# **Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council**

## 2012 Accomplishment Plan

Program Title: MN Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership (F-05)

Manager's Name: Ward Julien Joe Pavelko

Title: Board Member and Treasurer MN Director of Conservation
Organization: MN Sharp-tailed Grouse Society Pheasants Forever (Fiscal Agent)

Telephone: 763-754-8361 612-532-3800

Email: wjulien@peoplepc.com jpavelko@pheasantsforever.org

Fax: 651-773-5500

Funds Recommended: \$988,000

Legislative Citation: ML 2011, Ch. X, Art. X, Sec. X, Subd. X (x): (to be completed when signed

by Governor)

#### **Abstract:**

This Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership will protect and enhance up to 745 acres, primarily brushland, in Aitkin and Kanabec Counties for addition to the WMA system, providing multiple environmental benefits.

# **Program Narrative**

### **Design and Scope of Work**

#### Problem and Scope:

Until the 1880s, most of Minnesota was inhabited by sharp-tailed grouse where suitable open and brushland habitat, such as prairies, savannas, sedge meadows and open bogs, occurred. This indigenous grouse was once one of Minnesota's most abundant game birds, with over 100,000 harvested annually in the 1940's. Loss, degradation and fragmentation of open and brushland habitat within Minnesota due to natural succession and conversion to other land uses (cropland and tree plantations) has lead to a long term decline in this unique grouse's population (estimated harvest of 14,000 in 2008), causing its listing as a species in greatest conservation need. Today its remaining range in northern Minnesota, which is less than one-third of its historic range, is in jeopardy of additional fragmentation and degradation.

In east central Minnesota, recent preliminary research results have shown that genetic diversity of the sharp-tailed grouse population may be declining due to increasing isolation of subpopulations. In nearby Wisconsin, genetic diversity (allelic diversity and heterozygosity) has declined so greatly that Wisconsin DNR has begun translocating sharp-tailed grouse to create a genetic infusion to increase the likelihood that populations will persist. Increasing the amount of protected brushland habitat in

northeastern Minnesota will be critical to the sustainability of the local sharp-tailed grouse population and gene exchange between Minnesota and Wisconsin populations.

habitat that will be affected and how actions will directly restore, enhance, and/or protect them: Specific habitats to be affected will include up to 745 acres of open, brushland, and forest habitat. Acquisition of the habitats and their transfer to MDNR for management under the state WMA will protect them. Natural habitats will include wet meadow, sedge meadow, shrub wetland, bog, grassland, and aspen forest. They will be enhanced with prescribed burning, mowing, shearing, timber harvest, and possibly grazing, biomass harvest and occasional haying to maintain open and brushland landscape. Other habitats include hay and pasture land that will be encouraged to revert back to natural open and brushland habitat through prescribed burning and natural succession.

### Multiple benefits:

Multiple benefits of the above protection and enhancement actions will include increased plant and animal diversity, carbon sequestration, water retention and filtration, opportunities for biomass harvest, access to public lands for recreation, increases eco-tourism opportunities, economic benefits, and secure habitat for sharp-tailed grouse and other open and brushland species in greatest conservation need.

#### Wildlife species that will benefit:

In addition to sharp-tailed grouse, several other species that use or depend upon open and brushland habitats are also in decline, listed as species in greatest conservation need, and will benefit from this project, including bobolinks, loggerhead shrikes, short-eared owls, yellow rails, eastern meadowlarks, American bittern, northern harrier, golden-winged warblers, Henslow's sparrow, Le Conte's sparrow, Nelson's sharp-tailed sparrow, and American woodcock. Six of these species are state listed as endangered, threatened or special concern.

Game species that will benefit include white-tailed deer, waterfowl (mallards, blue-winged teal, Canada geese, and more species during migration), wild turkey, American woodcock, common snipe, ruffed grouse, cottontail rabbit, snowshoe hare, fox, raccoon, and bobcat. Many nongame species such as the Eastern bluebird, American kestrel, brown thrasher, gray catbird, common yellowthroat, sora rail, sedge wren, and spring peeper will benefit, as well as the sandhill crane which is expanding its range.

#### <u>Urgency and opportunity</u>:

If not acquired while the opportunities exist (i.e., willing sellers and funding opportunities), the chance to protect these priority tracts permanently from land practices incompatible as open and brushland wildlife habitat, and from fragmentation, parcelization and development may be lost.

### How priorities were set / Parcel selection and scoring process:

For consideration of protection and enhancement efforts by the partnership, open and brushland tracts must be located within an ECS landtype association identified as a priority open landscape through DNR's SFRMP landscape planning process. Further criteria to prioritize which tracts are most critical include a ranking system based upon county location, distance to active sharp-tailed grouse lek, tract size, and distance to protected brushland.

#### of stakeholder opposition and involvement:

No stakeholder opposition to proposed acquisitions has been encountered. Proposals to protect land and manage them as public conservation lands are locally-driven by conservation groups, hunters, conservation agency staff, and willing sellers due to the multiple benefits such land protection and management can provide. Local government has been or will be contacted and their support sought.

#### **Planning**

Relationship to the Minnesota Conservation and Preservation Plan and other Published Resource Management Plans:

- Minnesota Statewide Conservation and Preservation Plan, 2008 This partnership will address and advance the Habitat Recommendations of 1. Protect priority land habitats (p. 63), 3.
   Improve connectivity and access to outdoor recreation (p. 74), 5. Restore land, wetlands, and wetland-associated watershed (p. 80), and 7. Keep water on the landscape (p.84).
- MDNR, Tomorrow's Habitat for the Wild and Rare, 2006 Lists sharp-tailed grouse and other open and brushland wildlife species that are species in greatest conservation need (App. B) and key habitats which occur in brushland ecosystems (wetland-nonforest, shrub/woodland-upland, forest-lowland conifer) of the Tamarack Lowland and Mille Lacs Upland ECS Subsections (profiles on pages 184 and 154, respectively) where the proposed open and brushland tracts to acquire are located. The goal of stabilizing and increasing populations of species in greatest conservation need will be addressed.
- MDNR, A Strategic Conservation Agenda, 2009-2013
  - o <u>Trend: Changes in Outdoor Recreation Participation</u>
    Strategic Direction: Connecting People to Minnesota's Great Outdoors (p.13, Long term Desired Outcomes regarding Minnesotan's outdoor recreation needs and increasing participation and opportunities in nature-based outdoor recreation.) Additional access to public conservation lands will help meet these needs.
  - o <u>Trend: Changes Related to Energy and Climate</u>
    Strategic Direction: Conservation-based Energy Sources (p. 19, Key Measure on DNR-administered lands) Biomass harvesting has great potential to serve as a management tool in open and brushlands habitats.
    - Trend: Landscape Changes from Growth and Development
       Strategic Direction: Integrated Public and Private Land Management (p. 29, Key Measure of number of acres protected in WMAs) This project will add WMAs to the system.
- Minnesota's Wildlife Management Area Acquisition (2002) The Next 50 Years Habitat is the Key This partnership will help meet goals of additional WMA acres in Ecological Sections 5 (p.10, Northern Lakes) and 8 & 9 (p. 15, Superior Uplands) in which sharp-tailed grouse are noted as a focus species.

-based strategic planning and evaluation:

This proposal is based on science-based strategic planning and evaluation, similar to the US Fish and Wildlife Service's Strategic Habitat Conservation model. This model uses biological planning, conservation design, delivery, monitoring and research, and adjustments in strategies as needed to maintain an adaptive approach.

In 2002, DNR Division of Wildlife completed "An Assessment of Open Landscapes for Management of Brushland Wildlife Habitat in Northern and Central Minnesota" to provide information on open landscape wildlife locations, pre-settlement vegetation, land use and cover and landownership/administration to resource managers for identification and prioritization of large, open landscapes. The assessment has been used in DNR's landscape planning effort, Subsection Forest Resource Management Planning, and priority open landscapes (ECS landtype associations) have been identified. All of the open and brushland tracts proposed for acquisition lie within or at the edge of these priority open landscapes.

Sharp-tailed grouse leks (dancing grounds) are the essential hubs of subpopulations. Nesting and brooding rearing occur in suitable habitat within approximately a two-mile radius of leks. All six tracts proposed for protection either have active leks located on them (Tumler, Alm) or less than 0.85 mile away (Thompson, Jost, Rezac).

A study in 1999 revealed 13 sharp-tailed grouse leks in northeastern Minnesota that had the greatest potential (based on longevity and number of birds using the leks) to be maintained as large active leks and serve as core populations. 87% of these leks were located on private land and vulnerable to land use changes. In Aitkin County, three tracts have one of these leks 0.1 to 0.5 mile away (Thompson, Thompson, Jost). In Kanabec County, one of the two tracts proposed for protection has one of these 13 leks located on it (Alm).

All of the tracts will be critical to providing suitable patches of nesting and brood rearing habitat for subpopulations of sharp-tailed grouse in northeastern Minnesota. Research by Stanley Temple in Wisconsin suggests that suitable habitat patches of 4000 ha (roughly 10,000 acres, 15½ sq. miles, or a 2.2 mile radius circle) are needed for a sharp-tailed grouse population to survive. Opportunities to protect and connect suitable patches of this size are dwindling due to development, parcelization and other landscape change pressures.

A nearly finished, sharp-tailed grouse habitat model will help further refine open landscape management and acquisition decisions made within the priority open landscapes.

A pilot study in Aitkin County was conducted in spring/summer 2009 as part of a planned long term study that will examine habitat selection, nest success and survival of sharp-tailed grouse. Data from this study and the subsequent long term study (contingent on funding) will provide addition information that will continually improve and keep management adaptive.

Annual spring surveys of sharp-tailed grouse leks allow for monitoring of local populations and the effect that habitat protection and enhancement and other land management activities have on them.

#### section priorities addressed:

As noted in the LSOHC's Northern Forest Section Vision, "the condition of brushlands within forest lands is of special concern. These lands, along with early successional forest habitat are crucial for game species and non-game species and need restoration and enhancement work to ensure ample availability

of this habitat type." Also, "These and other key habitats are envisioned to protect endangered, threatened and species of special concern." Additionally, nearly all of the Statewide Priority Criteria are addressed.

## **Relationship to Other Constitutional Funds**

The partnership will coordinate with other conservation organizations receiving Constitutional Funding to ensure projects are compatible and complimentary; do not have duplicated efforts and together address the Council's statewide and section priorities.

Of the Environment and Natural Resources Trust Fund, Clean Water Fund, Parks and Trail Fund, and Outdoor Heritage Fund, this project is best suited to apply for funds from the latter because it is a habitat-based project. This project will have multiple natural resource, economic and social benefits, but its greatest benefit is in the habitat it will provide for a unique, native game bird that is also a species in greatest conservation need, the entire suite of plants and wildlife that also inhabit the same brushland communities, and the outdoor enthusiasts who enjoy and utilize them.

#### **Relationship to Current Organizational Budget**

MSGS and PF do not have a specific, existing budget for protection of critical brushland tracts within the sharp-tailed grouse range of Minnesota, other than a FY11 LSOH grant. Availability of acquisition funds has been limited and often directed toward other areas of the state, such as for grassland and wetland protection in the western and southern portions of Minnesota.

#### **Sustainability and Maintenance**

After the period of grant funding has ended, the proposed parcels will become part of the state WMA system, being sustained and managed by local DNR Wildlife Area staff involved in the partnership. Maintenance will be funded through the DNR budget and funds provided by partners. Partner funds will come from conservation organization's general membership and grants, such as LSOH and Heritage Enhancement grants.

Stewardship plans for these tracts entail maintenance as integral portions of priority open landscapes. After initial protection and enhancement is completed, the primary habitat management technique will be prescribed burning. It will be used as needed, roughly once every three to seven years, to maintain their open structure and stimulate native vegetation. Brushland prescribed burn costs average approximately \$30/acre, depending upon burn unit size and equipment and personnel needed. Other habitat management techniques may be involve prescribed grazing or haying through cooperative agreements (no cost) or mechanical treatment of woody vegetation such as mowing (\$150/acre), shearing (\$100/acre), timber harvest (no cost), or biomass harvest (\$100/acre or less).

## **Accomplishment Timeline**

Activity	Milestone	Date
Funding available	Order appraisals	July 2011
Protection completed	All tracts acquired and transferred to	March 2012
-	MDNR for WMA system	
Enhancement underway	Initial site development completed	September 2012
Enhancement underway	Firebreaks cleared, winter mechanical	March 2013
	brushland treatments completed	
Enhancement underway	Prescribed burns completed	June 2013

Enhancement underway	Summer mechanical brushland	September 2013
	treatments completed	
Enhancement underway	Additional firebreaks cleared	March 2014
Enhancement completed	Additional prescribed burns completed	June 2014

Attachments (on spreadsheet workbook – 3 separate tabs):

- A. Budget
- B. Proposed Outcome Tables
- C. Parcel List

  No Map is needed for the accomplishment plan

#### Attachment A. Budget Spreadsheet

Name of Proposal:	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership
Date:	4-Nov-10
Legal Citation / Proposal Number:	

## Link Here to definitions of the budget items below.

**Total Amount of Request** \$ 988,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

### **Personnel**

		Over # of		<b>Anticipated Cash</b>		
	FTE	years	LSOHC Request	Leverage	Cash Leverage Source	Total
Position breakdown here						
PF Director of Conservation	0.1	2	\$ 10,000			\$ 10,000
PF Director of Public Finance	0.05	2	\$ 5,000			\$ 5,000
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
						\$ -
Total	0.15		\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 15,000

### Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.)

Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

Budget Item
Personnel - auto entered from above
Contracts
Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout in table 6 & 7)
Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout in table 6 & 7)
Easement Acquisition
Easement Stewardship
Travel (in-state)

Professional Services
DNR Land Acquisition Costs

Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)
Other Equipment/Tools
Supplies/Materials

LSOHC Request	Anticipated Cash	Coo	n Leverage Source	
•	Leverage	_	i Leverage Source	Total
\$ 15,000	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 15,000
\$ 50,000				\$ 50,000
\$ 890,500				\$ 890,500
\$ =				\$ -
\$ -				\$ -
\$ -				\$ -
\$ -				\$ -
\$ 15,000				\$ 15,000
\$ 7,500				\$ 7,500
				\$ 10,000
\$ -	\$ -			\$ -
				\$ -
\$ 10,000				\$ 10,000
\$ 988,000	\$ -	\$	-	\$ 988,000

Anticipated Cach

## Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above )

Item Name	LSOHC Request	Leverage
Total	2	0
lotal	0	0

#### **Attachment B. Outcome Tables**

Name of Proposal:	Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership
Date:	4-Nov-10
Legal Citation / Proposal Number:	

Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

**Prairies** 

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

## **Table 1. Acres by Resource Type**

Wetlands

Describe the scope of the project in acres (use conversion above if needed)

	Wetlands	Prairies	Forest	Habitats	;	Total	
Restore						0	
Protect					745	745	
Enhance						0	
Total		0	0	0	745		
			sum of Total colum sum of Total row)	n)			These two cells should be the same figure.

## **Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type**

Restore Protect Enhance						\$ 988,000	\$ \$ \$	- 988,000 <i>-</i>	
Total	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 988,000			
		Total Doll Total Doll Check to as the Fu	ars (sum make su	of Total re	ow) imount is	 ne . of Main Fun	\$ \$ iding Fo	988,000 988,000 orm.	These two cells should be the same figure.

**Habitats** 

Total

Forest

## **Table 3. Acres within each Ecological Section**

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Pr	airie S	SE Forest	Prairie	Northern Forest	Total
Restore							0
Protect						745	745
Enhance							0
Total		0	0	(	0	745	
		745	These three cells				
		745	should be the same				
		Total Acre	s from Ta	able 1.		745	figure.

#### **Attachment B. Outcome Tables**

## **Table 4. Total Requested Funding within each Ecological Section**

	Metro/Urban	Forest/Prairie	SE Forest	Prairie	North	ern Forest	Total	
Restore							\$	-
Protect					\$	988,000	\$	988,000
Enhance							\$	-
Total	\$	- \$ -	\$	- \$	- \$	988,000		
		Total Dollars (sur	n of Total column)		\$	988,000	These two co	
		\$	988,000	be the same figure.				
		Check to make s	ure these amou	ints are the same				
	as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.							

## Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline

## Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability
Permanent Easement
NO State PILT Liability

o (circoi irijoririae.				
Wetlands	Prairies	Forests	Habitats	Total
			745	745
			743	743
				0
				0
0	0	0	745	

# Table 7. Estimated Value of Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars)

Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability
Acquired in Fee w/o State PILT Liability
Permanent Easement
NO State PILT Liability

	Wetlands	Prairies	5	Fore	ests	Habitats	Total		
						\$ 890,500	\$	890,500	
							\$	-	
							\$		
Ì	\$ -	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 890,500			

## Attachment C. Parcel List

Name of Proposal	ne of Proposa	l:
------------------	---------------	----

Northeastern Minnesota Sharp-tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership

Date:

4-Nov-10

**Legal Citation / Proposal Number:** 

	County	Township	Range	Direction	Section	TRDS	# of acres	Budgetary Estimate (includes administrative, restoration or other related costs and do not include matching money contributed or earned by the transaction)	Description	Activity R=Restore P=Protect E=Enhance	Any existing protection? (yes/no)	Open to hunting and fishing? (yes/no)
Parcel Name												
Pomroy Pastures WMA (new) (Alm Tract)	Kanabec	41	22	1	1, 4, 11, 13 [2	2211,4,11,13	960	1,540,000		Р, Е	N	Υ
Grayling Marsh WMA (addition) (Thomspon tract)	Aitkin	48	22	1	6, 7	482216,7	395.06	322,100		Р, Е	N	Y
Kuehn WMA (new) (Jost tract)	Aitkin	48	26	1	29, 31, 32 8	26129,31,32	397.3	\$294,000		P,E	N	Υ
Aitkin WMA (addition) (Rezac tract)	Aitkin	47	26	1	9	472619	158.5	\$143,650		P,E	N	Y
Kroschel WMA (addition) (Tumler tract)	Kanabec	42	22	1	20, 28, 29, 31, 32 0	,28,29,31,32	1285	\$432,500		P, R, E	N	Υ
Gun Lake WMA (addition) (Thompson Tract)	Aitkin	48	25	1	5	482515	279.7	\$72,500		P, R, E	N	Υ