Lessard-Sams Outdoor Heritage Council Final Accomplishment Plan Report

Date: October 5, 2011 December 6, 2011

Program Title: Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase I

Manager's Name: Nancy Fasching

Title: Grants Officer

Organization: Southwest Initiative Foundation

Address: 15 3rd Ave NW

City: Hutchinson State: MN

Zip: 55350

Telephone: 800-594-9480

Email: nancyf@swifoundation.org

Fax: 320-587-3838

Funds Recommended: \$1,617,000

Legislative Citation and Appropriation Language: ML 2009, Ch. 172, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd. 2(b)

\$1,617,000 in fiscal year 2010 is to the commissioner of natural resources for an agreement with the Southwest Initiative Foundation or successor to acquire land for purposes allowed under the Minnesota Constitution, article XI, section 15, in Redwood County to be added to the state outdoor recreation system as defined in Minnesota Statutes, chapter 86A. A list of proposed fee title acquisitions must be provided as a part of the required accomplishment plan. The commissioner of natural resources must agree to each proposed acquisition. No more than five percent of this appropriation may be spent on professional services directly related to this appropriation's purpose.

Outcomes

The Green Corridor Legacy Program - Phase I protected high quality fish, game, and wildlife habitats by developing complexes or corridors of new and/or expanded MN DNR Wildlife Management Areas (WMA) and/or Aquatic Management Areas (AMA) in the Program area.

The LSOHC Lamberton WMA acquisition expanded the existing 641 acre WMA complex by 43% (277 acres) for a total of 918 acres. This acquisition enhances wildlife carrying capacity, lowers ongoing maintenance costs, and provides greater accessibility to the public. The protected areas provide much needed nesting habitat for both game and non-game wildlife species. This property also has the potential for wetland restoration.

The LSOHC Whispering Ridge AMA acquisition expanded the existing 183 acre AMA complex by 55% (101 acres) to 184 acres. This site provides benefit to wildlife and additional shoreline protection. Through this expansion, ongoing maintenance costs are lowered and greater accessibility is provided to the public.

This program incorporated the basic concepts of sustainability and ecosystem management. Minnesota has lost 99% of the original prairie and has seen dramatic declines in grassland dependent wildlife of greatest need. Traditional game & aquatic species was also affected by the decline in habitat.

The program succeeded because numerous partnerships have been developed from a wide variety of disciplines to assist in monitoring outcomes, provide long range management of the acquired lands, provide technical support, and ensure that program activities will advance the goals, objectives, and strategies of the various state-wide conservation plans and provide public transparency and input.

The Green Corridor Legacy Program approach was based on sound science concepts of plan development, setting conservation priorities, developing short and long term strategies to achieve conservation priorities, implement the strategies, and then continue monitoring the outcomes. The Green Corridor Legacy Program in consultation with area DNR managers has insured program activities conform to the various state conservation and resources plan objectives and outcomes.

Publicly owned natural resource infrastructure (e.g. WMA, AMA, etc) invigorates local and regional economies by providing outdoor recreation and tourism opportunities. New business concepts are likely to arise as a direct result of the recreational/ tourism opportunities that will be advanced and promoted by the Green Corridor Legacy Program.

Efforts to restore, protect, and enhance our wetlands, prairies, forests, and habitat for fish, game, and wildlife are timely as escalating development pressures threaten remaining natural lands and water resources on both public and private lands in the program area.

Maintenance

First, initial site restoration and development (signage, parking lots, fencing) was accomplished by this appropriation. The DNR Division of Fish & Wildlife is permanently managing these properties. Routine maintenance is managed by area DNR staff funded by their traditional sources like the Game & Fish Fund. Due to the proximity to other DNR properties, maintenance costs are less than average due to shared resources. Periodic enhancements or improvements will be funded by special funding requests. The DNR requires management plans for each project which identify periodic inspections and continuing management of the property site. These management practices include such things as; prescribed fire, weed control, and invasive tree removal. Regional partners may also support these management activities/practices by applying for state funding/grants for maintenance as needed.

Sustainability

The fee simple acquisition of these 378.27 acres is being administered by the MN DNR Division of Fish and Wildlife for management and enforcement of state laws on these acquired properties in perpetuity. This program has acquired land in fee from willing and supportive landowners and was transferred to the appropriate state agency for long-term habitat conservation, outdoor recreational access, sustainability, and monitoring. Payment in Lieu of tax (PILT) has been established by current or traditional methods. The Program Manager and DNR submitted an approved Initial Development Plan (IDP).

Table B-2. Other Outcome Table

(This table should be used for activities that are not counted in acres, miles etc.)

Goal 1	Activity – P/R/E	Measure	Impact	Ecological Type
Goal 2				

Attachments (on spreadsheet workbook – 3 separate tabs):

- A. Budget
- B. Proposed Output Tables
- C. Parcel List

Program	Name:
---------	-------

Date:

Legal Citation:

and an office the contract of	Charles and the second second second	COLUMN TO THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE	ADVISOR DE LOCKET
Green Corrid			Phase

October 5-2011 December 6, 2011

ML 2009, Ch. 172, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd 2(b)

Link HERE to definitions of the budget items below.

Total 0.07

Total Amount of Request

1,617,000 From page 1 on the funding form.

Personnel

		Over # of		A COURT OF	A	
	FTE	years	Initial OHF Request	Actual OHF Expended	Actual Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source
Position breakdown here						
Grants Officer	0.06	2	24500	\$ 8,429		
Accountant	0.01	2	14000	\$ 1,867		
position 3		. 1				
position 4			A AND THE CONTROL OF			
position 5						
position 6						
position 7						
position 8						
position 9						
position 10						

Budget and Cash Leverage (All your LSOHC Request Funds must be direct to and necessary for program outcomes.) Please describe how you intend to spend the requested funds.

Budget Item

Personnel - auto entered from above

Contracts*

Fee Acquisition w/ PILT (breakout In table 7)

Fee Acquisition w/o PILT (breakout In table 7)

Easement Acquisition

Easement Stewardship

Travel (in-state)

Professional Services

Direct Support Services

DNR Land Acquisition Costs

Other

Capital Equipment (auto entered from below)

Other Equipment/Tools

Supplies/Materials

Initial OHF Request	ļ	Actual OHF Expended	Actual Cash Leverage	Cash Leverage Source
38500	\$	10,296	\$ 	\$ -
	\$	27,879		
1576575	\$	1,561,500	\$ 5,000	MDHA
1925	\$	1,925		
	\$	7,992		
			•	,
	\$	7,408		
	\$	1,617,000	\$ 5,000	\$ -

10,296

Capital Equipment (single items over \$10,000 - auto entered into table above)

Item Name	Initial OHF Request	Actual OHF Request	Leverage	Disposition Plan

Total	0	-	-	

^{*}The contract line item allows for payment of all expenditures incurred from Aug. 13, 2009.

ML 2009, Ch. 172, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd 2(b) Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase I 10/5/2011-12/6/11 Program Name: Legal Citation: Table 1 and Table 3 column totals should be the same AND Table 2 and Table 4 column totals should be the same

If your project has lakes or shoreline miles instead of land acres, convert miles to acres

for Tables 1 and 3 using the following conversion:

Lakeshore = 6 acres per lakeshore mile / Stream & River Shore = 12 acres per linear mile, if both sides

Table 1. Acres by Resource Type

Table 1 & 2 ask for Initial and Final information. INITIAL information means the data in the FIRST accomplishment plan approved by the Council, NOT approved versions thereafter.

	Final	0	378.27	Ó	0	378.27
Total	Initial	0	350	0	0	350
	Final		- Auritana			0
Habitats	Initial					0
	l Final					0
Forest	ıl Initial		7			7
	l Final		378.27			0 378.27
Prairies	I Initial		350			0 350
	l Final					0
Wetlands	Initial					
		Restore	Protect Fee	Protect Easement	Enhance	Total

Table 2. Total Requested Funding by Resource Type

	Final		00			8
	Fil	•	1,561,500	1	•	1,561,500
		₩	‹›	٠Ş	↔	\$
	Initial	1	1,576,575	r	•	1,576,575
Total	_	↔	Ϋ́	<>-	\$	\$
	Final)
		L				
žī.	Initial					0
Habitats						
	Final					0
	Initial					0
Forest						
	Final		1,561,500			1561500
			\$ 1,			
	Initial		,575			1576575
Prairies	I		1,576,575			157
Prai	11		\$			0
	Final					
	Initia!				_	0
Netlands	1					
Wet			Ш	Ш		
				ment		
		e e	rotect Fee	Protect Easement	nce	
		Restore	Prote	Prote	Enhance	Total

Tables below only ask for final amounts and achievements.

Table 3. Final Acres achieved within each Ecological Section

Total	0	378.27	0		378.27 These three cells	378.27 should be the 0 same figure.	
N Forest				0	378.27	378.27	
Praîrie		378.27		378.27			
				0	of Total column)	of Total row) n Table 1.	
Metro/Urban Forest/Prairie SE Forest				0	Total Acres (sum of Total column)	Total Acres (sum of Total row) Total Acres from Table 1.	
Metro/Urban				0			

Enhance

Total

Restore Protect

Table 4. Final Amount spent within each Ecological Section

Total	· \$	\$ 1,617,000	· \$		These two cells	should be the	same jigure.	Ë
N Forest				ŧ	1,617,000 These two cells	1,617,000 should be the		1 Funding For
Prairie N		\$ 1,617,000		\$ 1,617,000 \$	v,	. ••	Check to make sure these amounts are the same	as the Funding Request Amount on page 1 of Main Funding Form.
				- ج	Total Dollars (sum of Total column)	um of Total row)	sure these amou	Request Amount
Metro/Urban Forest/Prairie SE Forest				- \$	Total Dollars (sı	Total Dollars (sum of Total row)	Check to make	as the Funding
Metro/Urban				- \$				
	Restore	Protect	Enhance	Total				

Table 5. Target Lake/Stream/River Miles

_	NA	# miles of Lakes / Streams / Rivers Shoreline	Streams / Rive	ers Shoreline		
Table 6. Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in acres)	tus <i>(enter infor</i> Wetlands	mation in acres, Prairies) Forests	Habitats	Total	
Acquired in Fee with State PILT Liability		378.27			378.27	
Acquired in Fee without State PILT Liability					0	
Permanent Easement NO State PILT Liability		MANUTATION OF THE PROPERTY OF			C	

\$ 1,561,500 Total Ś Habitats Table 7. Estimated Value of Acquisition by PILT Status (enter information in dollars) Forests \$ 1,561,500 Prairies Wetlands 8 with without State PILT Liability Permanent Easement State PILT Liability Acquired in Fee State PILT Liability Acquired in Fee

lease include ALL parcels in which OHF monev was spent, reaardless of whether acauir	ed.
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF monev was spent, reaardless of whet	acauir
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF money was spent, r	hether
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF money was spent, r	r ₹
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF money was spent, r	ess o
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF money was spen	regardi
ase include ALL parcels in which OHF money wa	pent.
ase include ALL parcels in which	was s
ase include ALL parcels in which	mone
ase include ALL parcels in which	OHF
ase include ALL po	<u>.</u>
ase înclude ,	arcels in
lease include	ALLP
lease i	include
٩	Please i

	If easement, what is the easement cost as a percentage of the fee acquisition?				
	If eas wha easen as a pe of t				
Please include ALL parcels in which OHF money was spent, regardless of whether acquired.	Identify state or federal disposition: WPA, WMA, AMA, SNA, State Forest	WMA	WMA	WMA	qМA
	Open to hunting and fishing? {yes/no}	yes	yes	yes	, sex
	LSOHC Section: Metro-Urbanizing = MU Southeast Forest=SEF Northem Forest= NF Prairie-Transition PT Prairie= P	d	Δ.	۵.	Δ.
	Primary Activity: R=Restore LSOHC Section: habitat type: PF=Protect Fee Metro-Urbanizing = M wetland, PE=Protect Easement Southeast Forest=SEF prairie, forest, E=Enhance (Note: these Northem Forest= NF aquatic figures should be the Prairie=Transition PT same as those in Prairie= P Attachment B)				
ırcels i	y t, t, rest, E: f	놊	Н.	PP	¥.
ude ALL po		\$360,000 Prairie	\$324,500 Prairie	\$558,500 Prairie	\$318,500 Prairie
Please incl	Cost to OHF	\$360,000	\$324,500	\$558,500	\$318,500
	# of acres	8	83.22	113.98	101.07
	SQNT	10937213	10936219	10937213	11436219
Green Corridor Legacy Program Phase I 10/5/2011.12/6/11 ML 2009, Ch. 172, Art. 1, Sec. 2, Subd 2(b)	ctīon	13	13	13	13
	rection Se	2	2	7	7
	ange Di	37	36	37	36
	County Township Range Direction Section	109	109	109	114
Green Corridor Lega 10/5/2011.12/6/11 ML 2009, Ch. 172, A	County	Redwood	Redwood	Redwood	Redwood
		#12	#13	#14	e AMA
Program Name: Date: Legal Citation:	Parcel Name	Lamberton WMA Tr.#12	Lamberton WMA Tr.#13	Lamberton WMA Tr.#14	Whispering Ridge AMA