## Main Request for Funding Form

### Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Fiscal Year 2013

Program or Project Title:	Mid-Minnesota River Corridor Habitat Restoration and
Freedo Domeso(od	Enhancement
Funds Requested:	\$ 1,317,000

Manager's Name: *Catherine Fouchi* Organization: MN DNR Street Address: 261 Hwy 15 So. City New Ulm State MN Zip: 56073 Telephone: 507.359.6034 E-Mail: cathi.fouchi@state.mn.us Organization Web Site: www.dnr.state.mn.us

# **County Location**:

Eco	Ecological Planning Regions <i>:</i>									
	Northern Forest	Forest/F	Prairie	Transitio	on 🗌	] Southeast Fores	st			
$\square$	Prairie	Metro/U	rban							
Acti	vity Type:									
	Protect - Fee	Protect -	Ease	ement	Prote	ect - Other				
	🛛 Restore 🖾 Enhance									
Pric	rity Resources addre	essed by acti	vity:							
	Wetlands 🗌 F	orests	$\square$	Prairie	$\geq$	Habitat				

## **Project Abstract**

Habitat in the Minnesota River Corridor between Granite Falls and New Ulm will be restored and enhanced for terrestrial and aquatic species and rare plant/animal communities.

## **Project Narrative**

This four year project will accelerate habitat restoration and enhancement in the MN River corridor between Granite Falls and New Ulm on AMAs, WMAs, SNA's, and State Parks. A highly engaged group of organizations (including Green Corridor, local governments and citizens) is resulting in increasing amounts of state land holdings in the area and increasing interest for hunting, angling and wildlife watching opportunities. These lands contain a number of rare species and plant communities. Two sites are rated as outstanding in biological significance by MCBS, several others are rated high or moderate. Detailed information about these rare native species and plant communities can be found in DNR Biological Report No. 89. Three designated trout streams in the project area will receive added protection/access and in-stream habitat enhancement.

## Design and scope of work

In order to leverage the resources of local groups aimed at increasing quality habitat, DNR will employ a variety of strategies. Strategies include accelerating tree and brush removal from prairie remnants, increasing prescribed burning, native seed collection to increase biodiversity in restoration/enhancements, in-stream habitat work on three designated trout streams, easements for stream protection and access, increasing personnel resources for coordination with local groups and implementation of enhancement projects. Focusing additional resources in this area will: help insure the retention of remnant native plant communities and rare animals/plants for future generations to access, will increase habitat quality for native species, will open up additional opportunities for recreation (hunting, fishing and wildlife watching) in higher quality habitat and will help keep local interested parties invested and contributing.

Funding includes: one full time person to coordinate work project planning and implementation and to contract funds for vendors doing the restoration or enhancement work; one seasonal Technician for day to day leadership of CCM crews and/or vendors and a one seasonal laborer to collect, dry and disperse early prairie species to increase diversity of restoration/ enhancement efforts on WMAs, AMAs, State Parks and SNAs. The SNA positions listed are on soft monies that come from LCCMR or other similar sources and are not replacing existing funds. This would complement the Green Corridor project proposal to acquire lands in this area – allowing for enhancement after restoration in some cases and initial restoration for some older units in other cases.

This project is part of a larger effort on the part of the southern region of DNR to focus on the long term habitat and recreation potential of the Minnesota River Corridor. The DNR southern region Conservation Focus Area Map identifies the Minnesota River Corridor as a high priority for all divisions within DNR in this region. The highest number and density of significant plants/animals species and communities in the region is located along the Minnesota River Corridor. Parcels were chosen based on their proximity to the river corridor in the specified area and quality of resources. Focusing in this smaller area will provide efficiencies of operation and improve the habitat quality in sites relatively close to each other (complexes of protected habitat with connecting corridors).

Native habitats in good condition will require less management input in the future and will provide better habitat for terrestrial and aquatic species.

## Planning

Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan – Land & Aquatic Preservation Plan.

Page 63 and 66, identifies prairie and savannahs as critical lands areas in need of management and protection.

Pages 67-74 Protect critical shorelands of streams & lakes...

• Target shallow wildlife lakes, natural environment lakes, shallow bays of deep lakes, cold-water/designated trout streams...

Pages 74-77 Improve connectivity and access to outdoor recreation.

• Also provide benefits to wildlife, SGCN, etc.

Pages 81-84 Protect and restore critical in-water habitat of lakes and streams.

- Expand efforts to restore critical habitats for aquatic communities in near-shore areas of lakes, in-stream areas of rivers and streams, and deep-water lakes with exceptional water quality
- Reverse negative effects of stream channelization on in-stream habitats

Pages 84-87 Keep water on the landscape

- Habitat benefits include improved water quality, maintaining habitat for wildlife and game species, and enhancing biological diversity
- Increase riparian buffers along shorelines of rivers, lakes, and sinkholes
- Maintain and restore headwater wetlands, riparian areas, and floodplains
- Enhance and expand the use of perennial vegetation.

# Minnesota's Nonpoint Source Management Program Plan 2008

Pages 4.3 – 176

Goal 1: Promote a Healthy Hydrological Regime for Minnesota's Streams and Rivers.

## Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild & Rare – an action plan for Minnesota Wildlife.

Page 38. Habitat restoration and protection.

- Page 80. Goal I: Stabilize and increase Species in Greatest Conservation Need; 8. Stream habitats, actions include:
- Maintain good water quality, hydrology, geomorphology, and connectivity in priority stream reaches.
- Maintain and enhance riparian areas along priority stream reaches.

Page 214- 219 Goal 1: Stabilize and increase Species in Greatest Conservation in the Minnesota River Prairie, priority actions 1,2,3,5.

## Minnesota's 2008-2012 State Comprehensive Outdoor Recreational Plan

- Page 12. Acquire, protect and restore Minnesota's natural resource base on which outdoor recreation depends.
- Page 17. Develop and maintain a sustainable and resilient outdoor recreation infrastructure.

## DNR, Division of Fish and Wildlife Long Range Plan for Fisheries Management Covering Fiscal Years 2004-2010

- Page 8. Core Function 2. Conserve, Improve, and Rehabilitate Fish Populations and Aquatic Habitat. Shoreline habitat restoration program rehabilitate riparian and aquatic vegetation to improve fish habitat, wildlife habitat and water quality;
- Page 8. Core Function 4. Provide Opportunities for Partnerships, Public Information, and Aquatic Education. Increased public involvement with fisheries projects.
- MDNR Directions for Natural Resources, 2000 Pages 25-30 Remaining natural ecosystems in farmland region will be maintained, Ecosystems of high priority rivers in region will be restored.
- A Vision for Wildlife and its Use Goals and Outcomes 2003 2013 DNR Page 26. Acquire, develop, and maintain WMAs for wildlife habitat, public hunting, and wildlife observation
- Minnesota Calling Habitat loss page 23-29

The Southern DNR Region **Conservation Focus Area Map** is based in part on *the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Strategic Habitat Conservation model.* **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds** At the time of proposal, this project is not receiving any other funds. **Relationship to Current Organizational Budget** "FY10 DNR Expenditures from all funding sources, except bonding"

DNR total - \$456 million Division of Ecological and Water Resources total - \$74.6 million Division of Fish and Wildlife total - \$90.3 million

One site on Cedar Mountain SNA which is planned for burning in FY13 received LSOH funds for brush clearing previously.

Another project site on Cedar Mountain SNA is included in the Forestry LSOH application for FY13.

The funds requested for this project allow additional work to be completed that would simply not be done in the near future due to lack of personnel and funds for this part of the state.

## **Sustainability and Maintenance**

Due to the high amount of initial enhancement needs on some of these sites and uncertainties of predicting site response, future weather conditions and vendor availability, additional funds may be requested from LSOHC at the end of this project to bring sites to the condition where less frequent management actions are needed by local DNR managers who are ultimately responsible for long-term management.

## Outcomes

Habitat conditions on public lands will be improved on 626 acres of upland prairie, savanna, river valley woodlands and three miles of designated trout streams. Ninety acres (two sites in project) are rated as outstanding biodiversity sites.

Increased biodiversity seedings will occur for 282 acres of prairie restorations or after Rx burn enhancements using seed collected from local remnants.

Ten acres of brome (agricultural land) will be converted to native grasses.

One mile of additional buffers and public access will be secured along trout streams in the project area with permanent easements.

## Fee Acquisition Projects

Will	Will local government approval be sought prior to acquisition?									
	Yes		No, please explain	$\square$	not applicable					
lf no	o, please explain	here	:							
ls th	e land you plan t	o acc	uire free of any other permaner	nt pro	tection?					
	Yes		No, please explain	$\square$	not applicable					
lf no	, please explain l	here:								
Eas	ement Acquisiti	<u>on P</u>	rojects							
Will	the eased land b	e ope	en for public use?							
$\boxtimes$	Yes		No, please explain		not applicable					

lf nc	If no, please explain here:									
Will	the conservation	easement be permanent?								
$\square$	Yes	No, please explain		not applicable						
lf nc	o, please explain ł	nere:								
<u>Res</u>	toration and Enl	hancement Projects								
Is th	e activity on perr	manently protected land and/or publi	c wat	ers?						
$\square$	Yes	No, please explain		not applicable						
lf nc	o, please explain ł	nere:								
	-	e place on an Aquatic Management A agement Area (WMA), or State Forest	-	MA), Scientific and Natural Area						
$\boxtimes$	Yes, which ones	No, please explain		not applicable						
lf so	nlease indicate	which ones: AMA WMA SNA and Sta	ate Pa	urks (see narcel id table for						

If so, please indicate which ones: AMA, WMA, SNA and State Parks (see parcel id table for specifics)

# Past Outdoor Heritage Fund Appropriations Received for this program

ML 2009	ML 2010	ML 2011
\$ N/A	\$N/A	\$N/A

Note: This is a place based project, not program oriented. Multiple DNR disciplines are participating/benefitting, but we have not applied for LSOH funds in this way in the past.

# **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity Milestone Date
-------------------------

Contracts/Purchases/Personnel	Vendors/personnel Hired	September - March 2013,14,15,16
Restoration/Enhancement	Brush/trees removed, seed harvested, prairie planted / burned, trees/shrubs planted, in- stream habitat restoration	April – November of each year
Protection - Easement	Trout streams buffered and access provided.	Nov 2013 – June 2016
Reporting and Documentation	Required reports	6 month intervals

# **Attachments:**

- A. Budget
- B. Proposed Output Tables 1-5
- C. Parcel List

#### Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of Proposal:	
Date:	

Mid-Minnesota River Corridor Habitat Restoration and Enhancement 29-Jun-11

I

### Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

\$

**Total Amount of Request** 

1,317,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

Personnel

		Over # of		Anticipated Cash		
	FTE	years	LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Position breakdown here						
State Program Specialist	1	4	\$ 280,000			\$ 280,000
Technician	0.5	4	\$ 124,000			\$ 124,000
Laborer	0.5	4	\$ 88,000			\$ 88,000
SNA Specialist	0.057	4	\$ 12,480			\$ 12,480
Prairie Stewardship Spec	0.007	4	\$ 2,000			\$ 2,000
SNA Technician	0.023	4	\$ 4,000			\$ 4,000
SNA Laborer	0.015	4	\$ 3,000			\$ 3,000
Total	2.1		\$ 513,480	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 513,480

### **Budget and Cash Leverage** (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.) Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

		Anticipated Cash			
Budget Item	 LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash	Leverage Source	Total
Personnel - auto entered from above	\$ 513,480	\$ -	\$	- \$	\$ 513,480
Contracts	\$ 570,105			¢,	\$ 570,105
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 7)				ć	\$ -
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 7)				¢,	\$ -
Easement Acquisition	\$ 80,000			4	\$ 80,000
Easement Stewardship				4	\$ -
Travel (in-state)	\$ 35,000			4	\$ 35,000
Professional Services	\$ 20,000			4	\$ 20,000
Direct Support Services	\$ 34,215			¢,	\$ 34,215
DNR Land Acquisition Costs (\$3,500 per acquisition)				4	\$ -
Other				¢	\$ 64,200
Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)	\$ 19,200	\$ -		¢,	\$ 19,200
Other Equipment/Tools	\$ 25,000			¢,	\$ 25,000
Supplies/Materials	\$ 20,000			¢,	\$ 20,000
	\$ 1,317,000	\$ -	\$	- \$	\$ 1,317,000

### Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above)

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage		
Truck	19,200			
Item 2 enter here				
Item 3 enter here				
Item 4 enter here				
Item 5 enter here				
Item 6 enter here				
Item 7 enter here				
Item 8 enter here				
Total	19,200	-		

#### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

Name of Proposal: Date: Mid-Minnesota River Corridor Habitat Restoration and Enhancement 29-Jun-11

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion: Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

#### Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Fore	est	Habitats	Total	
Restore			12		12	24	
Protect Fee						0	
Protect Easement					12	12	
Protect Other						0	
Enhance			552		50	602	
Total		0	564	0	74		
		Total Acre	S (sum of Total	l column)		638	These two cells
	638	should be the same figure.					
							Jigure.

#### Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Wetlands		Prairies		Forest		Habita	ts	Total	
Restore			\$ 1	63,612			\$	3,000	\$	166,612
Protect Fee									\$	-
Protect Easement							\$	176,012	\$	176,012
Protect Other									\$	-
Enhance			\$	20,298			\$	54,078	\$	974,376
Total	\$	-	\$ 1,0	83,910	\$	-	\$	233,090		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)		These two cells
Total Dollars (sum of Total row)	\$ 1,317,000	should be the same
Charle to make sure this amount is the same		figure.

Check to make sure this amount is the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

#### Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Pr	airie SE Fore	est Prai	irie I	Northern Forest	Total
Restore					24		24
Protect Fee							0
Protect Easement					12		12
Protect Other							0
Enhance					602		602
Total		0	0	0	638	0	

Total Acres (sum of Total column) Total Acres (sum of Total row) Total Acres from Table 1. 638 These three cells
638 should be the same figure.
638

### **Attachment B. Output Tables**

### Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total	
Restore				\$ 166,612		\$	166,612
Protect Fee						\$	-
Protect Easement				\$ 176,012		\$	176,012
Protect Other						\$	-
Enhance				\$ 974,376		\$	974,376
Total	\$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,317,000	\$-		

Total Dollars (sum of Total column)

Total Dollars (sum of Total row)

1,317,000 These two cells 1,317,000 should be the same figure.

\$

\$

Check to make sure these amounts are the same

as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.

### Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

3 ‡

3 # miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

### Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

	_	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
Acquired in Fee with State P					0	
Acquired in Fee w/o State P	ILT Liability					0
Permanent Easement PILT Liability	NO State				12	12
		0	0	0	12	

 Table 7. Estimated Value of Land Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

						FYI: Should
						match total in
						budget table
						that is auto
	Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total	entered below
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability					\$-	\$-
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability					\$-	\$ -
Permanent Easement NO State PILT Liability				\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 80,000
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,000		

#### Minnesota River Corridor Habitat Restoration and Enhancement

29-Jun-11

Parcel Name	County	Township (25-258)	-	Direction most parcels are 2 with the exception of some areas of Cook County which is 1	Section (01 thru 36)	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity PF=Protect Fee PE=Protect Easement PO=Protect Other R=Restore E=Enhance	If Easement, what is the easement cost as a % of the fee acquisition?	Any existing protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
Delhi WMA	Redwood	113	36		25		\$10	5000	Converting brome to prairie	R			
									using locally collected prairie seed.			Y	Y
Tiger Lake WMA	Redwood	113	35		34		\$40		Removing trees and invasive brush from remnant prairie on the WMA	E		Y	Y
Cold Springs WMA	Renville	113	36		12		\$40		Prescribed burning on degraded remnant and inter- seeding with locally collected prairie seed	R		Y	Y
Cedar Rock WMA	Redwood	113	36		11		\$50		Prescribed burning on degraded remnant and inter- seeding with locally collected prairie seed	R		Y	Y
Granite Prairie WMA	Renville	113	35		18		\$40		Prescribed burning on degraded remnant and inter- seeding with locally collected prairie seed	R		Y	Y
Beaver Falls WMA	Renville	113	35		24		\$10		Prescribed burning on degraded remnant and inter- seeding with locally collected prairie seed	R	]	Y	Y
Whispering Ridge AMA	Redwood	114	36		29,30,31, 32		\$10 2 \$15 \$3	10000 3000 20000 10000	Buckthorn control Level bike course and reseed.	R and E		Y	Y
Riverside AMA	Redwood	113	35		20,21,28, 29		\$15 \$3 \$7 \$10	5000 3000 10000 10000	Remove brush from NAWCA land. Plant oak tree plot. Establish shrub planting. Buckthorn control	R and E		Y	Y

### Attachment C. Parcel List

Cedar Mountain	Redwood	112	34		14, 15, 23		75	70000	Return Rx fire to approximate 50 ac of former pasture. Remove invasive trees and brush from 25 ac of overgrow prairie at Cedar Mountain SN/	n	v	v
Morton Outcrops SNA	Renville	113	34		31		15	60000	Prescribed fire on 15 ac of dry prairie/rock outcrop. Ongoing (multiple years) brush and tre control on same area.	5	Y	T N
Fort Ridgley SP	Nicollet	111	32		6		26 16	Cost of laborer's time	Oak Savanna overseeding , Rx burns Replant failed planting along golf course fairways	E	Y	N
Upper Souix SP	Yellow Medicine	116	40					Cost of laborer's time	Over-seeding after burns	E	Y	N
Ramsey Creek	Redwood						1 mi	125000	Instream Habitat enhancemer	nt E		v
							8 ac	66666	Access Easement	Ρ		ľ
Spring Creek	Redwood						1mi	100000	Instream Habitat enhancemer	nt E		
							2 ac	16667	Access Easement	Ρ		Ŷ
Fort Ridgley Creek	Nicollet, Renville						1 mi	100000	) Instream Habitat enhancemer	nt E		
	nenvine						2 ac	16667	Access Easement	Р		
Information provided will b	be used to map proj	iect locations	s. Incomp	olete or inacc	curate inforn	nation will res	ult in that	parcel or program r	not being mapped.			