Summary of Member Comments and Average Score

In proposal number o rder

Project II	D Project Title	Average	Wayne Enger Member Comments	David Hartwell Member Comments	Darby Neslon Member Comments	Mike Kilgore Member Comments
F-01	Minnesota Forests for the Future			Program coordinator looks like supplanting. Easement		
		31.5		stewardship is unexplained.		
F-02	Lake County Forest Habitat and Access Protection Project			What guarentee is there that the tax forfeit lands will		
F 02	La Calla Laka, Duata ating Critical Mississippi Handurators Habitat	26.7		not be sold off in the future?		
F-03	La Salle Lake: Protecting Critical Mississippi Headwaters Habitat	36.3			produces multiple enduring conservation benefits per statewide priority criteria	
F-04	DNR Accelerated Forest Habitat Enhancement			It seems to me that the degredation of forest habitat is		
				the result of lousy timbering. Why is the cost of		
				restoring forest lands not paid for by forest companies		
				harvesing the timber. It would seem that this		
				restoration is just a public subsidy. The proposal talks about "enduring conservation benefits" but how this		
				work is enduring conservation benefits but now this		
		31.4		work is enduring is a mystery.		
F-05	Northeastern Mn Sharp-Tailed Grouse Habitat Partnership			Deer run is already protected and should not be part of		Need additional information about tract already subject
		29.4		this.		to land use restrictions.
F-06	Lower Mississippi River Habitat Partnership			How does this restore Miss. River tributaries to their		Need additional information on Professional Services
		31.1		floodplains?		costs.
F-07	Protect Key Forest Habitat Lands - Cass County			Are the adjacent lands this will protect permanently		
				protected in some way? Will the forest roads have prohibitions for use by incompatible uses like mining?		
				Will they use the lands protected to justify selling of		
				other lands?		
		27.7		ctive idinasi		
F-08	Lake of the Woods School Forest Restoration Program			Are these lands permanently protected? If so, how?	not appropriate for OHF	
		23.4				
H-01	DNR Aquatic Habitat Program	36.2		How will easements be monitored?		
H-02	MN Trout Unlimited Coldwater Fish Habitat Enhancement Program	22.7				
H-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration	33.7				Funding sought from CWF? Other sources? River
п-03	Grand Marais Creek Outlet Restoration					restoration is not a Priority Action for the Prairie
		30.5				Section.
H-04	Land Addition to Janet Johnson Memorial WMA	50.5				Is this parcel on the WMA acquisition list as a priority
		30.8				purchase?
H-05	Metro Big Rivers Habitat Phase 2		Only for land open to public use	How many acres with FMR restore? Crosby Lake		Need additional info. on parcels already containing
				restoration project seems very marginal, expenseive		permanent protection.
				and the weak part of this proposal. TPL Franconia		
				project over land with scenic easement seems		
05		34.1		unnecessary.		
H-06	Protecting Sensitive Shorelands in North Central Minnesota	24.2				No cash leverage, but substantial in-kind through
H-07	Klinder Conservation Easement	31.3				donated easement value. Need information on why land is not eligible for RIM
n-u/	Milluel Conservation Easement					and DNR does not want it added to the WMA system.
		23.3				and DIVIN GOES HOL WAIRE IT Added to the WIVIA System.
		1 23.3	I	I	I	ı

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council ML 2011 / FY 2012 Recommendation Process

Project ID	Project Title	Average	Wayne Enger Member Comments	David Hartwell Member Comments	Darby Neslon Member Comments	Mike Kilgore Member Comments
H-08	Carp Exclusion Fish Barriers for Water Quality Improvements	28.3				
H-09	Rat-Root River Erosion Control and Walleye Spawning Enhancement	27.3		How will this permanently fix the problem? If there is undermining of the road bed, should the LSOHC fund it since that should be county road work?		
H-10	Restoring Native Habitat/Water Quality to Shell Rock River	28.3				1
H-11	McGregor Rice and Wildlife Property	21.3		Hard to tell where the DNR comes in on this. The web site listed is a private wood stove business - strange.	Not meeting minimum criteria	Is this parcel on the WMA acquisition list? Proposal is not associated with an established land acquisition program, which is one of the L-SOHC's minimum funding criteria.
H-12	Lake Zumbro Restoration Project	23.1		More about recreation on the lake than habitat.		Tallong Cited as
P-01	Acquisition: WMA, SNA, Prairie Bank Easement, Forest Lands			Hard to be sure that restoration will take place on protected property. Habitat crew leads who will work spring - fall appear to be full time- Why?		
P-02	Accelerated Prairie Restoration and Enhancement on DNR Lands	30.9				Need additional information on who is responsible for habitat enhancement on Native Prairie Bank easements
P-03	Minnesota Buffers for Wildlife & Water	33.9		Buffers are great unless tile goes into ditch directly. How will that be dealt with? If they do not get clean water funds, it appears that we are on the hook for all costs to get larger buffer.		(e.g., owner, state).
P-04	Northern Tallgrass Prairie National Wildlife Refuge Land Acquisition	32.6		costs to get larger burier.		Hard match is minimal. Post project restoration and enhancement contribution will be substantial.
P-05	Mustinka River Fish & Wildlife Corridor	33.9		Very high cost for relatively small area		The "river restoration" component of the proposal is not a Priority Action for the Prairie Section.
P-06	MN Prairie Recovery Project Phase 2	31.5				
P-07	Cannon River Headwaters Habitat Complex (Phase I)	34.9		DNR ranking seems low.	unlike projects like L. Zumbrofocus is on headwaters	Are the parcels a priority for the DNR to acquire?
P-08	Seven Mile Creek Watershed Riparian Protection and Enhancement	29.7		Proposal says they will do restoration on protected properties but Activity #2 does not seem to indicate that. I am unclear how the tile drainage will be effected by the buffers but the proposal indicates tile to be a big part of the problem. Easement stewardship is not addressed.		
P-09	Accelerating the Wildlife Mgmt Area Program	34.4		How many acres is goal?		
P-10	Accelerating the Waterfowl Production Area Program	36.0				
P-11	Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase III	30.8				
P-12	Conservation on the Prairie for Future Generations	27.7	Public Use Land Only	Project management is very expensive		Are the parcels on the WMA acquisition list as a priority purchase?
P-13	Minnesota River Watershed Habitat Enhancement and Restoration	25.3		Easement stewardship?		
P-14	Bluffland Restoration	27.9		Stewardship portion is weak.		
P-15	OTC Habitat Restoration, Improvement & Enhancement Program	27.1		Stewarship? Small grants = small parcels and fragmentation?		

Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council ML 2011 / FY 2012 Recommendation Process

			Wayne Enger		,	Mike Kilgore
Project ID Project Title Average		Member Comments	Member Comments	Member Comments	Member Comments	
P-16	Assistance to the Conservation Partners Program	17.9				
W-01	The RIM-WRP Partnership	38.6	\$8 million maximum allocation	I would like to completely understand the money flow on these easements. Who determines value and how, who pays for restoration, how is the stewarship and enforcement going to be paid for in the future, etc. They also indicate on the proposal NA to the question of any other permanent protection but NA is not an appropriate answer. What are the "engineers" doing?		
W-02	Marsh Lake Ecosystem Enhancement	31.5		How will the carp issue be dealt with?		Appears to be a request to use OHF as a substitute for other funds that would otherwise be used to fund this design work.
W-03	Wetlands and Shallow Lakes	29.2				
W-04	Shallow Lake Shoreland Protection: Wild Rice Lakes	31.8		I would like to completely understand the money flow on these easements. Who determines value and how, who pays for restoration, how is the stewarship and enforcement going to be paid for in the future, etc.		
W-05	Moist Soil Management	27.6				
W-06	Benton Lake Restoration Project	27.1		Fish pier - not a habitat project.		Need additional information on specific activities proposed to be funded from the OHF (e.g., fishing piers, fish stocking?).
X-01	L-SOHC Pass-Through Contract Mgmt; Tech Asst Panel Coord	23.9				Need more information justifying budget request.
X-02	Conservation Partners Legacy Grants Program	35.4				Need more information justifying budget request.