# Main Request for Funding Form

# Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fiscal Year 2013

Program or Project Title: Conserv	ation Partners Legacy Grant Program
Funds Requested: \$6,000,000	)
Manager's Name: Leslie Tannahill Organization: Department of Natural Street Address: 500 Lafayette Rd City St. Paul State MN Zip: 55155 Telephone: 651-259-5242 E-Mail: leslie.tannahill@state.mn.us Organization Web Site: mndnr.gov	Resources – Division of Fish and Wildlife -4020
County Location: Statewide	
Ecological Planning Regions: all	
☐ Northern Forest ☐ Forest/	Prairie Transition
☐ Prairie ☐ Metro/0	Jrban
Activity Type: all	
☐ Protect - Fee ☐ Protect	- Easement
Restore Enhance	
Priority Resources addressed by ac	tivity: all
☐ Wetlands ☐ Forests	☐ Prairie ☐ Habitat
	ant Program will be managed by the Department etitive matching grants to local, regional, state, noticely appropriate the property of the programments.

# **Project Narrative**

# **Design and scope of work**

The Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program (CPL) will be managed by the Minnesota Department of Natural Resources (DNR) to provide competitive matching grants of up to \$400,000 to local, regional, state, and national non-profit organizations, including governments. This program will fulfill MS 97a.056 Subd. 3a, which directs the LSOHC to establish a conservation partner's grant program to encourage and support local conservation efforts. \$5,620,000 of the requested \$6,000,000 will be available for grants. While this is a stand-alone program, it is dependent support and technical advice from DNR land managers, habitat specialists, acquisition staff, and other support staff.

Grant activities include the enhancement, restoration, or protection of forests, wetlands, prairies, and habitat for fish, game, or wildlife in Minnesota. A 10% match from nonstate sources is required for all grants. Match may be cash or in-kind resources. All match must be identified at the time of application.

CPL Program Staff will develop a Request for Proposal and Program Manual that incorporate the Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council's (LSOHC) priorities, solicit applications and work with applicants to submit scorable applications, oversee the grant selection process, prepare and execute grant documents, review expenditure documentation to ensure recipients are only reimbursed for allowable expenses, make reimbursement payments, monitor grant work, assist recipients with closing out their agreements, and prepare reports as required by the LSOHC.

Applicants will describe the location of the work, activity type, and habitat in their application. They will also describe the how their actions will benefit habitat and fish, game and wildlife, and the duration of benefits. For acquisition projects, applicants will describe their parcel selection process.

In administering this program the DNR will comply with the Department of Administration - Office of Grants Management policies.

Stakeholders are involved in this proposal as applicants or reviewers. There is no known stakeholder opposition to this program.

#### **Grant Application Process**

A Request for Proposal (RFP) and Program Manual (PM) will be posted on the CPL website in early August, 2012. These documents will contain grant program information, application requirements and scoring criteria, grant reporting requirements, and state agency contacts.

Applications must be submitted electronically using CPL's Online Grant Application System (OLGA). All project sites must be mapped using OLGA's mapping tool. OLGA will accept applications beginning in August, 2012, until the deadline for the first round of grants in mid-September, 2012. The application system will not accept applications during the review process. Applications requesting less than \$25,000 will have a shorter application form in OLGA.

Grants will be selected for funding up to twice a year. Any ungranted funds from the first cycle will be available for use in a following cycle, or may be distributed to current grantees to assist approved projects. DNR may to make additional awards under this announcement, consistent with DNR and Outdoor Heritage Fund policy and guidance, if additional funding becomes available or if a grantee cannot carry out their project as planned.

#### **Grant Selection Process**

CPL Grant Program Staff will review applications to make sure they are complete and meet grant program requirements. Technical Review Committee(s), selected by the Commissioner of Natural Resources, will review and score applications based on criteria listed below. These committee(s) may include representatives from DNR, BWSR, the University of MN, state universities or private colleges, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, and other appropriate members from government, non-profit organizations, and private businesses. A final ranking committee made up of the Directors of the DNR Divisions of Fish and Wildlife, Ecological Resources/Waters, and Forestry will consider TRC, Division and Regional DNR comments, and recommend projects and funding levels to the Commissioner of Natural Resources. The Commissioner will make the final decision on the projects funded and funding levels.

Applications requesting under \$25,000 will be reviewed by CPL staff, using the same criteria as the larger applications.

CPL Grant Program staff will work with grantees to ensure financial reviews, grant agreements, and any other necessary paperwork are completed. Work may not begin until the grant is executed.

#### **Application Criteria**

Applications will be scored on the following criteria:

- 1. Amount of habitat restore, enhanced, or protected
- 2. Local support
- 3. Degree of collaboration
- 4. Urgency
- 5. Multiple benefits
- 6. Habitat benefits
- 7. Consistency with current conservation science
- 8. Adjacent to protected lands
- 9. Full funding of project
- 10. Budget and cost effectiveness
- 11. Public access for hunting and fishing
- 12. Use of native plant materials
- 13. Applicants' capacity to successfully complete work

#### **Project Reviews and Reporting**

Grantees will submit annual accomplishment reports on forms provided by CPL staff, based on L-SOHC report forms. Reports must account for the use of grant and match funds, and outcomes in measures of wetlands, prairies, forests, and fish, game, and wildlife habitat restored, enhanced, and protected. The report must include an evaluation of these results. A final report will be required by all grantees 30 days after the project is complete.

CPL Grant Program staff will submit accomplishment reports to the L-SOHC as required. Accomplishment information will also be posted on the CPL website.

#### **CPL Administration Budget**

Grant administration costs of \$380,000 will be billed using actual costs. These costs include salary and fringe for grants staff, direct support services, travel, supplies, and expense. An internal Service Level

Agreement (SLA) will be developed with DNR's Management Information Systems to update and manage the online grant application system. (This budget item is included in the Contracts line in the attached Budget Table.)

This level of staff is necessary to run an ongoing, cradle-to-grave grant program with a cumulative budget of over \$14 million (FY10 – FY12). As of June 30, 2011, the program has 81 active grants to manage (from FY10 and FY11 only). Thirty-five new grants are expected from the FY12 appropriation, and also the FY13 appropriation, for a approximate total of 150 grants to manage. Funds are included to cover the direct costs of hiring the additional .5 FTE, a computer, and other start-up costs. The travel budget has also been increased to reflect more grant monitoring and increased technical assistance for applicants and grantees.

#### **DNR Land Acquisition Costs**

Applicants will be required to budget for DNR Land Acquisition costs that are necessary to support the land acquisition process for parcels to be conveyed to the DNR. These costs will be billed to the grantees on a professional services basis.

#### **DNR Technical Support**

The Division of Fish and Wildlife staff will provide ongoing technical guidance. This technical guidance will help applicants prepare grant proposals, and help grantees meet requirements for working on state lands. Additional technical guidance will be provided for land acquisitions, program planning and management, accounting and grantee payment.

#### **Grantee Payment**

Grantees will be paid on a "for services rendered" basis. This means that payment may be made to the grantee after work has been performed or materials purchased, but before the vendor is paid by the grantee. Grantees must provide proof that the work has been completed or a purchase made in order to receive payment. Proof that the vendor has been paid must be submitted to CPL staff before any additional grant payments will be made.

Reasonable amounts may be advanced to projects to accommodate cash flow needs, to match federal share, or for acquisitions. Advances must be specified in the final grant agreement. Partial payments will be allowed.

#### **Grantee Match**

Grantees will be required to provide a 10% match from nonstate sources. Match may be cash or in-kind resources, and must be identified at the time of application. All match must be verified before final grant payment will be made.

#### **Planning**

This program will provide additional funds to enhance, restore, and protect habitat in Minnesota. All published resource management and species plans—including the Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan—recognize that habitat is critical for the success of Minnesota's fish and wildlife species. Lack of funding is consistently listed in many plans as one of the largest issues limiting the amount of habitat work and protection that is completed each year. Specific benefits are dependent upon projects submitted by applicants.

#### **Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan**

In the *Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan*, habitat restoration, enhancement and protection is specified in the following priorities:

- H1: Protect priority land habitats (pg 63)
- H2: Protect critical shorelands of streams and lakes (pg 67)
- H3: Improve connectivity and access to recreation (pg 74)
- H4: Restore and protect shallow lakes (pg 78)
- H5: Restore land, wetlands, and associated wetlands (pg 80)
- H6: Protect and restore critical in-water habitat of lakes and streams (pg 81)
- H7: Keep water on the landscape (pg 84)
- LU 8: Protect large blocks of forested land (pg 130)
- L10: Support and expand sustainable practices on working forested lands (pg 131)

#### **Other Published Resource Management Plans**

Other plans that list habitat restoration, enhancement and protection as priorities include:

- Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare (Minnesota's Comprehensive Wildlife Conservation Strategy), which identifies habitat loss and degradation as the primary problem facing species in greatest conservation need in Minnesota.
- The State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan Strategies #1 and 2.
- The DNR's Division of Fish and Wildlife has several key plans identifying acquisition and habitat
  goals for fish and wildlife populations. Habitat goals are also addressed through more focused
  plans and programs that can be found on the DNR's website.
- National plans include the North American Wetland Management Plan, various Joint Venture Plans, National Fish Habitat Initiative, and all the Bird Conservation Plans.
- Non-governmental conservation agencies such as Ducks Unlimited, The Nature Conservancy, and Audubon Minnesota have developed their own conservation plans that list habitat restoration, enhancement and protection as a priority.

#### **LSOHC Section Priorities**

The CPL Grant Program has the potential to address all LSOHC section priorities. Habitat restoration, enhancement and/or protection are a part of each priority, and these are the activities CPL funds. Again, specific benefits depend upon the projects submitted by applicants.

# **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds**

The CPL Grant Program will complement other programs and projects supported with constitutional funds by allowing organizations to access Outdoor Heritage Funds for smaller, local projects.

## **Relationship to Current Organizational Budget**

In FY10, DNR received \$4 million for grants and administration of this program. These were new funds for a new program and did not supplant existing funds. In FY11, DNR received \$4,386,000, and in FY12, \$5,629,000 was recommended by the LSOHC to continue the program. None of these funds supplanted existing funds.

This program funding is of reasonable size given the scale of DNR's recent fiscal year expenditures. Though Outdoor Heritage Funds will be spread out over multiple years, below are approximate Fiscal Year 2010 expenditures from all sources, not including bonding:

All DNR expenditures - \$456 million Ecological and Water Resources Division - \$74.6 million Fish and Wildlife Division - \$90.3 million Forestry Division - \$83.2 million

# **Sustainability and Maintenance**

Projects on public lands will be the responsibility of the government entity that owns or accepts the land. Projects on private lands will be the responsibility of the private land owner and easement holder. Stewardship plans must identify the sources and amount of funding for monitoring and identify the parties responsible for monitoring and enforcing the easement agreement.

Because the actual projects have not been identified at this time, it is not possible to provide specific details on the cost, schedule, and sources of funding needed to sustain CPL projects.

#### **Outcomes**

Because the CPL program works statewide, in all habitat types, and with all activities, it has the potential to provide all of the outcomes listed in the Outdoor Heritage Fund Framework. Final benefits are dependent upon the projects completed by grantees.

The CPL program has already provided benefits to people and resources throughout the state of Minnesota. Local conservation clubs have taken the time to work with public land managers to apply for grants to protect, restore, and enhance habitat for fish, game and wildlife in or near their communities. Lands have been acquired that provide public access for outdoors-related recreation, provide permanent protection for sensitive features, and habitat for fish, game, and wildlife. Existing habitat has been restored or enhanced to further benefit fish, game and wildlife.

More Minnesotans have become involved in conservation work. By talking about specific projects with local land managers, participating in grant writing and management, volunteering to pull buckthorn and hand-harvest prairie seed, folks are getting outside and performing conservation work.

#### **Activity Type Detail**

#### **Fee Acquisition Projects**

Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?

#### For Acquisitions to be Donated to the DNR

DNR Operational Order 6 provides for county notification as follows:

The DNR will notify the appropriate county official of all proposed land acquisitions and easements, including donations. The DNR discipline initiating the transaction is

responsible for the notification. For each transaction, the timing as to when the notification will occur will vary, but it will normally occur after an option has been signed but before there is an election to purchase.

County board approval is required for the purchase or lease of wildlife management area lands, wildlife management area easements and scientific and natural areas, and lands purchased with Reinvest in Minnesota (RIM) match funds (Minn. Stat., secs. 84.033, subd. 3, 84.944, subd. 3, and 97A.145, subd. 2).

## For Acquisitions NOT to be Donated to the DNR

Grantees will be required to follow the local government notification requirements of the entity that will hold the fee title or conservation easement to the property.

Is th	ne land you plan to	acquire free of any other permanent	protectio	n?
χ·	res .	No, please explain		not applicable
If no	o, please explain he	re:		
Eas	sement Acquisiti	on Projects		
Will	the eased land be	open for public use?		
	Yes	X No, please explain	] not ap	plicable
If no	o, please explain he	re: Public access will depend upon t	he require	ements of the title holder.
Will	the conservation e	asement be permanent?		
Χ	Yes	No, please explain		not applicable
If no	o, please explain he	re:		
Res	storation and En	hancement Projects		
Is th	ne activity on perma	anently protected land and/or public	waters?	
Χ	Yes	No, please explain		not applicable
If no	o, please explain he	re:		
		olace on an Aquatic Management Are Area (WMA), or State Forests?	ea (AMA),	Scientific and Natural Area (SNA),
If so	Yes, which ones	X No, please explain hich ones: Location of projects will be	oe determ	not applicable ined by applicants.

# **Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program**

ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011
\$4,000,000	\$4,386,000	\$5,629,000 (requested)

# **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Milestone	Date
Solicit and select grant	RFP and PM posted online	Aug 2012
applications		
	First round applications due	Mid-Sept 2012
	First round FY 13 grantees announced	Mid-Nov 2012
	First round grants encumbered	Feb 2013
Grantees start work	First round grants executed	March 2013
Solicit and select second round	Second round applications due	Feb 2013
grant applications (if necessary)		
	Second round FY 13 grantees announced	May 2013
	Second round grants encumbered	June 2013
Grantees start work	Second round grants executed	July 2013
Grant monitoring starts	Per OGM policy	April 2013
Annual Grantee reports due	Reports received	Sept of each
		year
Program report to Council	Report submitted	Aug and Feb of
		each year

# **Attachments:**

- A. Budget
- **B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5**
- C. Parcel List

#### Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of Proposal:	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program
Date:	30-Jun-11

# Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

**Total Amount of Request** \$ 6,000,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

#### **Personnel**

		Over # of		Anticipated Cash		
	FTE	years	LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Position breakdown here						
Grant Coordinator	1	2	\$ 142,000			\$ 142,000
Grant Spec Intermed	1	2	\$ 104,000			\$ 104,000
Grant Spec Intermed	1	2	\$ 104,000			\$ 104,000
position 4						\$ -
position 5						\$ -
position 6						\$ -
position 7			·		·	\$ -
Total	3		\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 350,000

# Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)

Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

Budget Item
Personnel - auto entered from above
Contracts
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)
Easement Acquisition
Easement Stewardship
Travel (in-state)
Professional Services
Direct Support Services
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)
Other
Canital Equipment (auto entered from helow)

Capital Equipment (auto entered from below	v)
Other Equipment/Tools	

Supplies/Materials

	<b>Anticipated Cash</b>			
LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Sou	irce	Total
\$ 350,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$	350,000
\$ 5,635,000	\$ 562,000	est. grantee match	\$	6,197,000
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
			\$	-
\$ 5,000			\$	5,000
			\$	-
\$ 6,500			\$	6,500
			\$	-
			\$	3,500
\$ -	\$ -		\$	-
\$ 2,000			\$	2,000
\$ 1,500			\$	1,500
\$ 6,000,000	\$ 562,000	\$ -	\$	6,562,000

# Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above )

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Total	-	-

#### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

NI	_ £	D		١.
Name	OΤ	Pro	posa	ı

Date:

Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program	
30-Jun-11	

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

#### Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	TBD by grantees				0
Protect Fee					0
<b>Protect Easement</b>					0
<b>Protect Other</b>					0
Enhance					0
Total		0	0	0	0

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)

O These two cells should be the same figure.

#### **Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type**

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	Total
Restore	TBD by grantees				\$ -
Protect Fee					\$ -
<b>Protect Easement</b>					\$ -
Protect Other					\$ -
Enhance					\$ -
Total	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	-
Total	¥	Y	Y	Ÿ	

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

\$ - These two cells should be the same figure.

Check to make sure this amount is the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

# Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern F	orest Total			
Restore	TBD by grantees						0		
Protect Fee							0		
<b>Protect Easement</b>							0		
Protect Other							0		
Enhance							0		
Total		0	0	0	0	0			
	Total Acres (sum of Total column)								

Total Acres (sum of Total column)
Total Acres (sum of Total row)
Total Acres from Table 1.

O These three cells
O should be the same
figure.

#### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

#### **Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section**

Restore Protect Fee Protect Easement Protect Other Enhance Total

Metro/Urban		Forest/Prairie	SE Fore	est	Prairie		Northern Fore	st T	otal	
TBD by grantees									\$	-
									\$	-
									\$	-
									\$	-
									\$	-
\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

- These two cells should be the same figure.

Check to make sure these amounts are the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

#### Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

TBD by grantees

# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability

Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability

Permanent Easement PILT Liability

NO State

Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
TBD by grantees	380			380
				0
				0
0	380	0	0	

# Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

FYI: should match total in budget table that is auto

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability

Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability

Permanent Easement NO State

PILT Liability

Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	entered below
TBD by grantees				\$ -	\$ -
				\$ -	\$ -
				\$ -	\$ -
\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		

## Attachment C. Parcel List

Name of Proposal:	Conservation Partners Legacy Grant Program										
Date:	30-Jun-11										
County	Township Range (25-258) (01-51)	Direction most parcels are 2 with the exception of some areas of Cook County which is 1	Section (01 thru 36)	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
Parcel Name											
Information provided will be used to map pro	oject locations. Incon	nplete or inacco	urate informat	tion will resu	ult in that	parcel or program not being	ı тарреd.				