasonal wetlands to large permanent shallow lakes.

Minnesota's breeding waterfowl go through five life stages in our state: Breeding, Nesting, Brood Rearing, Molting, and Migration. Each life stage has its own characteristic habitat needs. For example, for most species, especially dabbling ducks, the number of breeding pairs in the spring is driven by the number of small wetlands. The small size helps reduce disturbance by other ducks and the abundant wetland invertebrates they provide are critical to providing the fat, protein, and calcium needed by hens as they prepare for egg laying.

Nesting dabbling duck hens and some diver species require adequate upland cover for actual nesting but are dependent on nearby wetlands for continuing nutrition throughout the egg laying and incubation period. High quality shallow lakes and wetlands fill this need. Seasonal wetlands are particularly critical for dabbling ducks. Over water nesting species depend on wetlands and shallow lakes with a good interspersion of emergent vegetation nesting sites and material.

Food is critical for the survival of growing ducklings and molting hens. Seasonal wetlands fill this critical role during wet years while semi-permanent wetlands and shallow lakes increase in importance as the summer progresses. Regardless of the wetland type, poor plant and invertebrate quality due to invasive fish and nutrient loading can negate the expected benefits.

Food and protection from disturbance are the critical elements needed to attract and hold waterfowl during fall migration. Wetland quality and depth are critical drivers of wetland based food resources. Large basins provide more inherent protection from disturbance although wetland and shallow lake based refuges are very important.

An estimated 90% of Minnesota's prairie wetlands have been lost, and more than 50% of our wetland resource statewide. Throughout the state, remaining shallow lakes and wetlands provide the critical habitat for each life stage of waterfowl and other wetland wildlife. Unfortunately these benefits are too often compromised by degraded habitat quality due to excessive runoff and invasive plants and fish.

High quality shallow lakes and wetlands have clear water and abundant rooted aquatic vegetation. Emergent aquatic plants such as rushes and wild rice provide protective cover from weather and predators and over-water nesting habitat, while submerged plants provide food in the form of seeds and tubers and critical habitat for aquatic invertebrates. Very shallow seasonal wetlands can be critical sources of invertebrates

and nutritious plant seeds during spring, early summer and fall, particularly for dabbling ducks.

The quality of shallow lakes and wetlands providing wildlife habitat has declined markedly due to landscape changes, increased runoff carrying sediment and nutrients, and invasive plant and fish species. Only about one prairie wetland in five exhibits good quality vegetation while just under a third provide good habitat for invertebrates. While wetlands in the forest-prairie transition fare better with a little fewer than half providing good habitat for invertebrates they actually do a bit worse for aquatic plants due to invasive species.

The habitat quality of these shallow lakes and wetlands can be markedly improved by installing fish barriers where needed and aggressively managing water levels to meet management objectives. This proposal applies scientific assessment to diagnose specific habitat problems and recommend treatments (Pre-design), engineering design of dikes, water control structures, and fish barriers (Design), installing the design elements (Construction), and intensifying the application of management techniques (Management).

The shallow lakes and wetlands identified in this proposal for enhancement were proposed and ranked by DNR Area Wildlife Supervisors through their respective Regional Wildlife Managers. The proposals were reviewed by the Wetland Wildlife Program Consultant and the Wildlife Operations Consultant prior to inclusion in this proposal.

Pre-design assessment will be conducted on 200 basins annually for four years, 28 wetland and shallow lake basins have been identified for final engineering design to upgrade dikes, water level control structures, and fish barriers with 16 of these designs moving to construction. Intensive management will be applied to approximately 20 basins annually for four years. This management will include, but not be limited to, managing water levels, maintaining fish barriers, inducing winterkill of fish, controlling invasive plants and encouraging native plant assemblages.

This proposal reflects the strategies of the 2006 DNR Duck Recovery Plan and 2010 Shallow Lake Plan. These plans underwent substantial review by nearly all the major wetland wildlife conservation groups in Minnesota. Stakeholders have been supportive of the strategies outlined in the plans, although some have expressed frustration with the long timeline.

Planning

Several recent statewide Minnesota planning efforts have called attention to the dramatic loss in both quantity and quality of wetland and shallow lake habitat over the last century and a half. *Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan, A Fifty-Year Vision – Minnesota Campaign for Conservation, Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare*, and *MN DNR Duck Recovery Plan* all emphasize the importance of shallow lakes and associated wetlands in creating viable wetland habitat complexes that are necessary for improvements in wetland wildlife populations.

The *Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan* identifies habitat loss and degradation as the number one driver of change for wildlife in Minnesota. This Plan specifically recommends fee acquisition for WMAs, protection of shallow lake shoreline, and restoring shallow lakes, wetlands, and wetland associated watersheds as important strategies. *Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare - Minnesota's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy* for species in greatest conservation need has identified significant loss and degradation of habitat as the number one management challenge and one of the principle strategies is to provide protection through selective acquisition of key habitats in each Ecological Section. Over 30 species that rely on shallow lakes and wetlands are listed as species of special concern. Minnesota's *Long Range Duck Recovery Plan* lists the objective of restoring a breeding population of 1 million ducks by 2056. The primary strategy is the protection and restoration of 2 million additional acres of habitat including the restoration of 64,000 wetlands and actively managing 1,800 shallow lakes.

This proposal is largely based on the Department of Natural Resources 2006 Duck Recovery Plan. This plan is similar to the Strategic Habitat Conservation model adopted by the US Fish and Wildlife Service in that it establishes a statewide duck population goal, identifies the challenges to be met in achieving that goal, proposes specific strategies and objectives for habitat restoration and protection, and selects specific metrics for evaluating progress.

The LSOHC specifically recognizes the importance of shallow lakes in the Forest, Forest Prairie Transition, and Prairie ecological sections. In addition, wetland complexes and improving wildlife habitat on WMAs were noted as important strategies within the Forest Prairie Transition, and Prairie ecological sections.

[Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds](#)

This proposal targets the enhancement of wetland wildlife habitat on shallow lakes and associated wetlands that contribute to wetland habitat complexes. These are basins are managed by wildlife agencies explicitly for high quality wildlife habitat. The DNR will consult and coordinate with partners to ensure that strategic conservation actions are prioritized within L-SOHC planning sections and that the allocation of available resources is optimized with all available funding sources. Although this work will compliment the goals of other Constitutional Funding, the selection of specific projects is prioritized based on the potential benefits to wildlife rather than consideration of other goals.

[Relationship to Current Organizational Budget](#)

Current DNR Division of Fish and Wildlife expenditures for wetland and shallow lake work for wildlife habitat total approximately \$2.36 million out of a total Division budget of \$90.3 million. The total DNR annual budget approximates \$456 million. The cost of this proposal exceeds the current funding available for wetland and shallow lake management. Additional funding is necessary to accelerate shallow lake management including the enhancement of this critically important 5100 acre lake.

Sustainability and Maintenance

The management of Marsh Lake once the construction is completed will fall on existing staff of the Department of Natural Resources. These staff are funded through license fees and legislative appropriations. Periodic enhancements such as invasive species removal, supplemental vegetation planting or water control structure installation and replacements will be accomplished through annual funding requests to a variety of funding sources including, but not limited to, the Game and Fish Fund, bonding, gifts, the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, the Outdoor Heritage Fund, and federal sources such as North American Wetland Conservation Act grants.

Outcomes

Accelerating the intensive management of wetlands and shallow lakes through the Pre-design, Design, Construction, and Management components of this proposal will increase the occurrence of aquatic vegetation and production of invertebrates within wetland complexes. Waterfowl, shorebird, and waterbird use will increase, especially during migration. Improved hunting and viewing opportunities will follow the increased bird use of these wetlands and shallow lakes.

Activity Type Detail

Fee Acquisition Projects

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Is the land you plan to acquire free of any other permanent protection?

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Easement Acquisition Projects

Will the eased land be open for public use?

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Will the conservation easement be permanent?

Yes No, please explain X not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Restoration and Enhancement Projects

Is the activity on permanently protected land and/or public waters?

X Yes No, please explain not applicable

If no, please explain here:

Does the activity take place on an Aquatic Management Area (AMA), Scientific and Natural Area (SNA), Wildlife Management Area (WMA), or State Forests?

X Yes, which ones No, please explain not applicable

If so, please indicate which ones: The activities will take place on public waters with an emphasis on those within or adjacent to wildlife management areas and waterfowl production areas.

Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program

ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011
\$	\$1,463,000	\$

Accomplishment Timeline

Activity	Milestone	Date
Pre-design	200 basins annually	2013 - 2016
Design	28 Final Engineering Plans	2015
Construction	16 Completed Projects	2016
Management	20 basins annually	2013-2016

Attachments: *[Attach the spreadsheet to the web application form.]*

- A. Budget**
- B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5**
- C. Parcel List**

Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of Proposal:	Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement
Date:	6/29/2011

[Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.](#)

Total Amount of Request \$ 6,000,000 *From page 1 on the funding form.*

Personnel

Position breakdown here	FTE	Over # of years	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash		Total
				Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	
<i>Pre-design NR Specialists</i>	3	4	\$ 550,000			\$ 550,000
<i>Pre-design Seasonal Interns</i>	3	4	\$ 368,000			\$ 368,000
<i>Management NR Specialists</i>	4	4	\$ 720,000			\$ 720,000
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
Total	10		\$ 1,638,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,638,000

Budget and Cash Leverage *(All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)*

Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

Budget Item	LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash		Total
		Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	
Personnel - auto entered from above	\$ 1,638,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,638,000
Contracts	\$ 3,519,000			\$ 3,519,000
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)				\$ -
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)				\$ -
Easement Acquisition				\$ -
Easement Stewardship				\$ -
Travel (in-state)	\$ 40,000			\$ 40,000
Professional Services	\$ 409,000			\$ 409,000
Direct Support Services	\$ 260,000			\$ 260,000
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)				\$ -
Other				\$ 134,000
Capital Equipment <i>(auto entered from below)</i>	\$ -	\$ -		\$ -
Other Equipment/Tools	\$ 134,000			\$ 134,000
Supplies/Materials				\$ -
	\$ 6,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 6,000,000

Capital Equipment *(single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above)*

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Total	-	-

Attachment B. Output Tables

Name of Proposal:	Wetlands and Shallow Lake Enhancement
Date:	6/29/2011

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore					0
Protect Fee					0
Protect Easement					0
Protect Other					0
Enhance	12,800				12800
Total	12800	0	0	0	0

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)

12800	These two cells should be the same figure.
12800	

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore					\$ -
Protect Fee					\$ -
Protect Easement					\$ -
Protect Other					\$ -
Enhance	\$ 6,000,000				\$ 6,000,000
Total	\$ 6,000,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)
Check to make sure this amount is the same as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

\$ 6,000,000	These two cells should be the same figure.
\$ 6,000,000	

Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore						0
Protect Fee						0
Protect Easement						0
Protect Other						0
Enhance		9000		3500	300	12800
Total	0	9000	0	3500	300	0

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)
Total Acres from Table 1.

12800	These three cells should be the same figure.
12800	
12800	

Attachment B. Output Tables

Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore						\$ -
Protect Fee						\$ -
Protect Easement						\$ -
Protect Other						\$ -
Enhance	\$ 300,000	\$ 2,060,000		\$ 3,200,000	\$ 440,000	\$ 6,000,000
Total	\$ 300,000	\$ 2,060,000	\$ -	\$ 3,200,000	\$ 440,000	

Total Dollars (sum of Total column) \$ 6,000,000 *These two cells should be the same figure.*
 Total Dollars (sum of Total row) \$ 6,000,000
 Check to make sure these amounts are the same as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability					0
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					0
Permanent Easement <i>NO State PILT Liability</i>					0
	0	0	0	0	

Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability					\$ -	\$ -
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					\$ -	\$ -
Permanent Easement <i>NO State PILT Liability</i>					\$ -	\$ -
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

FYI: should match total in budget table that is auto entered below

Attachment C. Parcel List

Name of Proposal: Wetland and Shallow Lake Enhancement
Date: 9/29/2011

County	Township (25-258)	Range (01-51)	Direction most parcels are 2 with the exception of some areas of Cook County which is 1	Section (01 thru 36)	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	Any existing protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
Parcel Name												
						276	\$12,000	Design				
						TBD	\$12,000	Design				
						65	20,000	Design				
						12	20,000	Design				
						160	20,000	Design				
						TBD	12,000	Design				
						TBD	12,000	Design				
						TBD	12,000	Design				
						142	15,000	Design				
						TBD	15,000	Design				
						60	15,000	Design				
						TBD	TBD	Design and Construction				
						TBD	TBD	Design and Construction				
						160	562,000	Design and Construction				
						310	370,000	Design and Construction				
						52	85,000	Design and Construction				
						160	312,000	Design and Construction				
						25	165000	Design and Construction				
						15	165000	Design and Construction				
						14	72000	Design and Construction				
						77	165000	Design and Construction				
						228	105,000	Design and Construction				
						30	315,000	Design and Construction				
						80	315,000	Design and Construction				
						20	52,000	Design and Construction				